

A Note on the Orthogonalization of Real-valued Trigonometrical Basis Functions

R. J. Cintra*

Abstract

Adopting an intuitive approach, this letter shows the derivation of three orthogonal real matrices based on the Fourier matrix. Only elementary methods are employed.

Keywords

Discrete Fourier transform, orthogonalization, basis functions, discrete cosine transform.

1 Introduction

The discrete Fourier transform (DFT) is a central tool in signal processing, numerical analysis, and statistics, among other fields. Because the DFT is defined over the complex field $\mathbb{C}$, its implementation requires complex arithmetic, which might lead to increased computational complexity in fast algorithms [4]. Literature archives several analysis methods aiming at circumventing the need for complex calculations. Probably, the two most prominent real-valued methods are (i) the discrete Hartley transform (DHT) and (ii) the discrete cosine transform (DCT), in particular the DCT of type II [1].

The DHT formulation is quite simple and elegant. Indeed, the DHT can be expressed in terms of the real and imaginary parts of the DFT. Conversely, the DFT is obtained from the even and odd parts of the DHT [6, p. 29]. The N -point DHT kernel is simply $\text{cas}(\frac{2\pi}{N}kn)$, $k, n = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$, where $\text{cas}(x) = \cos(x) + \sin(x)$ [6, p. 27].

On the other hand, DCT has been defined and derived by several approaches in literature. The various methods for obtaining the DCT encompass: (i) the discretization of the Fourier cosine transform [13]; (ii) the properties of Chebyshev polynomials [1, 2, 13]; (iii) the discrete solution of undamped harmonic oscillator equation [18]; (iv) the construction of periodic, even symmetric sequences [15, p. 589]; (v) the limiting case of the Karhunen-Loève transform [8], and (vi) the diagonalization of the autocovariance matrix of highly correlated, stationary, Markov type-1 signals [17, p. 28]. In contrast to the DHT case, the DCT derivation tends to be more sophisticated.

Inspired by [12] and intended to the undergraduate readers, in this letter, we aim at the following goal. Based on the discrete Fourier transform basis functions, we seek to derive a real, orthogonal transformation matrix by (i) using simple mathematical methods and (ii) not requiring any matrix property besides orthogonality itself. By simple methods, we subjectively mean the set of commonly available tools to first-year undergraduate students in Engineering, such as linear algebra as in [19].

*R. J. Cintra is with the Industrial Signal Processing Laboratory, Department of Technology, UFPE, Brazil. E-mail: rjdsc@de.ufpe.br

2 Background and Notation

2.1 Notation and Definitions

We adopt the following notation. Transposition and conjugate transposition are denoted by T and H , respectively. The Iverson bracket $\llbracket P \rrbracket$ returns 1, if P is true; 0, otherwise [14]. Vectors are column vectors. Vectors and matrices are typed in boldface. The N -point inner product of vectors $\mathbf{u}$ and $\mathbf{v}$ is $\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_N = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} u[n] \cdot (v[n])^H$. A basis $\{\mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_{N-1}\}$ is orthogonal if $\langle \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{u}_k \rangle = 0$, for $i \neq k$. An orthogonal basis is orthonormal if $\langle \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{u}_k \rangle = \llbracket i = k \rrbracket$ [11, p. 32]. The imaginary unit is $j \triangleq \sqrt{-1}$. Congruence is denoted by $b \equiv a \pmod{m}$ which means $b - a = k \cdot m$, where k is an integer.

2.2 Useful Identities

Lemma 1. *Let k and $N \neq 0$ be integers.*

(i) *Then:*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{N}kn\right) = N \cdot \llbracket k \equiv 0 \pmod{N} \rrbracket. \quad (1)$$

(ii) *If N is even, then it holds true that:*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\frac{N}{2}-1} \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{N}kn\right) = \frac{N}{2} \cdot \llbracket k \equiv 0 \pmod{N} \rrbracket + \llbracket k \text{ odd} \rrbracket. \quad (2)$$

Proof. (i) See [16, 4.4.3.13, p. 641].

(ii) By direct application of [10, 1.342.2] (Lagrange's trigonometric identity), it follows that:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\frac{N}{2}-1} \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{N}kn\right) = \frac{N}{2} \cdot \llbracket k \equiv 0 \pmod{N} \rrbracket + \frac{1 - (-1)^k}{2} \cdot \llbracket k \not\equiv 0 \pmod{N} \rrbracket \quad (3)$$

$$= \frac{N}{2} \cdot \llbracket k \equiv 0 \pmod{N} \rrbracket + \frac{1 - (-1)^k}{2} \cdot (1 - \llbracket k \equiv 0 \pmod{N} \rrbracket) \quad (4)$$

$$= \left(\frac{N}{2} - \frac{1 - (-1)^k}{2}\right) \cdot \llbracket k \equiv 0 \pmod{N} \rrbracket + \frac{1 - (-1)^k}{2}. \quad (5)$$

Because N is even, if $k \equiv 0 \pmod{N}$, then k is also even and $\frac{1 - (-1)^k}{2} = 0$. Notice that $\frac{1 - (-1)^k}{2} = \llbracket k \text{ odd} \rrbracket$. $\square$

Lemma 2. *Let i , k , and $N \neq 0$ be integers.*

(i) *Then:*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{N}in\right) \cdot \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{N}kn\right) = \frac{N}{2} \cdot (\llbracket i - k \equiv 0 \pmod{N} \rrbracket + \llbracket i + k \equiv 0 \pmod{N} \rrbracket). \quad (6)$$

(ii) *If N is even, then it holds true that:*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\frac{N}{2}-1} \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{N}in\right) \cdot \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{N}kn\right) = \frac{N}{4} \cdot \llbracket i - k \equiv 0 \pmod{N} \rrbracket + \frac{N}{4} \cdot \llbracket i + k \equiv 0 \pmod{N} \rrbracket + \llbracket (i + k) \text{ odd} \rrbracket. \quad (7)$$

Proof. Both results follow from the application of Lemma 1 to the identity: $\cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{N}i \cdot n\right) \cdot \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{N}k \cdot n\right) = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{N}(i-k)n\right) + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{N}(i+k)n\right)$. (i) See [16, 4.4.4.6–8, p. 641]. (ii) Notice that $\llbracket(i-k) \text{ odd} \rrbracket = \llbracket(i+k) \text{ odd} \rrbracket$. The zeros for the expression in item (ii) are in [16, 4.4.4.5, p. 641]. $\square$

Corollary 1. *If $0 \leq i, k \leq \frac{N}{2} - 1$, then (7) becomes:*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\frac{N}{2}-1} \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{N}in\right) \cdot \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{N}kn\right) = \frac{N}{4} \cdot \llbracket i-k \equiv 0 \pmod{N} \rrbracket + \frac{N}{4} \cdot \llbracket i+k \equiv 0 \pmod{N} \rrbracket + \llbracket (i+k) \text{ odd} \rrbracket. \quad (8)$$

Proof. If $i, k = 0, 1, \dots, \frac{N}{2} - 1$, then $\llbracket i+k \equiv 0 \pmod{N} \rrbracket = 1$ only when $i = k \equiv 0 \pmod{N}$. $\square$

2.3 The Discrete Fourier Transform

The discrete Fourier transform relates an N -point input discrete-time signal $x[n] \in \mathbb{C}$, $n = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$, to an N -point frequency-domain discrete signal $X[k] \in \mathbb{C}$, $k = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$, according to [15, p. 561]:

$$X[k] = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x[n] \cdot \exp\left(-j \frac{2\pi}{N}kn\right), \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, N-1. \quad (9)$$

The DFT coefficient $X[k]$ can be seen as the inner product of the input signal (or vector) $\mathbf{x} = [x[0] \ x[1] \ \dots \ x[N-1]]^T$ and the basis function (or vector) $\mathbf{f}_k = \left[\exp\left(j \frac{2\pi}{N}kn\right)\right]_{n=0,1,\dots,N-1}^T$. Thus, we can write: $X[k] = \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{f}_k \rangle_N$. The coefficients $X[k]$, $k = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$, can be grouped in a vector, $\mathbf{X} = [X[0] \ X[1] \ \dots \ X[N-1]]^T$, and expressed in matrix format as $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{F}_N \cdot \mathbf{x}$, where $\mathbf{F}_N = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{f}_0 & \mathbf{f}_1 & \dots & \mathbf{f}_{N-1} \end{bmatrix}^H$ is the DFT matrix. The vectors $\mathbf{f}_k$, $k = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$, can be interpreted as discrete-time signals defined over the interval $n = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$:

$$f_k[n] = \exp\left(j \frac{2\pi}{N}kn\right), \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, N-1. \quad (10)$$

Vectors $\mathbf{f}_k$ (rows of $\mathbf{F}_N$) constitute an orthogonal basis for $\mathbb{C}^N$. Indeed, we have that:

$$\langle \mathbf{f}_i, \mathbf{f}_k \rangle_N = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} f_i[n] \cdot f_k^H[n] \quad (11)$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \exp\left(j \frac{2\pi}{N}in\right) \cdot \exp\left(-j \frac{2\pi}{N}kn\right) \quad (12)$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \exp\left(j \frac{2\pi}{N}(i-k)n\right) \quad (13)$$

$$= N \cdot \llbracket i \equiv k \pmod{N} \rrbracket, \quad (14)$$

which furnishes the following inner product table:

$\langle \mathbf{f}_i, \mathbf{f}_k \rangle_N$	$\mathbf{f}_0$	$\mathbf{f}_1$	$\mathbf{f}_2$	$\mathbf{f}_3$	$\mathbf{f}_4$	$\mathbf{f}_5$	$\mathbf{f}_6$	$\mathbf{f}_7$
$\mathbf{f}_0$	N	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
$\mathbf{f}_1$	0	N	0	0	0	0	0	0
$\mathbf{f}_2$	0	0	N	0	0	0	0	0
$\mathbf{f}_3$	0	0	0	N	0	0	0	0
$\mathbf{f}_4$	0	0	0	0	N	0	0	0
$\mathbf{f}_5$	0	0	0	0	0	N	0	0
$\mathbf{f}_6$	0	0	0	0	0	0	N	0
$\mathbf{f}_7$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	N

(15)

Notice that the periodic nature of the complex exponential effects modular arithmetic. While the indexes i and k range from 0 to $N-1$, the congruence $i \equiv k \pmod{N}$ can be understood $i = k$. However, we keep congruence instead of equality notation, so we do not need to pay attention to index ranges and numbers “wrapping around” on a case-by-case basis.

2.4 Real Part of the DFT

Consider the real part of the discrete Fourier transform basis as a candidate basis for an orthogonal system. Thus, the candidate basis functions are:

$$r_k[n] = \Re(f_k[n]) = \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{N}kn\right), \quad k, n = 0, 1, \dots, N-1. \quad (16)$$

To check whether this basis is orthogonal, it suffices to compute, for $i, k = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$:

$$\langle \mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{r}_k \rangle_N = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} r_i[n] \cdot r_k[n] \quad (17)$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{N}in\right) \cdot \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{N}kn\right) \quad (18)$$

$$= \frac{N}{2} \cdot (\llbracket i - k \equiv 0 \pmod{N} \rrbracket + \llbracket i + k \equiv 0 \pmod{N} \rrbracket). \quad (19)$$

It means that the set of signals $r_k[n]$, $k = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$, does not form an orthogonal set, because $\langle \mathbf{r}_k, \mathbf{r}_k \rangle = \langle \mathbf{r}_k, \mathbf{r}_{N-k} \rangle \neq 0$.

The X Pattern. For example, let $N = 8$. We have that the inner product calculation furnishes the following “saltire”-like pattern:

$\langle \mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{r}_k \rangle_N$	$\mathbf{r}_0$	$\mathbf{r}_1$	$\mathbf{r}_2$	$\mathbf{r}_3$	$\mathbf{r}_4$	$\mathbf{r}_5$	$\mathbf{r}_6$	$\mathbf{r}_7$
$\mathbf{r}_0$	N	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
$\mathbf{r}_1$	0	$\frac{N}{2}$	0	0	0	0	0	$\frac{N}{2}$
$\mathbf{r}_2$	0	0	$\frac{N}{2}$	0	0	0	$\frac{N}{2}$	0
$\mathbf{r}_3$	0	0	0	$\frac{N}{2}$	0	$\frac{N}{2}$	0	0
$\mathbf{r}_4$	0	0	0	0	N	0	0	0
$\mathbf{r}_5$	0	0	0	$\frac{N}{2}$	0	$\frac{N}{2}$	0	0
$\mathbf{r}_6$	0	0	$\frac{N}{2}$	0	0	0	$\frac{N}{2}$	0
$\mathbf{r}_7$	0	$\frac{N}{2}$	0	0	0	0	0	$\frac{N}{2}$

(20)

3 Intuitive Orthogonalization

3.1 Real and Half-sized

An approach to address the lack of orthogonality consists of removing from the candidate basis set the functions that make that the inner product computation to generate ambiguity. Thus, let us consider the new candidate basis function set given by:

$$r_k[n] = \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{N}kn\right), \quad k, n = 0, 1, \dots, \frac{N}{2} - 1. \quad (21)$$

Now k ranges from 0 to $\frac{N}{2} - 1$. This new candidate basis is simply the first half the previous candidate basis, in the hopes of keeping only the north-west corner of the “X” pattern in (20). Notice that the functions of this candidate set are $\frac{N}{2}$ -point long, thus half the size of the previous candidate functions. To verify whether this basis set is orthogonal, we have the following computation, for $i, k = 0, 1, \dots, \frac{N}{2} - 1$:

$$\langle \mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{r}_k \rangle_{\frac{N}{2}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\frac{N}{2}-1} r_i[n] \cdot r_k[n] \quad (22)$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\frac{N}{2}-1} \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{N}in\right) \cdot \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{N}kn\right) \quad (23)$$

$$= \frac{N}{4} \cdot \llbracket i + k \equiv 0 \pmod{N} \rrbracket + \frac{N}{4} \cdot \llbracket i \equiv k \pmod{N} \rrbracket + \llbracket (i + k) \text{ odd} \rrbracket. \quad (24)$$

Thus, the inner product $\langle \mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{r}_k \rangle_{\frac{N}{2}} = 1$, if $i + k$ is odd. To better understand this effect, consider $N = 16$. We have the following inner product table:

$\langle \mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{r}_k \rangle_{\frac{N}{2}}$	$\mathbf{r}_0$	$\mathbf{r}_1$	$\mathbf{r}_2$	$\mathbf{r}_3$	$\mathbf{r}_4$	$\mathbf{r}_5$	$\mathbf{r}_6$	$\mathbf{r}_7$
$\mathbf{r}_0$	$\frac{N}{2}$	1	0	1	0	1	0	1
$\mathbf{r}_1$	1	$\frac{N}{4}$	1	0	1	0	1	0
$\mathbf{r}_2$	0	1	$\frac{N}{4}$	1	0	1	0	1
$\mathbf{r}_3$	1	0	1	$\frac{N}{4}$	1	0	1	0
$\mathbf{r}_4$	0	1	0	1	$\frac{N}{4}$	1	0	1
$\mathbf{r}_5$	1	0	1	0	1	$\frac{N}{4}$	1	0
$\mathbf{r}_6$	0	1	0	1	0	1	$\frac{N}{4}$	1
$\mathbf{r}_7$	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	$\frac{N}{4}$

(25)

For instance, $\langle \mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_0 \rangle_{\frac{N}{2}} = \langle \mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2 \rangle_{\frac{N}{2}} = \langle \mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_4 \rangle_{\frac{N}{2}} = \langle \mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_6 \rangle_{\frac{N}{2}} \neq 0$. Again, the new candidate basis is not orthogonal, and it seems to be even “less orthogonal” than the candidate basis from the previous section.

3.2 Removing the Check Pattern I

3.2.1 Real, Half-sized, and Extended I

The check pattern is due to the term $\llbracket (i+k) \text{ odd} \rrbracket$ in (24), i.e. the parity of $i + k$. The following quite well-known trigonometric identity resembles the discussed pattern:

$$\llbracket (i+k) \text{ even} \rrbracket - \llbracket (i+k) \text{ odd} \rrbracket = \cos(\pi(i+k)). \quad (26)$$

The above expression corresponds to the following pattern:

i, k	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
0	1	-1	1	-1	1	-1	1	-1
1	-1	1	-1	1	-1	1	-1	1
2	1	-1	1	-1	1	-1	1	-1
3	-1	1	-1	1	-1	1	-1	1
4	1	-1	1	-1	1	-1	1	-1
5	-1	1	-1	1	-1	1	-1	1
6	1	-1	1	-1	1	-1	1	-1
7	-1	1	-1	1	-1	1	-1	1

(27)

Now notice the following manipulation:

$$\llbracket (i+k) \text{ even} \rrbracket - \llbracket (i+k) \text{ odd} \rrbracket = \cos(\pi(i+k)) \quad (28)$$

$$= \cos(\pi i) \cdot \cos(\pi k) - \sin(\pi i) \cdot \sin(\pi k) \quad (29)$$

$$= \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{N} i \frac{N}{2}\right) \cdot \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{N} k \frac{N}{2}\right). \quad (30)$$

The above quantity is $r_i \left[\frac{N}{2}\right] \cdot r_k \left[\frac{N}{2}\right]$. Thus, let us extend each basis function to be $(\frac{N}{2} + 1)$ -point long with this extra component at $n = \frac{N}{2}$. Now let us check whether the orthogonality property is satisfied.

Considering Lemma 2, we have the following expressions:

$$\langle \mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{r}_k \rangle_{\frac{N}{2}+1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\frac{N}{2}} r_i[n] \cdot r_k[n] \quad (31)$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\frac{N}{2}-1} r_i[n] \cdot r_k[n] + r_i\left[\frac{N}{2}\right] \cdot r_k\left[\frac{N}{2}\right] \quad (32)$$

$$= \frac{N}{4} \cdot \llbracket i+k \equiv 0 \pmod{N} \rrbracket + \frac{N}{4} \cdot \llbracket i \equiv k \pmod{N} \rrbracket + \llbracket (i+k) \text{ odd} \rrbracket \\ + \llbracket (i+k) \text{ even} \rrbracket - \llbracket (i+k) \text{ odd} \rrbracket \quad (33)$$

$$= \frac{N}{4} \cdot \llbracket i+k \equiv 0 \pmod{N} \rrbracket + \frac{N}{4} \cdot \llbracket i \equiv k \pmod{N} \rrbracket + \llbracket (i+k) \text{ even} \rrbracket. \quad (34)$$

The trick did not work well. The inner product is still dependent on the parity of $i+k$, which is given by $\llbracket (i+k) \text{ even} \rrbracket$. To illustrate, consider $N = 16$. Now we have an inverse check pattern with ones scattered at locations of opposite parity, as shown below:

$\langle \mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{r}_k \rangle_{\frac{N}{2}+1}$	$\mathbf{r}_0$	$\mathbf{r}_1$	$\mathbf{r}_2$	$\mathbf{r}_3$	$\mathbf{r}_4$	$\mathbf{r}_5$	$\mathbf{r}_6$	$\mathbf{r}_7$	$\mathbf{r}_8$
$\mathbf{r}_0$	$\frac{N}{2} + 1$	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1
$\mathbf{r}_1$	0	$\frac{N}{4} + 1$	0	1	0	1	0	1	0
$\mathbf{r}_2$	1	0	$\frac{N}{4} + 1$	0	1	0	1	0	1
$\mathbf{r}_3$	0	1	0	$\frac{N}{4} + 1$	0	1	0	1	0
$\mathbf{r}_4$	1	0	1	0	$\frac{N}{4} + 1$	0	1	0	1
$\mathbf{r}_5$	0	1	0	1	0	$\frac{N}{4} + 1$	0	1	0
$\mathbf{r}_6$	1	0	1	0	1	0	$\frac{N}{4} + 1$	0	1
$\mathbf{r}_7$	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	$\frac{N}{4} + 1$	0
$\mathbf{r}_8$	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	$\frac{N}{2} + 1$

(35)

3.2.2 Real, Half-sized, and Extended II

Let us try again with a small modification. Consider the following extension to the candidate basis functions:

$$\check{r}_i[n] = \begin{cases} r_i[n], & \text{if } n = 0, 1, \dots, \frac{N}{2} - 1, \\ a \cdot r_i\left[\frac{N}{2}\right], & \text{if } n = \frac{N}{2}. \end{cases} \quad (36)$$

Now the included term at $n = \frac{N}{2}$ is scaled by a real-valued factor $a \neq 0$. Let us repeat the check for orthogonality. Considering (34), we have:

$$\langle \check{\mathbf{r}}_i, \check{\mathbf{r}}_k \rangle_{\frac{N}{2}+1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\frac{N}{2}} \check{r}_i[n] \cdot \check{r}_k[n] \quad (37)$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\frac{N}{2}-1} r_i[n] \cdot r_k[n] + a^2 \cdot r_i\left[\frac{N}{2}\right] \cdot r_k\left[\frac{N}{2}\right] \quad (38)$$

$$= \frac{N}{4} \cdot \llbracket i+k \equiv 0 \pmod{N} \rrbracket + \frac{N}{4} \cdot \llbracket i \equiv k \pmod{N} \rrbracket + \llbracket (i+k) \text{ odd} \rrbracket + a^2 \cdot \llbracket (i+k) \text{ even} \rrbracket - a^2 \cdot \llbracket (i+k) \text{ odd} \rrbracket \quad (39)$$

$$= \frac{N}{4} \cdot \llbracket i+k \equiv 0 \pmod{N} \rrbracket + \frac{N}{4} \cdot \llbracket i \equiv k \pmod{N} \rrbracket + (1-a^2) \cdot \llbracket (i+k) \text{ odd} \rrbracket + a^2 \cdot \llbracket (i+k) \text{ even} \rrbracket. \quad (40)$$

To remove the dependence on the parity of $i+k$, the term $(1-a^2) \cdot \llbracket (i+k) \text{ odd} \rrbracket + a^2 \cdot \llbracket (i+k) \text{ even} \rrbracket$ must be constant. It suffices to make $1-a^2 = a^2$. Thus, $a = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$. Consequently, $\frac{1}{2} \cdot \llbracket (i+k) \text{ odd} \rrbracket + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \llbracket (i+k) \text{ even} \rrbracket = \frac{1}{2}$ which yields:

$$\langle \check{\mathbf{r}}_i, \check{\mathbf{r}}_k \rangle_{\frac{N}{2}+1} = \frac{N}{4} \cdot \llbracket i+k \equiv 0 \pmod{N} \rrbracket + \frac{N}{4} \cdot \llbracket i \equiv k \pmod{N} \rrbracket + \frac{1}{2}. \quad (41)$$

This time the trick gave some result. Instead of the check pattern, the inner product possesses a constant bias of $\frac{1}{2}$. For $N = 16$, we have the following inner product table:

$\langle \check{\mathbf{r}}_i, \check{\mathbf{r}}_k \rangle_{\frac{N}{2}+1}$	$\check{\mathbf{r}}_0$	$\check{\mathbf{r}}_1$	$\check{\mathbf{r}}_2$	$\check{\mathbf{r}}_3$	$\check{\mathbf{r}}_4$	$\check{\mathbf{r}}_5$	$\check{\mathbf{r}}_6$	$\check{\mathbf{r}}_7$	$\check{\mathbf{r}}_8$
$\check{\mathbf{r}}_0$	$\frac{N}{2} + \frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$
$\check{\mathbf{r}}_1$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{N}{4} + \frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$
$\check{\mathbf{r}}_2$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{N}{4} + \frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$
$\check{\mathbf{r}}_3$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{N}{4} + \frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$
$\check{\mathbf{r}}_4$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{N}{4} + \frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$
$\check{\mathbf{r}}_5$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{N}{4} + \frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$
$\check{\mathbf{r}}_6$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{N}{4} + \frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$
$\check{\mathbf{r}}_7$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{N}{4} + \frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$
$\check{\mathbf{r}}_8$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{N}{2} + \frac{1}{2}$

Removal of Constant Bias. A constant bias can be removed by scaling the values of the basis functions at $n = 0$. This can be done because $r_i[0] = 1$, for all i . So let us again consider another modification to the basis functions, as follows:

$$\hat{r}_i[n] = \begin{cases} b \cdot r_i[0], & \text{if } n = 0, \\ r_i[n], & \text{if } n = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{N}{2} - 1, \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot r_i[n], & \text{if } n = \frac{N}{2}, \end{cases} \quad (43)$$

where b is a constant that must compensate the $\frac{1}{2}$ offset. Therefore, using (41), the inner product calculation yields:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\frac{N}{2}} \hat{r}_i[n] \cdot \hat{r}_k[n] = \hat{r}_i[0] \cdot \hat{r}_k[0] + \sum_{n=1}^{\frac{N}{2}} \hat{r}_i[n] \cdot \hat{r}_k[n] \quad (44)$$

$$= b^2 \cdot r_i[0] \cdot r_k[0] + \left[-1 + \sum_{n=0}^{\frac{N}{2}} \check{r}_i[n] \cdot \check{r}_k[n] \right] \quad (45)$$

$$= b^2 - 1 + \frac{N}{4} \cdot \llbracket i+k \equiv 0 \pmod{N} \rrbracket + \frac{N}{4} \cdot \llbracket i \equiv k \pmod{N} \rrbracket + \frac{1}{2} \quad (46)$$

$$= b^2 - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{N}{4} \cdot \llbracket i+k \equiv 0 \pmod{N} \rrbracket + \frac{N}{4} \cdot \llbracket i \equiv k \pmod{N} \rrbracket. \quad (47)$$

The above expression tells us that the orthogonality requires that $b^2 - \frac{1}{2} = 0$; therefore $b = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$. Consequently, the orthogonal basis is obtained by the following calculation:

$$\hat{\mathbf{r}}_k = \text{diag}(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{\frac{N}{2}}) \cdot \mathbf{r}_k, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, \frac{N}{2}, \quad (48)$$

where

$$\alpha_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot \left[\llbracket n \equiv 0 \pmod{\frac{N}{2}} \rrbracket + \llbracket n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\frac{N}{2}} \rrbracket \right]. \quad (49)$$

Still considering $N = 16$, now we have the following inner product table:

$\langle \hat{\mathbf{r}}_i, \hat{\mathbf{r}}_k \rangle_{\frac{N}{2}+1}$	$\hat{\mathbf{r}}_0$	$\hat{\mathbf{r}}_1$	$\hat{\mathbf{r}}_2$	$\hat{\mathbf{r}}_3$	$\hat{\mathbf{r}}_4$	$\hat{\mathbf{r}}_5$	$\hat{\mathbf{r}}_6$	$\hat{\mathbf{r}}_7$	$\hat{\mathbf{r}}_8$
$\hat{\mathbf{r}}_0$	$\frac{N}{2}$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
$\hat{\mathbf{r}}_1$	0	$\frac{N}{4}$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
$\hat{\mathbf{r}}_2$	0	0	$\frac{N}{4}$	0	0	0	0	0	0
$\hat{\mathbf{r}}_3$	0	0	0	$\frac{N}{4}$	0	0	0	0	0
$\hat{\mathbf{r}}_4$	0	0	0	0	$\frac{N}{4}$	0	0	0	0
$\hat{\mathbf{r}}_5$	0	0	0	0	0	$\frac{N}{4}$	0	0	0
$\hat{\mathbf{r}}_6$	0	0	0	0	0	0	$\frac{N}{4}$	0	0
$\hat{\mathbf{r}}_7$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	$\frac{N}{4}$	0
$\hat{\mathbf{r}}_8$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	$\frac{N}{2}$

(50)

The above inner product table shows that basis functions $\hat{\mathbf{r}}_0$ and $\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{\frac{N}{2}}$ have twice as much energy [15, p. 60] than any of the other basis functions. The basis functions can be made of equal energy ($\frac{N}{4}$) by means of a scaling by $\sqrt{\frac{N/4}{N/2}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$. Thus we have the following basis functions:

$$\beta_k \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}}_k, \quad (51)$$

where

$$\beta_k = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot \left[\llbracket k \equiv 0 \pmod{\frac{N}{2}} \rrbracket + \llbracket k \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\frac{N}{2}} \rrbracket \right]. \quad (52)$$

$\langle \beta_i \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}}_i, \beta_k \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}}_k \rangle_{\frac{N}{2}+1}$	$\beta_0 \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}}_0$	$\beta_1 \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}}_1$	$\beta_2 \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}}_2$	$\beta_3 \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}}_3$	$\beta_4 \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}}_4$	$\beta_5 \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}}_5$	$\beta_6 \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}}_6$	$\beta_7 \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}}_7$	$\beta_8 \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}}_8$
$\beta_0 \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}}_0$	$\frac{N}{4}$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
$\beta_1 \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}}_1$	0	$\frac{N}{4}$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
$\beta_2 \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}}_2$	0	0	$\frac{N}{4}$	0	0	0	0	0	0
$\beta_3 \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}}_3$	0	0	0	$\frac{N}{4}$	0	0	0	0	0
$\beta_4 \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}}_4$	0	0	0	0	$\frac{N}{4}$	0	0	0	0
$\beta_5 \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}}_5$	0	0	0	0	0	$\frac{N}{4}$	0	0	0
$\beta_6 \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}}_6$	0	0	0	0	0	0	$\frac{N}{4}$	0	0
$\beta_7 \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}}_7$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	$\frac{N}{4}$	0
$\beta_8 \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}}_8$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	$\frac{N}{4}$

(53)

Fortuitously we have that $\beta_i = \alpha_i$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, \frac{N}{2}$. It is worth noticing that their roles are fundamentally different. On the one hand, the scaling by α_n acts upon the time-samples of the basis functions by changing the values at $n = 0$ and $n = \frac{N}{2}$ so that orthogonality can be achieved. On the other hand, the scaling by β_k has a comparatively less important role. It is simply a scaling factor to ensure that the basis functions have the same energy and facilitate orthonormalization. For orthonormality, it suffices to multiply each basis function $\beta_k \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}}_k$ by $\sqrt{\frac{4}{N}}$.

3.3 Removing the Check Pattern II

Although the approach described in the previous section could furnish an orthogonal basis, the length of the resulting basis functions is $\frac{N}{2} + 1$. Such size does not divide the DFT length of N . So, for example, the 16-point DFT basis functions effect 9-point real-valued basis functions. If there is any advantage in keeping the basis functions at multiple sizes, this is lost in the discussed approach.

By re-examining the check pattern shown in (25), we notice that the undesirable terms 1 can be avoid if $i + k$ or $i - k$ are even. There are only two ways to achieved so: (i) either i and k are both even or (ii) i and k are both odd.

3.3.1 Real, Half-sized, and Even?

Considering the case where i and k are even, let $i = 2i'$ and $k = 2k'$, for $i', k' = 0, 1, \dots, \frac{N}{4} - 1$. Now we re-examine (22) and re-write the inner product as:

$$\langle \mathbf{r}_{2i'}, \mathbf{r}_{2k'} \rangle_{\frac{N}{2}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\frac{N}{2}-1} r_{2i'}[n] \cdot r_{2k'}[n] \quad (54)$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\frac{N}{2}-1} \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{N}(2i')n\right) \cdot \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{N}(2k')n\right) \quad (55)$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\frac{N}{2}-1} \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{N/2}i'n\right) \cdot \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{N/2}k'n\right), \quad (56)$$

where $i', k' = 0, 1, \dots, \frac{N}{4} - 1$. For $N = 32$, we have the inner product table shown below:

$\langle \mathbf{r}_{2i'}, \mathbf{r}_{2k'} \rangle_{\frac{N}{2}}$	$\mathbf{r}_0$	$\mathbf{r}_2$	$\mathbf{r}_4$	$\mathbf{r}_6$	$\mathbf{r}_8$	$\mathbf{r}_{10}$	$\mathbf{r}_{12}$	$\mathbf{r}_{14}$
$\mathbf{r}_0$	$\frac{N}{2}$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
$\mathbf{r}_2$	0	$\frac{N}{4}$	0	0	0	0	0	0
$\mathbf{r}_4$	0	0	$\frac{N}{4}$	0	0	0	0	0
$\mathbf{r}_6$	0	0	0	$\frac{N}{4}$	0	0	0	0
$\mathbf{r}_8$	0	0	0	0	$\frac{N}{4}$	0	0	0
$\mathbf{r}_{10}$	0	0	0	0	0	$\frac{N}{4}$	0	0
$\mathbf{r}_{12}$	0	0	0	0	0	0	$\frac{N}{4}$	0
$\mathbf{r}_{14}$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	$\frac{N}{4}$

(57)

The above inner product table looks promising. However, notice that we have $\frac{N}{4}$ candidate basis functions, but each function has length of $\frac{N}{2}$. Let us try to “shrink” each candidate basis function by keeping only the first half of each function. In other words, each basis function has now $\frac{N}{4}$ components only. Consequently, for $i', k' = 0, 1, \dots, \frac{N}{4}$, we have:

$$\langle \mathbf{r}_{2i'}, \mathbf{r}_{2k'} \rangle_{\frac{N}{4}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\frac{N}{4}-1} r_{2i'}[n] \cdot r_{2k'}[n] \quad (58)$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\frac{N}{4}-1} \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{N}(2i')n\right) \cdot \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{N}(2k')n\right) \quad (59)$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\frac{N}{4}-1} \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{N/2}i'n\right) \cdot \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{N/2}k'2n\right). \quad (60)$$

The above expression is identical to (22), except for the fact that the signal length is halved. So we are back to non-orthogonality issues discussed in Section 3.1 (see (25)). In particular, for $N = 32$, the inner product pattern is shown below:

$\langle \mathbf{r}_{2i'}, \mathbf{r}_{2k'} \rangle_{\frac{N}{4}}$	$\mathbf{r}_0$	$\mathbf{r}_2$	$\mathbf{r}_4$	$\mathbf{r}_6$	$\mathbf{r}_8$	$\mathbf{r}_{10}$	$\mathbf{r}_{12}$	$\mathbf{r}_{14}$
$\mathbf{r}_0$	$\frac{N}{4}$	1	0	1	0	1	0	1
$\mathbf{r}_2$	1	$\frac{N}{8}$	1	0	1	0	1	0
$\mathbf{r}_4$	0	1	$\frac{N}{8}$	1	0	1	0	1
$\mathbf{r}_6$	1	0	1	$\frac{N}{8}$	1	0	1	0
$\mathbf{r}_8$	0	1	0	1	$\frac{N}{8}$	1	0	1
$\mathbf{r}_{10}$	1	0	1	0	1	$\frac{N}{8}$	1	0
$\mathbf{r}_{12}$	0	1	0	1	0	1	$\frac{N}{8}$	1
$\mathbf{r}_{14}$	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	$\frac{N}{8}$

(61)

If the basis functions are “shrunk” by removing the odd- or even-indexed components, the result is that the “X”-like pattern re-appears (see (20)). Again we are back to the previous discussed case—no success.

3.3.2 Real, Half-sized, and Odd!

Now let us try the alternative route: keeping the odd-indexed basis functions only. The inner product calculation furnishes the following:

$$\langle \mathbf{r}_{2i'+1}, \mathbf{r}_{2k'+1} \rangle_{\frac{N}{2}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\frac{N}{2}-1} r_{2i'+1}[n] \cdot r_{2k'+1}[n] \quad (62)$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\frac{N}{2}-1} \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{N}(2i'+1)n\right) \cdot \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{N}(2k'+1)n\right) \quad (63)$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\frac{N}{2}-1} \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{N/2} \frac{2i'+1}{2} n\right) \cdot \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{N/2} \frac{2k'+1}{2} n\right) \quad (64)$$

$$= \frac{N}{4} \cdot \left\lfloor \frac{2i'+1}{2} - \frac{2k'+1}{2} \equiv 0 \pmod{\frac{N}{2}} \right\rfloor + \frac{N}{4} \cdot \left\lfloor \frac{2i'+1}{2} + \frac{2k'+1}{2} \equiv 0 \pmod{\frac{N}{2}} \right\rfloor \quad (65)$$

$$= \frac{N}{4} \cdot \left\lfloor i' - k' \equiv 0 \pmod{\frac{N}{2}} \right\rfloor + \frac{N}{4} \cdot \left\lfloor i' + k' + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{\frac{N}{2}} \right\rfloor, \quad (66)$$

Because $i', k' = 0, 1, \dots, \frac{N}{4} - 1$, the quantity $i' + k' + 1$ ranges from 1 to $\frac{N}{2} - 1$. Thus, the condition set in the above second Iverson bracket is never satisfied, and the evaluation always returns zero. Therefore, we have:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\frac{N}{2}-1} r_{2i'+1}[n] \cdot r_{2k'+1}[n] = \frac{N}{4} \cdot \left\lfloor i' - k' \equiv 0 \pmod{\frac{N}{2}} \right\rfloor, \quad i', k' = 0, 1, \dots, \frac{N}{4} - 1. \quad (67)$$

For $N = 32$, the inner product table is shown below:

$\langle \mathbf{r}_{2i'+1}, \mathbf{r}_{2k'+1} \rangle_{\frac{N}{2}}$	$\mathbf{r}_1$	$\mathbf{r}_3$	$\mathbf{r}_5$	$\mathbf{r}_7$	$\mathbf{r}_9$	$\mathbf{r}_{11}$	$\mathbf{r}_{13}$	$\mathbf{r}_{15}$
$\mathbf{r}_1$	$\frac{N}{4}$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
$\mathbf{r}_3$	0	$\frac{N}{4}$	0	0	0	0	0	0
$\mathbf{r}_5$	0	0	$\frac{N}{4}$	0	0	0	0	0
$\mathbf{r}_7$	0	0	0	$\frac{N}{4}$	0	0	0	0
$\mathbf{r}_9$	0	0	0	0	$\frac{N}{4}$	0	0	0
$\mathbf{r}_{11}$	0	0	0	0	0	$\frac{N}{4}$	0	0
$\mathbf{r}_{13}$	0	0	0	0	0	0	$\frac{N}{4}$	0
$\mathbf{r}_{15}$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	$\frac{N}{4}$

(68)

However, the above is not yet the sought orthogonal basis. The candidate basis contains $\frac{N}{4}$ functions, but each function is $\frac{N}{2}$ -point long.

3.3.3 ...and Half-sized Again

Now our goal is to “shrink” the size of the basis functions by removing redundancy. Notice that $r_k[0] = 1$. And for odd k , we have that $r_k[N/4] = 0$ and $r_k[N/2 - n] = -r_k[n]$. Therefore, we have:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\frac{N}{2}-1} r_{2i'+1}[n] \cdot r_{2k'+1}[n] = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\frac{N}{4}-1} r_{2i'+1}[n] \cdot r_{2k'+1}[n] + 0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\frac{N}{4}-1} r_{2i'+1}[N/2 - n] \cdot r_{2k'+1}[N/2 - n] \quad (69)$$

$$= 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\frac{N}{4}-1} r_{2i+1}[n] \cdot r_{2k+1}[n] + 0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\frac{N}{4}-1} (-r_{2i'+1}[n]) \cdot (-r_{2k'+1}[n]) \quad (70)$$

$$= 1 + 2 \cdot \sum_{n=1}^{\frac{N}{4}-1} r_{2i'+1}[n] \cdot r_{2k'+1}[n] \quad (71)$$

$$= -1 + 2 \cdot \sum_{n=0}^{\frac{N}{4}-1} r_{2i'+1}[n] \cdot r_{2k'+1}[n], \quad (72)$$

where $i', k' = 0, 1, \dots, \frac{N}{4} - 1$. Therefore, by applying (67), we have that:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\frac{N}{4}-1} r_{2i'+1}[n] \cdot r_{2k'+1}[n] = \frac{N}{8} \cdot \left[i' - k' \equiv 0 \pmod{\frac{N}{2}} \right] + \frac{1}{2}. \quad (73)$$

For $N = 32$, we have the following inner product table:

$\langle \mathbf{r}_{2i'+1}, \mathbf{r}_{2k'+1} \rangle_{\frac{N}{4}}$	$\mathbf{r}_1$	$\mathbf{r}_3$	$\mathbf{r}_5$	$\mathbf{r}_7$	$\mathbf{r}_9$	$\mathbf{r}_{11}$	$\mathbf{r}_{13}$	$\mathbf{r}_{15}$
$\mathbf{r}_1$	$\frac{N}{8} + \frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$
$\mathbf{r}_3$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{N}{8} + \frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$
$\mathbf{r}_5$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{N}{8} + \frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$
$\mathbf{r}_7$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{N}{8} + \frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$
$\mathbf{r}_9$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{N}{8} + \frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$
$\mathbf{r}_{11}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{N}{8} + \frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$
$\mathbf{r}_{13}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{N}{8} + \frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$
$\mathbf{r}_{15}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{N}{8} + \frac{1}{2}$

The same phenomenon shown in Section 3.2.2 takes place again. Due to the additive bias of $+\frac{1}{2}$, the basis is not orthogonal. To address this problem, we resort to the same technique as before. So consider the following candidate basis:

$$\tilde{r}_{k'}[n] = \begin{cases} c \cdot r_{2k'+1}[0], & \text{if } n = 0, \\ r_{2k'+1}[n], & \text{if } n = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{N}{4} - 1, \end{cases} \quad (75)$$

for $k' = 0, 1, \dots, \frac{N}{4} - 1$. Now let us check the orthogonality for this new tentative basis. By applying (73), we have:

$$\langle \tilde{\mathbf{r}}_{i'}, \tilde{\mathbf{r}}_{k'} \rangle_{\frac{N}{2}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\frac{N}{4}-1} \tilde{r}_{i'}[n] \cdot \tilde{r}_{k'}[n] = c^2 + \sum_{n=1}^{\frac{N}{4}-1} r_{2i'+1}[n] \cdot r_{2k'+1}[n] \quad (76)$$

$$= c^2 - 1 + \left(\frac{N}{8} \cdot \left[i' - k' \equiv 0 \pmod{\frac{N}{2}} \right] + \frac{1}{2} \right), \quad (77)$$

for $i', k' = 0, 1, \dots, \frac{N}{4} - 1$. Thus, to satisfy the orthogonality property, we must impose $c^2 - \frac{1}{2} = 0$; therefore $c = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$.

Consequently, the sought orthogonal basis is obtained by the following calculation:

$$\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_{k'} = \text{diag}\left(\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{\frac{N}{4}-1}\right) \cdot \mathbf{r}_{2k'+1}, \quad k' = 0, 1, \dots, \frac{N}{4} - 1, \quad (78)$$

where

$$\gamma_k = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot \left[\left\lfloor k \equiv 0 \pmod{\frac{N}{4}} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor k \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\frac{N}{4}} \right\rfloor \right]. \quad (79)$$

The resulting inner product table is below:

$\langle \tilde{\mathbf{r}}_{i'}, \tilde{\mathbf{r}}_{k'} \rangle_{\frac{N}{4}}$	$\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_0$	$\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_1$	$\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_2$	$\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_3$	$\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_4$	$\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_5$	$\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_6$	$\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_7$
$\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_0$	$\frac{N}{8}$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
$\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_1$	0	$\frac{N}{8}$	0	0	0	0	0	0
$\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_2$	0	0	$\frac{N}{8}$	0	0	0	0	0
$\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_3$	0	0	0	$\frac{N}{8}$	0	0	0	0
$\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_4$	0	0	0	0	$\frac{N}{8}$	0	0	0
$\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_5$	0	0	0	0	0	$\frac{N}{8}$	0	0
$\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_6$	0	0	0	0	0	0	$\frac{N}{8}$	0
$\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_7$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	$\frac{N}{8}$

(80)

If the basis functions are multiplied by $\sqrt{\frac{8}{N}}$, then orthonormality is ensured.

4 Discrete Transforms

At the end of Sections 3.2 and 3.3, we have obtained two sets of orthogonal functions. In this section, we use them to derive the associate linear transformations. In the following, the quantity N still refers to the size of the DFT which the obtained bases were derived from.

4.1 Transformation I

Let $\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x[0] & x[1] & \dots & x[\frac{N}{2}] \end{bmatrix}^\top$ be a input vector. The representation of $\mathbf{x}$ over the basis shown in (51) is given by the following coefficients:

$$X_1[k] = \left\langle \mathbf{x}, \sqrt{\frac{4}{N}} \cdot \beta_k \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{r}}_k \right\rangle_{\frac{N}{2}+1} = \sqrt{\frac{4}{N}} \cdot \beta_k \cdot \sum_{n=0}^{\frac{N}{2}} \alpha_n \cdot \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{N} kn\right) \cdot x[n], \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, \frac{N}{2}, \quad (81)$$

where

$$\alpha_i = \beta_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot \left[\left\lfloor i \equiv 0 \pmod{\frac{N}{2}} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor i \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\frac{N}{2}} \right\rfloor \right]. \quad (82)$$

Now let us re-write the above expression in terms of the basis functions length: $M = \frac{N}{2} + 1$. Thus we have:

$$X_1[k] = \sqrt{\frac{2}{M-1}} \cdot \beta_k \cdot \sum_{n=0}^{M-1} \alpha_n \cdot \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{M-1} kn\right) \cdot x[n], \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, M-1, \quad (83)$$

where

$$\alpha_i = \beta_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot \llbracket i \equiv 0 \pmod{M-1} \rrbracket + \llbracket i \not\equiv 0 \pmod{M-1} \rrbracket. \quad (84)$$

In matrix terms, we have that the transform coefficients $\mathbf{X}_1 = [X_1[0] \ X_1[1] \ \cdots \ X_1[M-1]]^T$ are given by:

$$\mathbf{X}_1 = \mathbf{T}_1 \cdot \mathbf{x}, \quad (85)$$

where the transformation matrix is

$$\mathbf{T}_1 = \sqrt{\frac{4}{N}} \cdot \left[\beta_k \alpha_n \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{N} kn\right) \right]_{k,n=0,1,\dots,\frac{N}{2}} \quad (86)$$

$$= \sqrt{\frac{2}{M-1}} \cdot \left[\beta_k \alpha_n \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{M-1} kn\right) \right]_{k,n=0,1,\dots,M-1}. \quad (87)$$

By construction, the above matrix satisfies orthogonality. Therefore, $\mathbf{T}_1^T = \mathbf{T}_1^{-1}$. Moreover, if we interchange the roles of matrix element indexes k and n , the matrix remains unaltered. Consequently, it is also true that $\mathbf{T}_1^T = \mathbf{T}_1$; thus $\mathbf{T}_1$ is involutory [3, p. 363], i.e. $\mathbf{T}_1^2 = \mathbf{I}$. In short: $\mathbf{T}_1 = \mathbf{T}_1^T = \mathbf{T}_1^{-1}$.

Listing 1 provides GNU Octave [7] commands to generate $\mathbf{T}_1$. The listings shown in this letter are not to be understood as “production codes” [9, p. 5], but are simply meant for educational purposes. In Listing 1, the variables `a` and `b` (lines 3 and 4) are numerically identical; however, we have kept them separated to emphasize their different roles: orthogonalization and normalization, respectively.

Listing 1 Matrix $\mathbf{T}_1$

```

1 F = dfmtmx(N);
2 R = real(F(1:end/2+1, 1:end/2+1));
3 a = [1/sqrt(2) ones(1, N/2-1) 1/sqrt(2)];
4 b = a;
5 T1 = sqrt(4/N) * diag(b) * R * diag(a);

```

4.2 Transformation II

Let $\mathbf{x} = [x[0] \ x[1] \ \cdots \ x[\frac{N}{4}-1]]^T$ be a input vector. Considering the basis shown in (78), the transform coefficients are given by:

$$X_2[k] = \left\langle \mathbf{x}, \sqrt{\frac{8}{N}} \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{r}}_k \right\rangle_{\frac{N}{4}} = \sqrt{\frac{8}{N}} \cdot \sum_{n=0}^{\frac{N}{4}-1} \alpha_n \cdot \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{N} \cdot (2k+1) \cdot n\right) \cdot x[n], \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, \frac{N}{4}-1, \quad (88)$$

where

$$\gamma_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot \left\llbracket i \equiv 0 \pmod{\frac{N}{4}} \right\rrbracket + \left\llbracket i \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\frac{N}{4}} \right\rrbracket. \quad (89)$$

By considering the above expression in terms of the transformation own blocklength, we have $M = \frac{N}{4}$ and therefore:

$$X_2[k] = \sqrt{\frac{2}{M}} \cdot \sum_{n=0}^{M-1} \alpha_n \cdot \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2N} \cdot (2k+1) \cdot n\right) \cdot x[n], \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, M-1, \quad (90)$$

where

$$\gamma_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot [\![i \equiv 0 \pmod{M}]\!] + [\![i \not\equiv 0 \pmod{M}]\!]. \quad (91)$$

Re-casting the above expression in matrix format yields the transformation:

$$\mathbf{X}_2 = \mathbf{T}_2 \cdot \mathbf{x}, \quad (92)$$

where $\mathbf{X}_2 = [X_2[0] \ X_2[1] \ \dots \ X_2[M-1]]^\top$ is the vector of the transform-domain coefficients and

$$\mathbf{T}_2 = \sqrt{\frac{8}{N}} \cdot \left[\alpha_n \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{N} \cdot (2k+1) \cdot n\right) \right]_{k,n=0,1,\dots,\frac{N}{4}-1} \quad (93)$$

$$= \sqrt{\frac{2}{M}} \cdot \left[\alpha_n \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2M} \cdot (2k+1) \cdot n\right) \right]_{k,n=0,1,\dots,M-1}. \quad (94)$$

Again due to its very construction, $\mathbf{T}_2^\top = \mathbf{T}_2^{-1}$. However, swapping the roles of k and n yields a different matrix. Therefore, $\mathbf{T}_2^\top \neq \mathbf{T}_2$.

Matrix $\mathbf{T}_2^\top$ is a transformation on its own right. Let us refer to it as $\mathbf{T}_3 = \mathbf{T}_2^\top$. Its basic properties are:

$$\mathbf{T}_3^\top \neq \mathbf{T}_3 \quad (95)$$

$$\mathbf{T}_3^\top = \mathbf{T}_2 \quad (96)$$

$$\mathbf{T}_3^{-1} = \mathbf{T}_2 \quad (97)$$

$$\mathbf{T}_3 \cdot \mathbf{T}_3^\top = \mathbf{I}, \quad (98)$$

where the identity matrix is $\mathbf{I}$.

Listing 2 shows GNU Octave commands to obtain matrices $\mathbf{T}_2$ and $\mathbf{T}_3$.

Listing 2 Matrices $\mathbf{T}_2$ and $\mathbf{T}_3$

```

1 F = dfmtmx(N);
2 R = real(F(2:2:end/2, 1:end/4));
3 g = [1/sqrt(2) ones(1, N/4-1)];
4 T2 = sqrt(8/N) * R * diag(g)
5 T3 = transpose(T2)

```

5 Discussion and Concluding Remarks

Results. It might be noteworthy the fact that the orthogonalization exercise described in this letter was not directed to find matrices with any particular property, except being real-valued and orthogonal. Matrices $\mathbf{T}_1$ and $\mathbf{T}_2$ were not sought to exhibit any particular “good” property or to excel in any given performance metric. The only goal was to find—somehow—an orthogonal set of real basis functions out of

the DFT basis functions.

Transforms. Surprisingly (or not?), the resulting basis and matrices are quite interesting. At this point, the reader might have already recognized that the orthogonal transformations $\mathbf{T}_1$, $\mathbf{T}_2$, and $\mathbf{T}_3$ are the DCT-I, DCT-III, and the very popular DCT-II, respectively [17, p. 15].

Blocklengths. Throughout the derivations in this letter, it was tacitly assumed that N is divisible by 2 or 4, whenever necessary.

Tricks. In this letter, we employed a number of tricks. Such maneuvers are not intended to be general, systematic procedures. They are just a “tour de force” aiming at orthogonalization “at any cost”. In fact, they are highly dependent on the DFT matrix symmetrical structure.

However, one of the tricks consists of the removal of a constant bias from the inner product pattern; we used it in (43) and (75). Because it worked twice¹, let us formalize it in the proposition below.

We use the following notation: the vector of ones is $\mathbf{1}$; the matrix of ones is $\mathbf{J} = \mathbf{1} \cdot \mathbf{1}^\top$; matrix $\mathbf{D}$ is diagonal with non-zero diagonal elements; and subscripts inform matrix dimension for clarity.

Proposition 1. *Let $\mathbf{A}_{N \times N}$ be a real matrix such that $\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} b \cdot \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{B} \end{bmatrix}$, where $b \neq 0$. If $\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{A}^\top = \mathbf{D} + c \cdot \mathbf{J}$, $c \neq 0$, then $\begin{bmatrix} \pm \sqrt{b^2 - c} \cdot \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{B}_{N \times (N-1)} \end{bmatrix}$ is orthogonal.*

Proof. The outer product rule [3, p. 287] tells us that $\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{A}^\top = (b \cdot \mathbf{1}) \cdot (b \cdot \mathbf{1})^\top + \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{B}^\top = b^2 \cdot \mathbf{J} + \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{B}^\top$. Let $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{N \times N} = \begin{bmatrix} a \cdot b \cdot \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{B}_{N \times (N-1)} \end{bmatrix}$, where $a \neq 0$ is a real number. Thus:

$$\tilde{\mathbf{A}} \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{A}}^\top = (ab)^2 \cdot \mathbf{J} + \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{B}^\top \quad (99)$$

$$= (ab)^2 \cdot \mathbf{J} + (\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{A}^\top - b^2 \cdot \mathbf{J}) \quad (100)$$

$$= (a^2 - 1) \cdot b^2 \cdot \mathbf{J} + \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{A}^\top \quad (101)$$

$$= (a^2 - 1) \cdot b^2 \cdot \mathbf{J} + \mathbf{D} + c \cdot \mathbf{J} \quad (102)$$

$$= [(a^2 - 1) \cdot b^2 + c] \cdot \mathbf{J} + \mathbf{D}. \quad (103)$$

Therefore, by setting $a = \pm \sqrt{1 - \frac{c}{b^2}}$, the matrix $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}$ becomes orthogonal. $\square$

Corollary 2. *Let $\mathbf{A}_{N \times (N-1)}$ be a real matrix. If $\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{A}^\top = \mathbf{D} + c \cdot \mathbf{J}$, $c \neq 0$, then $\begin{bmatrix} \pm \sqrt{-c} \cdot \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{A}_{N \times (N-1)} \end{bmatrix}$ is orthogonal.*

Proof. Consider the augmented matrix $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{N \times N} = \begin{bmatrix} a \cdot \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{A}_{N \times (N-1)} \end{bmatrix}$. Therefore, we have:

$$\tilde{\mathbf{A}} \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{A}}^\top = a^2 \cdot \mathbf{J} + \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{A}^\top = a^2 \cdot \mathbf{J} + \mathbf{D} + c \cdot \mathbf{J} = (a^2 + c) \cdot \mathbf{J} + \mathbf{D}. \quad (104)$$

If $a^2 + c = 0$, then $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}$ is orthogonal. As long as $c < 0$, the method above keeps the resulting matrix real-valued. $\square$

¹“If it works once, it’s a trick. If it works twice, it’s a method. [...]” [5, p. 442].

References

- [1] N. AHMED, T. NATARAJAN, AND K. R. RAO, *Discrete cosine transform*, IEEE Transactions on Computers, C-23 (1974), pp. 99–93.
- [2] N. AHMED AND K. R. RAO, *Orthogonal Transforms for Digital Signal Processing*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1975.
- [3] D. S. BERNSTEIN, *Scalar, Vector, and Matrix Mathematics*, Princeton University Press, New Jersey, 2018.
- [4] R. E. BLAHUT, *Fast algorithms for signal processing*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2010.
- [5] J. P. BOYD, *Chebyshev and Fourier Spectral Methods*, Dover Publications, Inc., Mineola, NY, 2nd ed., 2001.
- [6] R. N. BRACEWELL, *The Hartley Transform*, vol. 19 of Oxford Engineering Science Series, Oxford University Press, New York, NY, 1986.
- [7] J. W. EATON, D. BATEMAN, S. HAUBERG, AND R. WEHBRING, *GNU Octave version 9.2.0 manual: a high-level interactive language for numerical computations*, 2024.
- [8] M. D. FLICKNER AND N. AHMED, *A derivation for the discrete cosine transform*, Proceedings of the IEEE, 70 (1982), pp. 1132–1134.
- [9] G. H. GOLUB AND C. F. VAN LOAN, *Matrix Computations*, Johns Hopkins Studies in the Mathematical Sciences, The Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, MD, 3rd ed., 1996.
- [10] I. S. GRADSHTEYN AND I. M. RYZHIK, *Table of Integrals, Series, and Products*, Academic Press, Waltham, MA, 8th ed., 2014.
- [11] H. P. HSU, *Vector Analysis*, Simon and Schuster Tech Outlines, Simon and Schuster, New York, NY, 1969.
- [12] S. M. KAY, *Intuitive Probability and Random Processes using MATLAB*, Springer, New York, NY, 2006.
- [13] H. KITAJIMA, *A symmetric cosine transform*, IEEE Transactions on Computers, C-29 (1980), pp. 317–323.
- [14] D. E. KNUTH, *Two notes on notation*, The American Mathematical Monthly, 99 (1992), pp. 403–422.
- [15] A. V. OPPENHEIM AND R. W. SCHAFER, *Discrete-time signal processing*, Prentice Hall Signal Processing Series, Prentice-Hall, Upper Saddle River, NJ, 2nd ed., 1999.
- [16] A. P. PRUDNIKOV, Y. A. BRYCHKOV, AND O. I. MARICHEV, *Integrals and Series*, vol. 1, Taylor & Francis, London, UK, 1998.
- [17] K. R. RAO AND P. YIP, *Discrete Cosine Transform*, Academic Press, Inc., San Diego, CA, 1990.
- [18] G. STRANG, *The discrete cosine transform*, SIAM Review, 41 (1999), pp. 135–147.
- [19] ———, *Linear Algebra and Its Applications*, Cengage Learning, 2006.