

THE SMALL DEBORAH NUMBER LIMIT FOR THE COMPRESSIBLE FLUID-PARTICLE FLOWS

ZHENDONG FANG, KUNLUN QI, AND HUANYAO WEN

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we consider the hydrodynamic limit for the fluid-particle flows governed by the Vlasov-Fokker-Planck equation coupled with the compressible Navier-Stokes equation as the Deborah number tends to zero. The proof is based on a formal derivation via the Hilbert expansion around the limiting system, the rigorous justification of which is completed by the refined energy estimates involving the macro-micro decomposition. Compared with the existing results obtained by the relative entropy argument ([A. Mellet and A. F. Vasseur, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 281 (2008), pp. 573–596]), the present work extends to a pointwise convergence of the hydrodynamic limits with an explicit rate for the fluid-particle coupled model.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. **The model.** Many natural phenomena are described by the fluid-particle two-phase flow models, including the droplets of spray, diesel engines, sedimentation analysis, biotechnology, medicine, and mineral processes [3, 4, 40], where one phase is considered as a suspension of particles within the other phase thought as a fluid. One of the models that has been widely considered is the Vlasov-Fokker-Planck equation coupled with the compressible Navier-Stokes equations (VFP-CNS):

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_x f = \frac{9\pi}{2R^2 \rho_P} \operatorname{div}_v \left[\frac{k\mathcal{T}}{\mathcal{M}} \nabla_v f + (v - u)f \right], \\ \rho_F [\partial_t(\rho u) + \operatorname{div}_x(\rho u \otimes u) + \nabla_x \tilde{P}(\rho)] + \tilde{L}u = 6\pi \tilde{\mu} R \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v - u)f \, dv, \\ \partial_t \rho + \operatorname{div}_x(\rho u) = 0, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $f(t, x, v)$ is the density distribution function of the particles, $\rho(t, x)$, $u(t, x)$ denote the density and velocity of the fluid at time $t \geq 0$, position $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3$, velocity $v = (v_1, v_2, v_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ respectively. $\tilde{P}(\rho) = \tilde{A}\rho^\gamma$ denotes the pressure function with $\tilde{A} > 0$, $\gamma > 1$, $\tilde{L}u = -\tilde{\mu}\Delta_x u - (\tilde{\mu} + \tilde{\lambda})\nabla_x \operatorname{div}_x u$ is the so-called the Lamé operator with constants $\tilde{\mu} + \tilde{\lambda} > 0$ and $\tilde{\mu} > 0$ being the dynamic viscosity of the fluid. R , \mathcal{M} and ρ_P are the radius, mass and associated mass density of one single spherical particle, respectively. ρ_F is the mass density of the fluid, k is the Boltzmann constant, and $\mathcal{T} > 0$ is the temperature of the suspension that is assumed to be constant throughout this paper as in [22].

After re-normalization as in [10], we can deduce the scaled VFP-CNS system in the dimensionless form by introducing $\chi = \frac{\mathcal{P}}{\rho_F U^2}$, the Mach number Ma , Reynolds number Re , and Deborah number De :

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t f + \frac{1}{\operatorname{Ma}} v \cdot \nabla_x f = \frac{1}{\operatorname{De}} \operatorname{div}_v [\nabla_v f + (v - \operatorname{Ma} u)f], \\ \partial_t(\rho u) + \operatorname{div}_x(\rho u \otimes u) + \chi \nabla_x P(\rho) + \frac{1}{\operatorname{Re}} Lu = \frac{\rho_P}{\rho_F \operatorname{Ma} \operatorname{De}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v - \operatorname{Ma} u)f \, dv, \\ \partial_t \rho + \operatorname{div}_x(\rho u) = 0, \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

where \mathcal{P} is a pressure unit, U is a macroscopic velocity unit, $P(\rho) = A\rho^\gamma$ with $A > 0$ and $Lu = \frac{2}{9\tilde{\mu}} \tilde{L}u := -\mu\Delta_x u - (\mu + \lambda)\nabla_x \operatorname{div}_x u$ with dimensionless constant $\mu > 0$, $\mu + \lambda > 0$. We refer to [27, 36] for more

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 35Q99; 35B25; 35Q30; 35B40. Second: 82C40; 76N10.

Key words and phrases. Compressible Navier-Stokes equation, Vlasov-Fokker-Planck equation, Navier-Stokes-Smoluchowski equation, Hydrodynamic limit, Pointwise convergence, Hilbert Expansion.

physical background about the dimensionless analysis as well as the Mach number Ma , Reynolds number Re , and Deborah number De .

In this paper, the small Deborah number limit in the light particles regime is considered for the scaled VFP-CNS system (1.2) in the sense that

$$\chi = 1, \quad \text{Ma} = \varepsilon, \quad \text{Re} = 1, \quad \text{De} = \varepsilon^2, \quad \frac{\rho_P}{\rho_F} = \varepsilon^2. \quad (1.3)$$

Then the scaled VFP-CNS system (1.2) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t f^\varepsilon + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} v \cdot \nabla_x f^\varepsilon = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \text{div}_v [\nabla_v f^\varepsilon + (v - \varepsilon u^\varepsilon) f^\varepsilon], \\ \partial_t (\rho^\varepsilon u^\varepsilon) + \text{div}_x (\rho^\varepsilon u^\varepsilon \otimes u^\varepsilon) + \nabla_x P(\rho^\varepsilon) + Lu^\varepsilon = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v - \varepsilon u^\varepsilon) f^\varepsilon dv, \\ \partial_t \rho^\varepsilon + \text{div}_x (\rho^\varepsilon u^\varepsilon) = 0, \\ (f^\varepsilon, u^\varepsilon, \rho^\varepsilon)|_{t=0} = (f^{\varepsilon, \text{in}}(x, v), u^{\varepsilon, \text{in}}(x), \rho^{\varepsilon, \text{in}}(x)) \rightarrow (M, 0, 1), \quad \text{as } |x| \rightarrow +\infty, \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

where $M := M(v)$ is a global normalized Maxwellian equilibrium given by:

$$M(v) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}} e^{-\frac{|v|^2}{2}}. \quad (1.5)$$

Inspired by [22], taking $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, the Navier-Stokes-Smoluchowski (NSS) equation is formally deduced (see Section 2) for $(n_0(t, x), u_0(t, x), \rho_0(t, x))$:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t n_0 + \text{div}_x (u_0 n_0) = \Delta_x n_0, \\ \partial_t (\rho_0 u_0) + \text{div}_x (\rho_0 u_0 \otimes u_0) + \nabla_x P(\rho_0) + Lu_0 + \nabla_x n_0 = 0, \\ \partial_t \rho_0 + \text{div}_x (\rho_0 u_0) = 0, \\ (n_0, u_0, \rho_0)|_{t=0} = (n_0^{\text{in}}(x), u_0^{\text{in}}(x), \rho_0^{\text{in}}(x)) \rightarrow (1, 0, 1), \quad \text{as } |x| \rightarrow +\infty. \end{cases} \quad (1.6)$$

1.2. Previous results and our contributions. Due to its physical significance, the fluid-particle two-phase model has attracted people's attention for a long time, which can be traced back to the work of Caffish-Papanicolaou in [7]. In the seminal work [22, 23], Goudon-Jabin-Vasseur first established a systematic framework to study the particle-fluid two-phase flow, i.e., the VFP equation coupled with incompressible Navier-Stokes equation, where their study involved two distinct scalings for the light particles (density of the particle is much less than the fluid density, i.e., $\rho_P/\rho_F = O(\varepsilon^2)$) and fine particles (density of the particle is of the same order as gas density, i.e., $\rho_P \approx \rho_F$), as informed by dimensional analysis; our model (1.4) follows the scaling proposed in [22], though the compressible Navier-Stokes equation is considered to be coupled with the VFP equation.

To clearly state our contributions in this paper, we begin with a comprehensive overview of the fluid-particle model, encompassing the previous results of both its well-posedness and hydrodynamic limit; furthermore, the mathematical challenges and contributions of our work are illustrated for comparison.

Previous results for "well-posedness" of the fluid-particle model: There are many results concerning the well-posedness of the VFP equation coupled with the compressible Navier-Stokes system. Mellet-Vasseur studied the existence of global weak solutions to the VFP-CNS system with Dirichlet or reflection boundary conditions in [32]. Li-Mu-Wang in [28] obtained the global well-posedness of a strong solution when the initial data is a small perturbation of some given equilibrium, along with the algebraic convergence rate of a solution toward the equilibrium, and similar results can be extended to the VFP equation coupled with the non-isentropic CNS equation by Mu-Wang in [34]. Recently, the existence of a global-in-time strong solution to the VFP-CNS system with specular reflection boundary conditions was proved by Li-Liu-Yang in [29]. Besides, in the presence of various boundary conditions, the global existence of weak solutions to the incompressible case has been shown in [8, 29, 39, 41], and we refer the readers to our previous work [21] and the references therein for more results regarding the VFP-INS model. As for VFP equation coupled with the Euler equations, Carrillo-Duan-Moussa [9] and Duan-Liu [16] proved the existence and

large time behaviors of the classical solutions to the Cauchy problem, while the stability and asymptotic analysis of such a model was studied by Carrillo-Goudon in [10].

Previous results for “hydrodynamic limit” and “limiting two-phase model”: Another important research field of the fluid-particle model is its hydrodynamic limit. Carrillo-Goudon in [10] first studied the VFP equation coupled with the compressible Euler equations, where they formally derived the hydrodynamic model in the so-called “bubbling” and “flowing” regime with different scalings. The rigorous justifications for hydrodynamic limit in these two regimes were completed by Mellet-Vasseur [33] and Ballew [2], respectively. It is worth mentioning that both results relied on the relative entropy method (also called the “modulated energy” method for different asymptotic problems), which is reminiscent of the weak-strong uniqueness principle by Dafermos [5] and Lions [30]. Recently, Choi-Jung also applied a similar strategy to study the case of VFP equation coupled with compressible Navier-Stokes equations with a density-dependent viscosity [12]. The hydrodynamic limit results of the VFP equation coupled with the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations were established by Goudon-Jabin-Vasseur in [22, 23] via the weak compactness and relative entropy argument. In our previous work [21], we further rigorously justified the hydrodynamic limit with an explicit convergent rate by designing a new expansion form and applying the refined energy estimate.

Furthermore, to rigorously justify the hydrodynamic limit in a stronger topology (e.g., pointwise), it is essential to rely on the well-posedness and regularity theory of the limiting system. In fact, the limiting two-phase system in the so-called “bubbling” regime [10, Section 4.3] corresponds to the NSS system (1.6). Within this framework, Huang-Ding-Wen established the local existence and uniqueness of the strong solutions to the compressible NSS system in [24], which was later extended to global-in-time solutions for initial data with small energy near equilibrium in [14]. In the presence of a “small” external potential, the global existence of classical solutions was further proved by Ding-Huang-Li in [13]. For additional results on the NSS system, we refer the reader to [1, 11, 20]. Although this paper primarily focuses on the “bubbling” regime, another class of two-phase limiting models, arising from the “flowing” regime [10, Section 4.2], has attracted significant attention in recent years. In [37], Vasseur-Wen-Yu established the global existence of weak solutions for a bi-fluid two-phase model with a pressure law. This result was further generalized by Novotny-Pokorný in [35] and Wen in [38]. In [6], Bresch-Mucha-Zatorska proved the global existence of weak solutions to a related two-fluid compressible Stokes system for more general adiabatic index. For further developments on the limiting two-phase system in the “flowing” regime, we refer the reader to [18, 19, 26]. These results mentioned above motivate our future goal of rigorously justifying the hydrodynamic limit in the “flowing” regime.

Mathematical challenges and our contributions: As we discussed above, in the previous results concerning the hydrodynamic limit of the VFP-CNS system [2, 33], the proof essentially relied on the relative entropy argument. Albeit successful, the pointwise convergence seems to be tough to obtain through the “modulated energy” method. As a consequence, our main purpose in this paper is to rigorously justify the hydrodynamic limit from the VFP-CNS system (1.4) to the NSS system (1.6) in a pointwise sense with an explicit convergence rate. Specifically speaking, we start with looking for a special class of solutions to the scaled kinetic-fluid coupled system (1.4) in the form of Hilbert expansion, where, in contrast with the previous scalings corresponding to the flow regime [33] and bubble regime [2], we take the scaling in (1.4) from [22] to model the two-phase flow of light particles. The key point here is that the Hilbert expansion is taken around the classical solution to our limiting macroscopic system (1.6), hence, the essential part of the proof lies in the establishment of the uniform energy estimate for the remainder system (3.2), which is manageable thanks to its less singular and nonlinear property than the original coupled system (1.4). Note that this sort of strategy and expansion has been applied in justifying the stability of the Boltzmann equation near Couette flows in [17], the hydrodynamic limit of the self-organized kinetic equation coupled with a fluid equation in [25], as well as the VFP equation coupled with the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations in our preceding work [21]. However, even though the decomposition framework has proven highly effective for incompressible flows, extending this methodology to the compressible regime is highly non-trivial. The critical challenges, along with the novelties of our strategies, are illustrated below:

- In contrast with the incompressible case, where the density is a constant, the appearances of the extra continuity equation and the density-dependent pressure function in the coupled compressible Navier-Stokes equation bring more difficulties in our analysis. The notable challenge arises from the strong couplings between the momentum equations and the continuity equation, leading to severe singularity in the remainder system such that the usual expansion form of $(f^\varepsilon, u^\varepsilon, \rho^\varepsilon)$, directly derived from the classical Hilbert expansion, would no longer be sufficient to directly handle the singular terms via dissipation. Hence, we construct the expansion (1.14) based on our observation and formal analysis (see Section 2). Note that the primary distinction of the expansion in the compressible case lies in the extra density expansion of $\rho^\varepsilon = \rho_0 + \varepsilon\rho = (1 + h_0) + \varepsilon\rho$. Still, balancing the nonlinear pressure terms gives rise to a distinctive singular term $\frac{1}{\varepsilon}R_2$ in (3.3) in the compressible case,

To address this, we introduce a new auxiliary function (e.g., B_α^γ in Lemma 3.1)

$$B_\gamma^\alpha = \partial_x^\alpha [(1 + h_0 + \varepsilon\rho)^\gamma] - \partial_x^\alpha [(1 + h_0)^\gamma] - \gamma\varepsilon(1 + h_0 + \varepsilon\rho)^{\gamma-1}\partial_x^\alpha\rho,$$

and explicitly calculate its spatial derivatives before applying Taylor's expansion to each resulting component, where an $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)$ term naturally emerges and exactly cancels out the singularity of $\frac{1}{\varepsilon}$ inherent in the pressure term. This crucial cancellation enables us to uniformly control the nonlinearity from the pressure term and successfully close the energy estimates. This newly-designed expansion profile provides the “optimal” order of convergence in the sense of aligning with the order of singularity in the remainder system (3.2). It is worth mentioning that the applications of such a novel strategy, i.e., re-designing the expansion form to match the singular behavior and close the energy estimate, are expected to be extensively fruitful, especially when the high-order singularity exists.

- In addition, our study extends the previous convergence results by the relative entropy method [2, 33] to a pointwise convergence (see Corollary 1.1), thanks to the high-regularity estimates. To this end, we apply dedicated energy estimates to the reminder system (3.2) by proposing the refined energy/dissipation structures that incorporate higher regularity in both spatial and velocity variables (see Section 3.2). More specifically, our refined energy/dissipation functionals (3.9) are designed based on the well-established macro-micro decomposition [15] such that they consist of contributions from both macroscopic and microscopic parts, which also perfectly match our new expansion form (see (1.14)). The refined total energy estimate of the reminder system (3.2) can be found in Proposition 3.2.

1.3. Notations. The notations that will be used throughout this paper are introduced as follows:

(i) $A_1 \lesssim B_1$ stands for $A_1 \leq CB_1$ with generic constant $C > 0$. $A_1 \sim B_1$ stands for $C_1A_1 \leq B_1 \leq C_2A_1$ with some generic constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$.

(ii) For multi-indices $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)$ and $\beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3)$, we denote

$$\partial_x^\alpha = \partial_{x_1}^{\alpha_1} \partial_{x_2}^{\alpha_2} \partial_{x_3}^{\alpha_3}, \quad \partial_v^\beta = \partial_{v_1}^{\beta_1} \partial_{v_2}^{\beta_2} \partial_{v_3}^{\beta_3}.$$

(iii) For $d, e \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote the following inner-product and the spaces:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle u, w \rangle_x &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} uw \, dx, & \langle f, g \rangle_v &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} fg \, dv, & \|u\|_{L_x^2} &= \langle u, u \rangle_x^{\frac{1}{2}}, & \|f\|_{L_v^2} &= \langle f, f \rangle_v^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\ \langle f, g \rangle_{x,v} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} fg \, dv dx, & \|f\|_{L_{x,v}^2} &= \langle f, f \rangle_{x,v}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
H_x^d &:= \{u(x) \mid \|\partial_x^\alpha u\|_{L_x^2} < \infty, \text{ for any } |\alpha| \leq d\}, \\
H_{x,v}^d &:= \{f(x, v) \mid \|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_v^\beta f\|_{L_{x,v}^2} < \infty, \text{ for any } |\alpha| + |\beta| \leq d\}, \\
\mathcal{H}_{x,v}^d &:= \{f(x, v) \mid \|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_v^{\beta+\beta_1} f\|_{L_{x,v}^2} < \infty, \text{ for any } |\alpha| + |\beta| + |\beta_1| \leq d \text{ and } |\beta_1| = 1\}, \\
H_x^d H_v^e &:= \{f(x, v) \mid \|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_v^\beta f\|_{L_{x,v}^2} < \infty, \text{ for any } |\alpha| \leq d, |\beta| \leq e\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Let $\nu(v) = 1 + |v|^2$ and denote $\|\cdot\|_\nu$ by

$$\|f\|_\nu = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\partial_v f(x, v)|^2 + |f(x, v)|^2 \nu(v) \, dv \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

(iv) By following [15], the velocity orthogonal projection $\mathbf{P}: L_v^2 \rightarrow \text{Span}\{\sqrt{M}, v_1\sqrt{M}, v_2\sqrt{M}, v_3\sqrt{M}\}$ is denoted as

$$\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{P}_0 \oplus \mathbf{P}_1, \quad \mathbf{P}_0 g = a\sqrt{M}, \quad \mathbf{P}_1 g = v \cdot b\sqrt{M}$$

with

$$a = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} g\sqrt{M} \, dv \quad \text{and} \quad b = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} gv\sqrt{M} \, dv.$$

The linearized Fokker-Planck operator \mathcal{L} is denoted as

$$\mathcal{L}g = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{M}} \text{div}_v [M \nabla_v \left(\frac{g}{\sqrt{M}} \right)],$$

with $\text{Ker} \mathcal{L} = \text{Span}\{\sqrt{M}\}$ in L_v^2 norm, and \mathcal{L} can be decomposed by

$$\mathcal{L}g = \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})g + \mathbf{P}_1 g. \quad (1.7)$$

Notice that $\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}_0$, $\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}$ is self-adjoint in $H_{x,v}^d$, i.e., for any $f, g \in H_{x,v}^d$,

$$\langle \partial_x^\alpha (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}_0) f, \partial_x^\alpha g \rangle_{x,v} = \langle \partial_x^\alpha f, \partial_x^\alpha (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}_0) g \rangle_{x,v}, \quad \langle \partial_x^\alpha (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}) f, \partial_x^\alpha g \rangle_{x,v} = \langle \partial_x^\alpha f, \partial_x^\alpha (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}) g \rangle_{x,v}, \quad (1.8)$$

and it can be verified that

$$(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}_0)(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}) = \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}, \quad (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}_0)(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}_0) = \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}_0, \quad (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}) = \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}. \quad (1.9)$$

According to [9], the dissipative property holds for \mathcal{L} , i.e., there exists a constant $c_0 > 0$ such that

$$c_0 \|(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})f\|_\nu^2 + \|b\|_{L_v^2}^2 \leq \langle \mathcal{L}f, f \rangle_{x,v}. \quad (1.10)$$

1.4. Main results. Let $h_0(t, x) := \rho_0(t, x) - 1$, $m_0(t, x) := n_0(t, x) - 1$, the NSS system (1.6) becomes

$$\begin{cases}
\partial_t m_0 + \text{div}_x [(1 + m_0)u_0] = \Delta_x m_0, \\
\partial_t [(1 + h_0)u_0] + \text{div}_x [(1 + h_0)u_0 \otimes u_0] + \nabla_x P(1 + h_0) + Lu_0 + \nabla_x m_0 = 0, \\
\partial_t h_0 + \text{div}_x [(1 + h_0)u_0] = 0, \\
(m_0, u_0, h_0)|_{t=0} = (m_0^{in}, u_0^{in}, h_0^{in}) \rightarrow (0, 0, 0), \quad \text{as } |x| \rightarrow +\infty.
\end{cases} \quad (1.11)$$

For the NSS system (1.11) above, we have the following well-posedness result:

Proposition 1.1. *Assume that the initial data $(m_0^{in}, u_0^{in}, h_0^{in})$ satisfy*

- (i) $1 + \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^3} h_0^{in}(x) > 0$,
- (ii) $(m_0^{in}, u_0^{in}, h_0^{in}) \in H_x^6 \times H_x^6 \times H_x^6$.

Then, there exists a small constant $\delta_0 > 0$ such that if $\|(m_0^{in}, u_0^{in}, h_0^{in})\|_{H_x^6}^2 \leq \delta_0$ with $\int u_0^{in}(x) dx = 0$, the system (1.11) admits a unique global-in-time solution (m_0, u_0, h_0) satisfying

$$(m_0, u_0) \in C^0([0, +\infty); H_x^6) \cap C^1([0, +\infty); H_x^4), \quad h_0 \in C^0([0, +\infty); H_x^6) \cap C^1([0, +\infty); H_x^5).$$

Furthermore, for all $t > 0$, there exists a constant $C_0 > 0$ such that

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{E}_{ma}(t) + C_0 \mathcal{D}_{ma}(t) \leq 0, \quad (1.12)$$

and

$$\|(m_0(t, \cdot), u_0(t, \cdot), h_0(t, \cdot))\|_{H_x^6}^2 \lesssim \|(m_0^{in}, u_0^{in}, h_0^{in})\|_{H_x^6}^2 \lesssim \delta_0, \quad (1.13)$$

where the macroscopic energy functional $\mathcal{E}_{ma}(t)$ and dissipation functional $\mathcal{D}_{ma}(t)$ are defined in (3.5) and (3.6).

Remark 1.1. The Proposition 1.1 can be proved by following similar argument in [13], where, however, the well-posedness and energy estimates are obtained in the lower-regularity space, i.e.,

$$(m_0, u_0) \in C^0([0, +\infty); H_x^3) \cap C^1([0, +\infty); H_x^1), \quad h_0 \in C^0([0, +\infty); H_x^3) \cap C^1([0, +\infty); H_x^2)$$

with the corresponding initial conditions $(m_0^{in}, u_0^{in}, h_0^{in}) \in H_x^3 \times H_x^3 \times H_x^3$, $1 + \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^3} h_0^{in}(x) > 0$, and the “smallness” of $\|(m_0^{in}, u_0^{in}, h_0^{in})\|_{H_x^3}$. We are considering to remove the “smallness” assumption (at least in the two dimensions) in our future work.

We seek a solution to the VFP-CNS system (1.4) in the following form by employing (m_0, u_0, h_0) of the NSS system (1.11):

$$\begin{aligned} f^\varepsilon &= g_0 + \varepsilon g_1 \sqrt{M} + \varepsilon g \sqrt{M} \\ &= (1 + m_0)M + \varepsilon[(v \cdot u_0)(1 + m_0) - v \cdot \nabla_x m_0]M + \varepsilon g \sqrt{M}, \\ u^\varepsilon &= u_0 + \varepsilon u, \\ \rho^\varepsilon &= \rho_0 + \varepsilon \rho \\ &= (1 + h_0) + \varepsilon \rho, \end{aligned} \quad (1.14)$$

where g, u, ρ also depend on ε , but, for simplicity, we will omit the dependence in the notation throughout the paper.

Then, the main theorem is presented as follows:

Theorem 1.1. Let $(m_0(t, x), u_0(t, x), h_0(t, x))$ be the solution to the NSS equations (1.11) given by Proposition 1.1, and assume the initial data of the VFP-CNS system (1.4) to be

$$\begin{aligned} f^{\varepsilon, in}(x, v) &= (1 + m_0^{in})M + \varepsilon[(v \cdot u_0^{in})(1 + m_0^{in}) - v \cdot \nabla_x m_0^{in}]M + \varepsilon g^{in} \sqrt{M}, \\ u^{\varepsilon, in}(x) &= u_0^{in} + \varepsilon u^{in}, \\ \rho^{\varepsilon, in}(x) &= 1 + h_0^{in} + \varepsilon \rho^{in}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.15)$$

Then, there exist small constants $\varepsilon_0, \delta > 0$ such that, for any given $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$, the VFP-CNS system (1.4) admits a unique global-in-time solution $(f^\varepsilon(t, x, v), u^\varepsilon(t, x), \rho^\varepsilon(t, x))$ in the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} f^\varepsilon(t, x, v) &= (1 + m_0)M + \varepsilon[(v \cdot u_0)(1 + m_0) - v \cdot \nabla_x m_0]M + \varepsilon g \sqrt{M}, \\ u^\varepsilon(t, x) &= u_0 + \varepsilon u, \\ \rho^\varepsilon(t, x) &= 1 + h_0 + \varepsilon \rho, \end{aligned} \quad (1.16)$$

for some (g, u, ρ) satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} g &\in C^0([0, \infty); H_{x,v}^4) \cap L^2([0, \infty); \mathcal{H}_{x,v}^5), \\ u &\in C^0([0, \infty); H_x^4) \cap L^2([0, \infty); H_x^5), \\ \rho &\in C^0([0, \infty); H_x^4) \cap L^2([0, \infty); H_x^4), \end{aligned} \quad (1.17)$$

provided that

$$\|g^{in}\|_{H_{x,v}^4}^2 + \|(u^{in}, \rho^{in})\|_{H_x^4}^2 + \|m_0^{in}\|_{H_x^6}^2 + \|(u_0^{in}, h_0^{in})\|_{H_x^6}^2 \leq \delta, \quad (1.18)$$

where δ is independent of ε . In addition, the following energy estimate holds:

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} \mathbb{E}(t) + C \int_0^\infty \mathbb{D}(s) ds \lesssim \mathbb{E}(0), \quad (1.19)$$

for some constants $C > 0$ independent of ε , and the energy functional \mathbb{E} and dissipation functional \mathbb{D} are defined as follows:

$$\mathbb{E}(t) = \|g\|_{H_{x,v}^4}^2 + \|(u, \rho)\|_{H_x^4}^2 + \|m_0\|_{H_x^6}^2 + \|(u_0, h_0)\|_{H_x^5}^2 \quad (1.20)$$

and the associated dissipative functional

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{D}(t) = & \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \left(\sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta|\leq 4} \|\partial_x^\alpha \nabla_v^\beta (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})g\|_v^2 + \|b - \varepsilon u\|_{H_x^4}^2 \right) + \|(\nabla_x u, \operatorname{div}_x u)\|_{H_x^4}^2 + \|(\partial_t u, \nabla_x \rho, \nabla_x a)\|_{H_x^3}^2 \\ & + \|(\nabla_x m_0, \nabla_x u_0)\|_{H_x^5}^2 + \|(\partial_t \nabla_x m_0, \partial_t u_0, \nabla_x h_0, \operatorname{div}_x u_0)\|_{H_x^4}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (1.21)$$

Remark 1.2. The smallness constant δ in (1.18) is usually larger than δ_0 given in Proposition 1.1, and it will be determined in Section 3.

By applying the Sobolev embedding $H^2 \hookrightarrow L^\infty$, we obtain the following corollary:

Corollary 1.1. Under the conditions of Theorem 1.1, the following pointwise convergence holds:

$$|f^\varepsilon(t, x, v) - (1 + m_0(t, x))M(v)| + |u^\varepsilon(t, x) - u_0(t, x)| + |\rho^\varepsilon(t, x) - (1 + h_0(t, x))| \lesssim \varepsilon \quad (1.22)$$

for $(t, x, v) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3$.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: in Section 2, we present the formal analysis. In Section 3, we derive global-in-time *a priori* estimates for the remainder system. Finally, the proofs of the main Theorem and Corollary are illustrated in Section 4.

2. FORMAL ANALYSIS

In this section, we formally derive the NSS equations (1.6)

$$\begin{aligned} f^\varepsilon &= f_0 + \varepsilon f_1 + \varepsilon^2 f_2 + \cdots, \\ u^\varepsilon &= u_0 + \varepsilon u_1 + \varepsilon^2 u_2 + \cdots, \\ \rho^\varepsilon &= \rho_0 + \varepsilon \rho_1 + \varepsilon^2 \rho_2 + \cdots. \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

Step 1: Plugging the expansion (2.1) into the VFP-CNS system (1.4)₁, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_t(f_0 + \varepsilon f_1 + \varepsilon^2 f_2 + \cdots) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} v \cdot \nabla_x(f_0 + \varepsilon f_1 + \varepsilon^2 f_2 + \cdots) \\ &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \operatorname{div}_v \left\{ \nabla_v(f_0 + \varepsilon f_1 + \varepsilon^2 f_2 + \cdots) + [v - \varepsilon(u_0 + \varepsilon u_1 + \varepsilon^2 u_2 + \cdots)] \cdot (f_0 + \varepsilon f_1 + \varepsilon^2 f_2 + \cdots) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

The $\mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2})$ of (2.2) reads

$$\operatorname{div}_v(\nabla_v f_0 + v f_0) = \operatorname{div}_v[M \nabla_v(\frac{f_0}{M})] = 0,$$

which implies that

$$f_0(t, x, v) = n_0(t, x)M(v) \quad (2.3)$$

with $n_0(t, x)$ to be determined.

The $\mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{\varepsilon})$ of (2.2) reads

$$v \cdot \nabla_x f_0 + \operatorname{div}_v(u_0 f_0) = \operatorname{div}_v[M \nabla_v(\frac{f_1}{M})]. \quad (2.4)$$

By substituting (2.3) into (2.4) and further simplification, the equation (2.4) becomes

$$\operatorname{div}_v \left[M(\nabla_v \frac{f_1}{M} + \nabla_x n_0 - u_0 n_0) \right] = 0.$$

Hence, f_1 can be solved as

$$f_1(t, x, v) = [(v \cdot u_0)n_0(t, x) - v \cdot \nabla_x n_0(t, x)]M(v). \quad (2.5)$$

The $\mathcal{O}(1)$ of (2.2) reads

$$\partial_t f_0 + v \cdot \nabla_x f_1 = \operatorname{div}_v (\nabla_v f_2 + v f_2 - u_0 f_1 - u_1 f_0),$$

which shows

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_t f_0 + v \cdot \nabla_x f_1 dv = 0. \quad (2.6)$$

Substituting (2.3) and (2.5) into (2.6) gives

$$\partial_t n_0 + \operatorname{div}_x (n_0 u_0) = \Delta_x n_0. \quad (2.7)$$

Step 2: Then, we substitute the expansions (2.1) into the VFP-CNS system (1.4)₂ gives

$$\begin{aligned} & (\rho_0 + \varepsilon \rho_1 + \cdots) [\partial_t (u_0 + \varepsilon u_1 + \cdots) + (u_0 + \varepsilon u_1 + \cdots) \cdot \nabla_x (u_0 + \varepsilon u_1 + \cdots)] \\ & + \nabla_x P(\rho_0 + \varepsilon \rho_1 + \cdots) + L(u_0 + \varepsilon u_1 + \cdots) \\ & = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} [v - \varepsilon (u_0 + \varepsilon u_1 + \cdots)] (f_0 + \varepsilon f_1 + \cdots) dv, \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

The $\mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{\varepsilon})$ of (2.8) reads

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v f_0 dv = 0,$$

which is automatically correct since $f_0 = n_0 M$ in (2.3).

The $\mathcal{O}(1)$ of (2.8) reads

$$\rho_0 (\partial_t u_0 + u_0 \cdot \nabla_x u_0) + \nabla_x P(\rho_0) + L u_0 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v f_1 dv - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_0 f_0 dv.$$

This implies that

$$\rho_0 (\partial_t u_0 + u_0 \cdot \nabla_x u_0) + \nabla_x P(\rho_0) + L u_0 + \nabla_x n_0 = 0, \quad (2.9)$$

by considering the form of f_0 in (2.3) and f_1 in (2.5).

Step 3: Finally, substituting the expansions (2.1) into the VFP-CNS system (1.4)₃ gives

$$\partial_t (\rho_0 + \varepsilon \rho_1 + \cdots) + \operatorname{div}_x [(\rho_0 + \varepsilon \rho_1 + \cdots)(u_0 + \varepsilon u_1 + \cdots)] = 0. \quad (2.10)$$

The $\mathcal{O}(1)$ of (2.10) reads

$$\partial_t \rho_0 + \operatorname{div}_x (\rho_0 u_0) = 0. \quad (2.11)$$

Combining the equations (2.7), (2.9) and (2.11), it formally yields the limiting system (1.6).

3. ENERGY ESTIMATE

3.1. The remainder system. Based on the formal analysis in Section 2, we introduce the remainder terms of the VFP-CNS system (1.4):

$$g = \frac{f^\varepsilon - g_0 - \varepsilon g_1 \sqrt{M}}{\varepsilon \sqrt{M}}, \quad u = \frac{u^\varepsilon - u_0}{\varepsilon}, \quad \rho = \frac{\rho^\varepsilon - \varepsilon \rho_0}{\varepsilon}, \quad (3.1)$$

where

$$g_0 = n_0 M, \quad g_1 = [(v \cdot u_0) n_0 - v \cdot \nabla_x n_0] \sqrt{M}$$

and (n_0, u_0, ρ_0) is the solution to the NSS system (1.6). In other words, we seek a solution to the VFP-CNS system (1.4) in our newly-designed expansion form (1.14).

By denoting $m_0 = n_0 - 1$, $h_0 = \rho_0 - 1$, the remainder system of (g, u, ρ) is deduced as follows:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t g - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} v \cdot u \sqrt{M} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \mathcal{L} g = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} R_0 + R_1, \\ (1 + h_0 + \varepsilon \rho) (\partial_t u + u_0 \cdot \nabla_x u + u \cdot \nabla_x u_0) + L u - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} (b - \varepsilon u) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} R_2 + R_3, \\ \partial_t \rho + \operatorname{div}_x (h_0 u + \rho u_0) + \operatorname{div}_x u + \varepsilon \operatorname{div}_x (\rho u) = 0, \\ (g, u, \rho)|_{t=0} = (g^{in}(x, v), u^{in}(x), \rho^{in}(x)) \rightarrow (0, 0, 0), \quad \text{as } |x| \rightarrow +\infty, \end{cases} \quad (3.2)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}g &= -\frac{1}{\sqrt{M}}\operatorname{div}_v[M\nabla_v(\frac{g}{\sqrt{M}})] = -\Delta_v g + \frac{|v|^2}{4}g - \frac{3}{2}g, \\
Lu &= -\mu\Delta_x u - (\mu + \lambda)\nabla_x \operatorname{div}_x u, \\
g_1 &= [(v \cdot u_0)(m_0 + 1) - v \cdot \nabla_x m_0]\sqrt{M}, \\
R_0 &= -(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}_0)(v \cdot \nabla_x g_1) - v \cdot \nabla_x g - \frac{1}{\sqrt{M}}\operatorname{div}_v(u_0 g_1 \sqrt{M} + u_0 g \sqrt{M} + u m_0 M), \\
R_1 &= -\partial_t g_1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{M}}\operatorname{div}_v(u g_1 \sqrt{M} + u g \sqrt{M}), \\
R_2 &= -[\nabla_x P(1 + h_0 + \varepsilon\rho) - \nabla_x P(1 + h_0)], \\
R_3 &= -[(\rho\partial_t u_0 + \rho u_0 \cdot \nabla_x u_0 + u m_0 + u_0 a) + \varepsilon(u \cdot \nabla_x u + h_0 u \cdot \nabla_x u + u a) + \varepsilon^2 \rho u \cdot \nabla_x u],
\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

and (m_0, u_0, h_0) is the classical solution to

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t m_0 + \operatorname{div}_x[(1 + m_0)u_0] = \Delta_x m_0, \\ \partial_t[(1 + h_0)u_0] + \operatorname{div}_x[(1 + h_0)u_0 \otimes u_0] + \nabla_x P(1 + h_0) + Lu_0 + \nabla_x m_0 = 0, \\ \partial_t h_0 + \operatorname{div}_x[(1 + h_0)u_0] = 0, \\ (m_0, u_0, h_0)|_{t=0} = (m_0^{in}(x), u_0^{in}(x), h_0^{in}(x)) \rightarrow (0, 0, 0), \quad \text{as } |x| \rightarrow +\infty. \end{cases} \tag{3.4}$$

We first present the local well-posedness of the remainder system (3.2).

Proposition 3.1. *Under the conditions of Proposition 1.1, assume that $\|g^{in}\|_{H_{x,v}^4} + \|(u^{in}, \rho^{in})\|_{H_x^4} \leq M_0 < \infty$, then for any given $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0 := \varepsilon_0(M_0)$, there exists a $T_\varepsilon := T_\varepsilon(M_0) > 0$ such that the remainder system (3.2) admits a unique solution (g, u, ρ) satisfying*

$$\begin{aligned}
g &\in C^0([0, T_\varepsilon]; H_{x,v}^4) \cap L^2([0, T_\varepsilon]; \mathcal{H}_{x,v}^5), \\
u &\in C^0([0, T_\varepsilon]; H_x^4) \cap L^2([0, T_\varepsilon]; H_x^5), \\
\rho &\in C^0([0, T_\varepsilon]; H_x^4) \cap L^2([0, T_\varepsilon]; H_x^4).
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. The proof is based on the standard contractive mapping argument. We refer to [28, 31] for more details. \square

3.2. Energy and dissipation functionals. In this subsection, we present the energy and associated dissipation structure based on the Micro-Macro decomposition.

To state the energy estimate, we introduce the temporal energy and dissipation functionals of different parts:

- Macroscopic energy functional of (m_0, u_0, h_0) : for $|\alpha_1| = 6$ and $|\beta_1| = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{E}_{ma}(t) &= \|\partial_x^{\alpha_1} m_0\|_{L_x^2}^2 + \sum_{|\alpha|=1}^5 K_{1,|\alpha|} \|(\partial_x^\alpha h_0, \partial_x^\alpha m_0, \partial_x^\alpha u_0)\|_{L_x^2}^2 + \sum_{|\alpha|=0}^4 K_{2,|\alpha|} \|\partial_x^\alpha \operatorname{div}_x u_0\|_{L_x^2}^2 \\
&\quad + \sum_{|\alpha|=1}^5 K_{3,|\alpha|} \langle \partial_x^\alpha h_0, \partial_x^{\alpha-\beta_1} u_0 (1 + h_0)^2 \rangle_x + K_1 \left[\|m_0\|_{L_x^2}^2 + \|\sqrt{1 + h_0} u_0\|_{L_x^2}^2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{2A}{\gamma - 1} \|(1 + h_0)^{\frac{\gamma}{2}}\|_{L_x^2}^2 \right],
\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

and the associated macro dissipation functionals

$$\mathcal{D}_{ma}(t) = \|(\nabla_x m_0, \nabla_x u_0)\|_{H_x^5}^2 + \|(\partial_t \nabla_x m_0, \partial_t u_0, \nabla_x h_0, \operatorname{div}_x u_0)\|_{H_x^4}^2, \tag{3.6}$$

where K_1 and $K_{i,|\alpha|}$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, $|\alpha| = 1, \dots, 5$ are all positive constants. Note that one can verify that $\mathcal{E}_{ma}(t) \sim \|m_0\|_{H_x^6}^2 + \|(u_0, h_0)\|_{H_x^5}^2$.

- Microscopic energy and dissipation functional of (g, u, ρ) : for $|\beta_1| = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E}_{mi,K,1}(t) &= \|g\|_{H_x^4 L_v^2}^2 + \sum_{|\alpha|=0}^4 \|\sqrt{1+h+\varepsilon\rho} \partial_x^\alpha u\|^2 + A\gamma \|\rho\|_{H_x^4}^2, \\ \mathcal{E}_{mi,K,2}(t) &= \mu \|\nabla_x u\|_{H_x^3}^2 + (\mu + \lambda) \|\operatorname{div}_x u\|_{H_x^3}^2, \\ \mathcal{E}_{mi,K,3}(t) &= \|\partial_x \rho\|_{H_x^3}^2 + \frac{2}{2\mu + \lambda} \sum_{|\alpha|=1}^4 \langle \partial_x^\alpha \rho, (1+h+\varepsilon\rho)^2 \partial_x^{\alpha-\beta_1} u \rangle_x, \\ \mathcal{E}_{mi,K,4}(t) &= \sum_{0 \leq |\alpha|+|\beta| \leq 3} \bar{C}_{\alpha,\beta} \|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_v^{\beta+\beta_1} (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})g\|_{L_x^2}^2, \\ \mathcal{E}_{mi,F}(t) &= \|(a, b)\|_{H_x^3}^2 + \varepsilon \sum_{|\alpha|=0}^3 \langle \partial_x^{\alpha+\beta_1} a, \partial_x^\alpha b \rangle_x,\end{aligned}\tag{3.7}$$

and the associated micro dissipation functionals:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{D}_{mi,K,1}(t) &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \left[\sum_{|\alpha| \leq 4} \|\partial_x^\alpha (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})g\|_\nu^2 + \|b - \varepsilon u\|_{H_x^4}^2 \right] + \|(\nabla_x u, \operatorname{div}_x u)\|_{H_x^4}^2, \\ \mathcal{D}_{mi,K,2}(t) &= \|\partial_t u\|_{H_x^3}^2, \\ \mathcal{D}_{mi,K,3}(t) &= \|\nabla_x \rho\|_{H_x^3}^2, \\ \mathcal{D}_{mi,K,4}(t) &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta| \leq 3} \|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_v^{\beta+\beta_1} (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})g\|_\nu^2, \\ \mathcal{D}_{mi,F}(t) &= \|\nabla_x a\|_{H_x^3}^2,\end{aligned}\tag{3.8}$$

with the constant $\bar{C}_{\alpha,\beta} > 0$.

- Total temporal energy and dissipation functionals:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E}(t) &= \sum_{i=1}^4 \lambda_i \mathcal{E}_{mi,K,i}(t) + \lambda_5 \mathcal{E}_{mi,F}(t) + \lambda_6 \mathcal{E}_{ma}(t), \\ \mathcal{D}(t) &= \sum_{i=1}^4 \mathcal{D}_{mi,K,i}(t) + \mathcal{D}_{mi,F}(t) + \mathcal{D}_{ma}(t),\end{aligned}\tag{3.9}$$

where $\lambda_i > 0$, $1 \leq i \leq 6$ are constants determined in (3.76).

Considering the definitions of $\mathcal{E}(t)$, $\mathcal{D}(t)$ in (3.5) and (3.6), one can check that

$$\mathbb{E}(t) \sim \mathcal{E}(t), \quad \mathbb{D}(t) \sim \mathcal{D}(t),\tag{3.10}$$

and also the energy functional $\mathbb{E}(t)$ is continuous for any $0 \leq t \leq T$, i.e., there exists a positive constant \bar{C} depending on some known constants only, such that

$$\frac{1}{\bar{C}} \mathcal{E}(t) \leq \mathbb{E}(t) \leq \bar{C} \mathcal{E}(t), \quad \frac{1}{\bar{C}} \mathcal{D}(t) \leq \mathbb{D}(t) \leq \bar{C} \mathcal{D}(t).$$

We propose the following *a priori* assumption: for any given $T > 0$,

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} [\|g\|_{H_{x,v}^4}^2 + \|(u, \rho)\|_{H_x^4}^2] \leq \delta_1\tag{3.11}$$

for a small positive constant δ_1 .

Now we are in a position to state our main energy estimate in Section 3.

Proposition 3.2. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1 and (3.11), let (g, u, ρ) be the classical solutions to the remainder system (3.2), then, for any $0 \leq t \leq T$,*

$$\mathcal{E}(t) + \tilde{C} \int_0^t \mathcal{D}(s) ds \leq \mathcal{E}(0), \quad (3.12)$$

where the constants $\tilde{C} > 0$ are independent of $\varepsilon, \delta, \delta_1$ and T .

The following Corollary can be deduced by Proposition 3.2 together with the equivalent condition (3.10).

Corollary 3.1. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1 and (3.11), let (g, u, ρ) be the classical solutions to the remainder system (3.2), then, for any $t \in [0, T]$,*

$$\mathbb{E}(t) + \tilde{C}^* \int_0^t \mathbb{D}(s) ds \leq C^* \mathbb{E}(0), \quad (3.13)$$

where the constants $\tilde{C}^*, C^* > 0$ are independent of $\varepsilon, \delta, \delta_1$ and T .

3.3. Energy estimate of the remainder system. In this subsection, we will prove the Proposition 3.2. To this end, we first present the following lemma to evaluate $\frac{1}{1+h_0+\varepsilon\rho}$ and the singular term $\frac{1}{\varepsilon} [\nabla_x P(1+h_0+\varepsilon\rho) - \nabla_x P(1+h_0)]$ from pressure.

Lemma 3.1. *Under the assumptions of Proposition 1.1 and (3.11), we have the following uniform estimates independent of the parameter ε ,*

$$\|B^\alpha\|_{L_x^p} \lesssim \begin{cases} \|\nabla_x h_0\|_{H_x^{|\alpha|-1}} + \varepsilon \|\nabla_x \rho\|_{H_x^{|\alpha|-1}} & \text{if } 1 \leq |\alpha| \leq 4 \quad \text{and} \quad p = 2, \\ \|\nabla_x h_0\|_{H_x^{|\alpha|}} + \varepsilon \|\nabla_x \rho\|_{H_x^{|\alpha|}} & \text{if } 1 \leq |\alpha| \leq 3 \quad \text{and} \quad 2 < p \leq 6, \\ \|\nabla_x h_0\|_{H_x^{|\alpha|+1}} + \varepsilon \|\nabla_x \rho\|_{H_x^{|\alpha|+1}} & \text{if } 1 \leq |\alpha| \leq 3 \quad \text{and} \quad p = \infty, \end{cases} \quad (3.14)$$

where B^α is denoted as

$$B^\alpha = \partial_x^\alpha \left(\frac{1}{1+h_0+\varepsilon\rho} \right), \quad (3.15)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|(1+h_0)^{\gamma-1} - 1\|_{L_x^\infty} &\lesssim \|h_0\|_{H_x^2}, \\ \|(1+h_0)^{\gamma-1} - 1\|_{H_x^1} &\lesssim \|h_0\|_{H_x^1}, \\ \|(1+h_0+\varepsilon\rho)^{\gamma-1} - (1+h_0)^{\gamma-1}\|_{L_x^\infty} &\lesssim \varepsilon \|\rho\|_{H_x^2}, \\ \|(1+h_0+\varepsilon\rho)^{\gamma-1} - (1+h_0)^{\gamma-1}\|_{H_x^1} &\lesssim \varepsilon \|\rho\|_{H_x^1}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\|B_\gamma^\alpha\|_{L_x^p} \lesssim \begin{cases} \varepsilon \|\rho\|_{H_x^1} \|\nabla_x \rho\|_{L_x^2} & \text{if } p = 2, \quad |\alpha| = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad p = 3, \quad |\alpha| = 0 \\ \varepsilon \|\rho\|_{H_x^2} \|\nabla_x h_0\|_{H_x^1} & \text{if } p = 2, \quad |\alpha| = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad p = 3, \quad |\alpha| = 1, \\ \varepsilon \|\rho\|_{H_x^2} \|(\nabla_x h_0, \nabla_x \rho)\|_{H_x^1} & \text{if } p = 2, \quad |\alpha| = 2, \\ \varepsilon \|\rho\|_{H_x^2} \|(\nabla_x h_0, \nabla_x \rho)\|_{H_x^2} & \text{if } p = 3, \quad |\alpha| = 2 \quad \text{and} \quad p = 2, \quad |\alpha| = 3, \\ \varepsilon \|\rho\|_{H_x^3} \|(\nabla_x h_0, \nabla_x \rho)\|_{H_x^3} & \text{if } p = 3, \quad |\alpha| = 3 \quad \text{and} \quad p = 2, \quad |\alpha| = 4, \end{cases} \quad (3.17)$$

where B_γ^α is denoted as

$$B_\gamma^\alpha = \partial_x^\alpha [(1+h_0+\varepsilon\rho)^\gamma] - \partial_x^\alpha [(1+h_0)^\gamma] - \gamma\varepsilon(1+h_0+\varepsilon\rho)^{\gamma-1} \partial_x^\alpha \rho. \quad (3.18)$$

The complete proof can be found in Appendix A, and we explain the key points in the following Remark 3.1.

Remark 3.1. Note that handling the highly nonlinear pressure singularity relies fundamentally on the “away from vacuum” assumption for the fluid density. A direct Taylor expansion cannot be utilized to estimate the pressure term because it yields an intractable remainder. Specifically, a direct expansion gives:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla_x P(1 + h_0 + \varepsilon\rho) - \nabla_x P(1 + h_0)\|_{H_x^s} &= \|\nabla_x[(1 + h_0 + \varepsilon\rho)^\gamma] - \nabla_x[(1 + h_0)^\gamma]\|_{H_x^s} \\ &= \|\gamma\varepsilon\nabla_x\rho + \frac{\gamma(\gamma-1)}{2}\nabla_x[(1 + h_0 + \varepsilon\rho)^{\gamma-2}\varepsilon^2\rho^2]\|_{H_x^s}, \end{aligned}$$

where the intermediate state $\eta = \eta(t, x) \in (0, \varepsilon)$ depends on time t and space x . Hence, the higher-order derivative term $\partial_x^\alpha \eta(t, x)$ is hard to bound.

To overcome this difficulty, our proof structure instead relies on a recursive exact algebraic expansion. We first explicitly calculate the spatial derivatives $\partial_x^\alpha[(1 + h_0 + \varepsilon\rho)^\gamma] - \partial_x^\alpha[(1 + h_0)^\gamma]$ as shown in (A.6) for each $0 \leq |\alpha| \leq 4$. Then, we can apply the Taylor expansion (strictly up to the second order) at the base level $(1 + h_0)$ for each resulting term $(1 + h_0 + \varepsilon\rho)^{\tilde{\gamma}} - (1 + h_0)^{\tilde{\gamma}}$ (see (A.4)). This decoupling approach isolates the singular components, bypassing the problematic $\eta(t, x)$ term entirely and allowing the singularities to be systematically absorbed by our adapted energy-dissipation structure.

3.3.1. Estimates of kinetic part of the remainder system. In this subsection, we will present the *a priori* estimates of the kinetic part of the remainder system (3.2)-(3.4), where the coercivity of \mathcal{L} plays an essential role.

We start with the estimate of $\mathcal{E}_{mi,K,1}(t)$ and $\mathcal{D}_{mi,K,1}(t)$.

Lemma 3.2. Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1 and (3.11), then, for any $0 \leq t \leq T$,

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{E}_{mi,K,1}(t) + C_1 \mathcal{D}_{mi,K,1}(t) \leq \tilde{C}_1 \left(\mathcal{D}_{ma}(t) + \mathcal{E}^{\frac{1}{2}}(t) \mathcal{D}(t) \right), \quad (3.19)$$

where the constants $\tilde{C}_1, C_1 > 0$ are independent of $\varepsilon, \delta, \delta_1$ and T .

Proof. By applying the derivative operator ∂_x^α with $0 \leq |\alpha| \leq 4$ to the remainder system (3.2), then multiplying each equation by $\partial_x^\alpha g, \partial_x^\alpha u, A\gamma\partial_x^\alpha\rho$, respectively, and taking the integration with respect to (x, v) and x , we have, for $|\beta| = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\|\partial_x^\alpha g\|_{L_{x,v}^2}^2 + \|\sqrt{1 + h_0 + \varepsilon\rho} \partial_x^\alpha u\|_{L_x^2}^2 + A\gamma\|\partial_x^\alpha\rho\|_{L_x^2}^2 \right) + \frac{c_0}{\varepsilon^2} \|\partial_x^\alpha(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})g\|_\nu^2 \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \|\partial_x^\alpha(b - \varepsilon u)\|_{L_x^2}^2 + \mu\|\partial_x^{\alpha+\beta}u\|_{L_x^2}^2 + (\mu + \lambda)\|\partial_x^\alpha \operatorname{div}_x u\|_{L_x^2}^2 \\ & \leq \underbrace{\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \langle \partial_x^\alpha R_0, \partial_x^\alpha g \rangle_{x,v}}_{B_{11}} + \underbrace{\langle \partial_x^\alpha R_1, \partial_x^\alpha g \rangle_{x,v}}_{B_{12}} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \langle \partial_x^\alpha R_2, \partial_x^\alpha u \rangle_x}_{B_{13}} + \underbrace{\langle \partial_x^\alpha R_3, \partial_x^\alpha u \rangle_x}_{B_{14}} \\ & \quad - \underbrace{\langle \partial_x^\alpha [(1 + h_0)(u_0 \cdot \partial_x u + u \cdot \partial_x u_0)], \partial_x^\alpha u \rangle_x}_{B_{15}} - \underbrace{\sum_{1 \leq |\tilde{\alpha}| \leq |\alpha|} C_{\alpha, \tilde{\alpha}} \langle \partial_x^{\tilde{\alpha}}(1 + h_0 + \varepsilon\rho) \partial_t \partial_x^{\alpha - \tilde{\alpha}} u_0, \partial_x^\alpha u \rangle_x}_{B_{16}} \\ & \quad - \underbrace{A\gamma \langle \partial_x^\alpha \operatorname{div}_x(h_0 u + \varepsilon\rho u), \partial_x^\alpha \rho \rangle_x}_{B_{17}} - \underbrace{A\gamma \langle \partial_x^\alpha \operatorname{div}_x(\rho u_0), \partial_x^\alpha \rho \rangle_x}_{B_{18}} - \underbrace{A\gamma \langle \partial_x^\alpha \operatorname{div}_x u, \partial_x^\alpha \rho \rangle_x}_{B_{19}}, \quad (3.20) \end{aligned}$$

where we consider the decomposition $\mathcal{L}g = \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})g + \mathbf{P}_1 g$ in (1.7) and inequality (1.10), and R_0, R_1, R_2, R_3 are given as in (3.3).

Recalling the definition of R_0 in (3.3), we can split B_{11} into the following four terms:

$$\begin{aligned}
B_{11} = & \underbrace{-\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \langle \partial_x^\alpha [(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}_0)(v \cdot \nabla_x g_1)], \partial_x^\alpha g \rangle_{x,v}}_{B_{111}} - \underbrace{\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \langle \partial_x^\alpha \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{M}} \operatorname{div}_v(u_0 g_1 \sqrt{M}) \right], \partial_x^\alpha g \rangle_{x,v}}_{B_{112}} \\
& - \underbrace{\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \langle \partial_x^\alpha \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{M}} \operatorname{div}_v(u_0 g \sqrt{M}) \right], \partial_x^\alpha g \rangle_{x,v}}_{B_{113}} - \underbrace{\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \langle \partial_x^\alpha \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{M}} \operatorname{div}_v(um_0 M) \right], \partial_x^\alpha g \rangle_{x,v}}_{B_{114}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

For B_{111} , by substituting g_1 in (3.3) and noticing (1.8), we have, for $|\beta| = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
B_{111} &= -\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \langle v \cdot \partial_x^{\alpha+\beta} g_1, \partial_x^\alpha (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}) g \rangle_{x,v} - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \langle v \cdot \partial_x^{\alpha+\beta} g_1, v \cdot \partial_x^\alpha b \sqrt{M} \rangle_{x,v} \\
&= -\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \langle v \cdot \partial_x^{\alpha+\beta} (v \cdot u_0 m_0) \sqrt{M}, \partial_x^\alpha (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}) g \rangle_{x,v} - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \langle v \cdot \partial_x^{\alpha+\beta} (v \cdot u_0) \sqrt{M}, \partial_x^\alpha (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}) g \rangle_{x,v} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \langle v \cdot \partial_x^{\alpha+\beta} (v \cdot \partial_x m_0) \sqrt{M}, \partial_x^\alpha (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}) g \rangle_{x,v},
\end{aligned}$$

where $(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}_0)g = (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}_0)(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})g + v \cdot b \sqrt{M} = (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})g + v \cdot b \sqrt{M}$ is used in the second equality. Then, $|B_{111}|$ is bounded by, for $|\beta| = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
|B_{111}| &\leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon} \|u_0\|_{L_x^3} \|m_0\|_{L_x^6} \|(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})g\|_{L_{x,v}^2} + \frac{C}{\varepsilon} \|\nabla_x u_0\|_{L_x^2} \|(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})g\|_{L_{x,v}^2} + \frac{C}{\varepsilon} \|\nabla_x m_0\|_{L_x^2} \|(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})g\|_{L_{x,v}^2} \\
&\leq \frac{C_0}{2^4 \varepsilon^2} \|(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})g\|_{H_x^1 L_v^2}^2 + C \|(\nabla_x m_0, \nabla_x u_0)\|_{L_x^2}^2 + \frac{C}{\varepsilon} \|u_0\|_{H_x^1} \|\nabla_x m_0\|_{L_x^2} \|(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})g\|_{L_{x,v}^2} \\
&\leq \frac{c_0}{2^4 \varepsilon^2} \|(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})g\|_{H_x^1 L_v^2}^2 + C \mathcal{D}_{ma}(t) + C \mathcal{E}^{\frac{1}{2}}(t) \mathcal{D}(t), \quad \text{if } |\alpha| = 0, \\
|B_{111}| &\leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon} \sum_{1 \leq |\tilde{\alpha}| \leq |\alpha|} C_{\alpha, \tilde{\alpha}} \|\partial_x^{\tilde{\alpha}} u_0\|_{L_x^4} \|\partial_x^{\alpha - \tilde{\alpha}} m_0\|_{L_x^4} \|\partial_x^\alpha (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})g\|_{L_{x,v}^2} \\
&\quad + \frac{C}{\varepsilon} \|\partial_x^\alpha u_0\|_{L_x^2} \|\partial_x^\alpha (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})g\|_{L_{x,v}^2} + \frac{C}{\varepsilon} \|\partial_x^{\alpha+\beta} m_0\|_{L_x^2} \|\partial_x^\alpha (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})g\|_{L_{x,v}^2} \\
&\leq \frac{c_0}{2^4 \varepsilon^2} \|\partial_x^\alpha (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})g\|_{L_{x,v}^2}^2 + C \mathcal{D}_{ma}(t) + C \mathcal{E}^{\frac{1}{2}}(t) \mathcal{D}(t), \quad \text{if } |\alpha| \geq 1,
\end{aligned}$$

where the dissipative property (1.10) is employed.

Hence, we obtain

$$|B_{111}| \leq \frac{c_0}{2^4 \varepsilon^2} \left[\|(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})g\|_{H_x^1 L_v^2}^2 + \|\partial_x^\alpha (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})g\|_{L_{x,v}^2}^2 \right] + C \mathcal{D}_{ma}(t) + C \mathcal{E}^{\frac{1}{2}}(t) \mathcal{D}(t).$$

For B_{112} , by applying the similar argument as B_{111} , we also find

$$|B_{112}| \lesssim \mathcal{E}^{\frac{1}{2}}(t) \mathcal{D}(t).$$

For B_{113} , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
B_{113} &= -\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \langle \partial_x^\alpha [u_0 \partial_v (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})g - \frac{v}{2} \cdot u_0 (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})g], \partial_x^\alpha (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})g \rangle_{x,v} \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \langle \partial_x^\alpha (u_0 \partial_v \mathbf{P}g - \frac{v}{2} \cdot u_0 \mathbf{P}g), \partial_x^\alpha (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})g \rangle_{x,v} \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \langle \partial_x^\alpha [u_0 \partial_v (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})g - \frac{v}{2} \cdot u_0 (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})g], \partial_x^\alpha \mathbf{P}g \rangle_{x,v} \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \langle \partial_x^\alpha (u_0 \partial_v \mathbf{P}g - \frac{v}{2} \cdot u_0 \mathbf{P}g), \partial_x^\alpha \mathbf{P}g \rangle_{x,v} \\
&\leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon} \left(\|u_0\|_{H_x^{|\alpha|}} + \|u_0\|_{H_x^2} \right) \left[\|(\partial_x a, \partial_x b)\|_{H_x^{|\alpha|-1}} + \sum_{0 \leq |\alpha| \leq 4} \|\partial_x^\alpha (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})g\|_\nu \right] \sum_{0 \leq |\alpha| \leq 4} \|\partial_x^\alpha (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})g\|_\nu \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \langle \partial_x^\alpha (u_0 \partial_v \mathbf{P}g - \frac{v}{2} \cdot u_0 \mathbf{P}g), \partial_x^\alpha \mathbf{P}g \rangle_{x,v} \\
&\leq C \mathcal{E}^{\frac{1}{2}}(t) \mathcal{D}(t) + \underbrace{\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \langle \partial_x^\alpha (u_0 a), \partial_x^\alpha (b - \varepsilon u) \rangle_x + \langle \partial_x^\alpha (u_0 a), \partial_x^\alpha u \rangle_x}_{B_{1131}},
\end{aligned}$$

and furthermore, notice the term $-au_0$ from R_3 in (3.3), we have the following estimate for B_{1131} ,

$$\begin{aligned}
|B_{1131} - \langle u_0 a, u \rangle_x| &\leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|u_0\|_{L_x^3} \|a\|_{L_x^6} \|b - \varepsilon u\|_{L_x^2} \\
&\lesssim \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|u_0\|_{H_x^1} \|\nabla_x a\|_{L_x^2} \|b - \varepsilon u\|_{L_x^2} \\
&\lesssim \mathcal{E}^{\frac{1}{2}}(t) \mathcal{D}(t), \quad \text{if } |\alpha| = 0 \\
|B_{1131}| &\leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|\partial_x^\alpha u_0\|_{L_x^3} \|a\|_{L_x^6} \|\partial_x^\alpha (b - \varepsilon u)\|_{L_x^2} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|u_0\|_{L_x^\infty} \|\partial_x^\alpha a\|_{L_x^2} \|\partial_x^\alpha (b - \varepsilon u)\|_{L_x^2} \\
&\quad + \frac{C}{\varepsilon} \sum_{1 \leq |\tilde{\alpha}| \leq |\alpha|} \|\partial_x^{\tilde{\alpha}} u_0\|_{L_x^4} \|\partial_x^{\alpha - \tilde{\alpha}} a\|_{L_x^4} \|\partial_x^\alpha (b - \varepsilon u)\|_{L_x^2} + \|\partial_x^\alpha u_0\|_{L_x^3} \|a\|_{L_x^6} \|\partial_x^\alpha u\|_{L_x^2} \\
&\quad + \|u_0\|_{L_x^\infty} \|\partial_x^\alpha a\|_{L_x^2} \|\partial_x^\alpha u\|_{L_x^2} + C \sum_{1 \leq |\tilde{\alpha}| \leq |\alpha|} \|\partial_x^{\tilde{\alpha}} u_0\|_{L_x^4} \|\partial_x^{\alpha - \tilde{\alpha}} a\|_{L_x^4} \|\partial_x^\alpha u\|_{L_x^2} \\
&\lesssim \mathcal{E}^{\frac{1}{2}}(t) \mathcal{D}(t), \quad \text{if } |\alpha| \geq 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have

$$|B_{113}| \lesssim \mathcal{E}^{\frac{1}{2}}(t) \mathcal{D}(t).$$

By applying the similar argument as B_{113} , we also find

$$|B_{114}| \lesssim \mathcal{E}^{\frac{1}{2}}(t) \mathcal{D}(t).$$

By inserting all the estimates of B_{111} , B_{112} , B_{113} , B_{114} into (3.21), we obtain that

$$|B_{11}| \leq \frac{c_0}{2^4 \varepsilon^2} \left[\|(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})g\|_{H_x^1 L_x^2}^2 + \|\partial_x^\alpha (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})g\|_{L_x^2}^2 \right] + C \left(\mathcal{D}_{ma}(t) + \mathcal{E}^{\frac{1}{2}}(t) \mathcal{D}(t) \right). \quad (3.22)$$

For B_{12} , by recalling the definition of R_1 in (3.3), we can split it into

$$B_{12} = \underbrace{-\langle \partial_x^\alpha \partial_t g_1, \partial_x^\alpha g \rangle_{x,v}}_{B_{121}} - \underbrace{\langle \partial_x^\alpha \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{M}} \operatorname{div}_v (u g_1 \sqrt{M} + u g \sqrt{M}) \right], \partial_x^\alpha g \rangle_{x,v}}_{B_{122}}. \quad (3.23)$$

Furthermore, by substituting g_1 , B_{121} is divided into the following two parts:

$$B_{121} = \underbrace{-\langle \partial_t \partial_x^\alpha (m_0 u_0), \partial_x^\alpha b \rangle_x}_{B_{1211}} - \underbrace{\langle \partial_t \partial_x^\alpha u_0 + \partial_t \partial_x^{\alpha+1} m_0, \partial_x^\alpha b \rangle_x}_{B_{1212}}. \quad (3.24)$$

For B_{1211} ,

$$\begin{aligned}
|B_{1211}| &= |-\langle \partial_t m_0 u_0 + m_0 \partial_t u_0, b - \varepsilon u \rangle_x - \varepsilon \langle \partial_t m_0 u_0 + m_0 \partial_t u_0, u \rangle_x| \\
&\leq (\|\partial_t m_0\|_{L_x^2} \|u_0\|_{L_x^\infty} + \|\partial_t u_0\|_{L_x^2} \|m_0\|_{L_x^\infty}) \|b - \varepsilon u\|_{L_x^2} \\
&\quad + \varepsilon (\|\partial_t m_0\|_{L_x^2} \|u_0\|_{L_x^3} + \|\partial_t u_0\|_{L_x^2} \|m_0\|_{L_x^3}) \|u\|_{L_x^6} \\
&\lesssim (\|\partial_t m_0\|_{H_x^2} \|u_0\|_{H_x^2} + \|\partial_t u_0\|_{L_x^2} \|m_0\|_{H_x^2}) \|b - \varepsilon u\|_{L_x^2} \\
&\quad + \varepsilon (\|\partial_t m_0\|_{L_x^2} \|u_0\|_{H_x^1} + \|\partial_t u_0\|_{L_x^2} \|m_0\|_{H_x^1}) \|\partial_x u\|_{L_x^2} \\
&\lesssim \mathcal{E}^{\frac{1}{2}}(t) \mathcal{D}(t), \quad \text{if } |\alpha| = 0, \\
|B_{1211}| &= |-\langle \partial_t \partial_x^\alpha (m_0 u_0), \partial_x^\alpha (b - \varepsilon u) \rangle_x - \varepsilon \langle \partial_t \partial_x^\alpha (m_0 u_0), \partial_x^\alpha u \rangle_x| \\
&\lesssim \left(\|\partial_t u_0\|_{H_x^{|\alpha|}} \|m_0\|_{H_x^{|\alpha|}} + \|u_0\|_{H_x^{|\alpha|}} \|\partial_t m_0\|_{H_x^{|\alpha|}} \right) \|\partial_x^\alpha (b - \varepsilon u)\|_{L_x^2} \\
&\quad + \varepsilon \left(\|\partial_t u_0\|_{H_x^{|\alpha|}} \|m_0\|_{H_x^{|\alpha|}} \|\partial_x u\|_{H_x^{|\alpha|-1}} + \|\partial_t m_0\|_{H_x^{|\alpha|}} \|u_0\|_{H_x^{|\alpha|}} \|\partial_x u\|_{H_x^{|\alpha|-1}} \right) \\
&\lesssim \mathcal{E}^{\frac{1}{2}}(t) \mathcal{D}(t), \quad \text{if } |\alpha| \geq 1,
\end{aligned}$$

which then implies that

$$B_{1211} \lesssim \mathcal{E}^{\frac{1}{2}}(t) \mathcal{D}(t),$$

while for B_{1212} , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|B_{1212}| &= |-\langle \partial_t u_0 + \partial_t \nabla_x m_0, b - \varepsilon u \rangle_x - \varepsilon \langle \partial_t u_0 + \partial_t \nabla_x m_0, u \rangle_x| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2^4 \varepsilon^2} \|b - \varepsilon u\|_{L_x^2}^2 + C \|\partial_t u_0 + \partial_t \nabla_x m_0\|_{L_x^2}^2 + \varepsilon \|\partial_t u_0 + \partial_t \nabla_x m_0\|_{\dot{H}_x^{-1}} \|u\|_{\dot{H}_x^1} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2^4 \varepsilon^2} \|b - \varepsilon u\|_{L_x^2}^2 + C \|(\partial_t u_0, \partial_t \nabla_x m_0)\|_{L_x^2}^2 + \frac{\mu}{2^4} \|\nabla_x u\|_{L_x^2}^2 \\
&\leq C \mathcal{D}_{ma}(t) + \frac{1}{2^4 \varepsilon^2} \|b - \varepsilon u\|_{L_x^2}^2 + \frac{\mu}{2^4} \|\nabla_x u\|_{L_x^2}^2, \quad \text{if } |\alpha| = 0, \\
|B_{1212}| &= \left| -\langle \partial_t \partial_x^\alpha u_0 + \partial_t \partial_x^{\alpha+\beta} m_0, \partial_x^\alpha (b - \varepsilon u) \rangle_x - \varepsilon \langle \partial_t \partial_x^\alpha u_0 + \partial_t \partial_x^{\alpha+\beta} m_0, \partial_x^\alpha u \rangle_x \right| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2^4 \varepsilon^2} \|\partial_x^\alpha (b - \varepsilon u)\|_{L_x^2}^2 + C \|(\partial_t \partial_x^\alpha u_0, \partial_t \partial_x^{\alpha+\beta} m_0)\|_{L_x^2}^2 + \frac{\mu}{2^4} \|\partial_x^\alpha u\|_{L_x^2}^2 \\
&\leq C \mathcal{D}_{ma}(t) + \frac{1}{2^4 \varepsilon^2} \|\partial_x^\alpha (b - \varepsilon u)\|_{L_x^2}^2 + \frac{\mu}{2^4} \|\partial_x^\alpha u\|_{L_x^2}^2, \quad \text{if } |\alpha| \geq 1,
\end{aligned}$$

which leads to

$$|B_{1212}| \leq C \mathcal{D}_{ma}(t) + \frac{1}{2^4 \varepsilon^2} \|\partial_x^\alpha (b - \varepsilon u)\|_{L_x^2}^2 + \frac{\mu}{2^4} \|(\nabla_x u, \partial_x^\alpha u)\|_{L_x^2}^2.$$

By substituting the estimates of B_{1211} and B_{1212} into (3.24), we obtain

$$|B_{121}| \leq C \mathcal{D}_{ma}(t) + \frac{1}{2^4 \varepsilon^2} \|\nabla_x^\alpha (b - \varepsilon u)\|_{L_x^2}^2 + \frac{\mu}{2^4} \|(\nabla_x u, \partial_x^\alpha u)\|_{L_x^2}^2 + C \mathcal{E}^{\frac{1}{2}}(t) \mathcal{D}(t). \quad (3.25)$$

We can also apply the similar argument as for B_{113} , and find B_{122} to be bounded by

$$|B_{122}| = \left| -\langle \partial_x^\alpha \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{M}} \operatorname{div}_v (u g_1 \sqrt{M} + u g \sqrt{M}) \right], \partial_x^\alpha g \rangle_{x,v} \right| \lesssim \mathcal{E}^{\frac{1}{2}}(t) \mathcal{D}(t). \quad (3.26)$$

Combining (3.23), (3.25), and (3.26), we have

$$|B_{12}| \leq \frac{1}{2^4 \varepsilon^2} \|\partial_x^\alpha (b - \varepsilon u)\|_{L_x^2}^2 + \frac{\mu}{2^4} \|(\nabla_x u, \partial_x^\alpha u)\|_{L_x^2}^2 + C \left(\mathcal{D}_{ma}(t) + \mathcal{E}^{\frac{1}{2}}(t) \mathcal{D}(t) \right). \quad (3.27)$$

Recalling the definition of R_2 in (3.3), we can divided B_{13} as follows: for $|\beta| = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} B_{13} &= \frac{A}{\varepsilon} \langle \partial_x^{\alpha+\beta} [(1+h_0)^\gamma] - \partial_x^{\alpha+\beta} [(1+h_0+\varepsilon\rho)^\gamma], \partial_x^\alpha u \rangle_x \\ &= \frac{A}{\varepsilon} \langle \partial_x^\alpha [(1+h_0+\varepsilon\rho)^\gamma] - \partial_x^\alpha [(1+h_0)^\gamma], \partial_x^\alpha \operatorname{div}_x u \rangle_x \\ &= \frac{A}{\varepsilon} \langle B_\gamma^\alpha, \partial_x^\alpha \operatorname{div}_x u \rangle + A\gamma \langle [(1+h_0+\varepsilon\rho)^{\gamma-1} - (1+h_0)^{\gamma-1}] \partial_x^\alpha \rho, \partial_x^\alpha \operatorname{div}_x u \rangle_x \\ &\quad + A\gamma \langle [(1+h_0)^{\gamma-1} - 1] \partial_x^\alpha \rho, \partial_x^\alpha \operatorname{div}_x u \rangle_x - B_{19}, \end{aligned}$$

where B_γ^α is defined as in (3.18) and B_{19} has been given in (3.20).

By applying the Lemma 3.1, we can have the following estimate of B_{13} , for $|\beta| = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} |B_{13} + B_{19}| &\lesssim \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|B_\gamma^0\|_{L_x^2} \|\nabla_x u\|_{L_x^2} + \|(1+h_0+\varepsilon\rho)^{\gamma-1} - (1+h_0)^{\gamma-1}\|_{L_x^3} \|\rho\|_{L_x^6} \|\nabla_x u\|_{L_x^2} \\ &\quad + \|(1+h_0)^{\gamma-1} - 1\|_{L_x^3} \|\rho\|_{L_x^6} \|\nabla_x u\|_{L_x^2} \\ &\lesssim \|\rho\|_{H_x^1} \|\nabla_x \rho\|_{L_x^2} \|\nabla_x u\|_{L_x^2} + \varepsilon \|\rho\|_{H_x^1} \|\nabla_x \rho\|_{L_x^2} \|\nabla_x u\|_{L_x^2} + \|h_0\|_{H_x^1} \|\nabla_x \rho\|_{L_x^2} \|\nabla_x u\|_{L_x^2} \\ &\lesssim \mathcal{E}^{\frac{1}{2}}(t) \mathcal{D}(t), \quad \text{if } |\alpha| = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} |B_{13} + B_{19}| &\lesssim \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|B_\gamma^\alpha\|_{L_x^2} \|\partial_x^{\alpha+\beta} u\|_{L_x^2} + \|(1+h_0+\varepsilon\rho)^{\gamma-1} - (1+h_0)^{\gamma-1}\|_{L_x^\infty} \|\partial_x^\alpha \rho\|_{L_x^2} \|\partial_x^{\alpha+\beta} u\|_{L_x^2} \\ &\quad + \|(1+h_0)^{\gamma-1} - 1\|_{L_x^\infty} \|\partial_x^\alpha \rho\|_{L_x^2} \|\partial_x^{\alpha+\beta} u\|_{L_x^2} \\ &\lesssim \|\rho\|_{H_x^2} \|(\nabla_x \rho, \nabla_x h_0)\|_{H_x^3} \|\partial_x^{\alpha+\beta} u\|_{L_x^2} + \varepsilon \|\rho\|_{H_x^2} \|\nabla_x \rho\|_{L_x^2} \|\partial_x^{\alpha+\beta} u\|_{L_x^2} \\ &\quad + \|h_0\|_{H_x^2} \|\nabla_x \rho\|_{L_x^2} \|\partial_x^{\alpha+\beta} u\|_{L_x^2} \\ &\lesssim \mathcal{E}^{\frac{1}{2}}(t) \mathcal{D}(t), \quad \text{if } |\alpha| \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$|B_{13} + B_{19}| \lesssim \mathcal{E}^{\frac{1}{2}}(t) \mathcal{D}(t). \quad (3.28)$$

By further noticing the definition of R_3 in (3.3) and considering (A.2), we can apply the similar argument of estimating B_{11} into B_{14} , B_{15} , B_{17} , and B_{18} such that

$$|B_{14}| + |B_{15}| + |B_{17}| + |B_{18}| \lesssim \mathcal{E}^{\frac{1}{2}}(t) \mathcal{D}(t), \quad (3.29)$$

On the other hand, for rest term B_{16} , we have

$$B_{16} = - \sum_{1 \leq |\tilde{\alpha}| \leq |\alpha|} C_{\alpha, \tilde{\alpha}} \langle \partial_x^{\tilde{\alpha}} h_0 \partial_t \partial_x^{\alpha-\tilde{\alpha}} u_0, \partial_x^\alpha u_0 \rangle_x - \varepsilon \sum_{1 \leq |\tilde{\alpha}| \leq |\alpha|} C_{\alpha, \tilde{\alpha}} \langle \partial_x^{\tilde{\alpha}} \rho \partial_t \partial_x^{\alpha-\tilde{\alpha}} u_0, \partial_x^\alpha u_0 \rangle_x,$$

from which, we find that B_{16} only exists when $|\alpha| \geq 1$, and can be bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} |B_{16}| &\lesssim \|\partial_x^\alpha h_0\|_{L_x^2} \|\partial_t u_0\|_{H_x^2} \|\partial_x^\alpha u\|_{L_x^2} + \sum_{1 \leq |\tilde{\alpha}| \leq |\alpha|-1} \|\partial_x^{\tilde{\alpha}} h_0\|_{L_x^4} \|\partial_t \partial_x^{\alpha-\tilde{\alpha}} u_0\|_{L_x^4} \|\partial_x^\alpha u_0\|_{L_x^2} \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon \|\partial_x^\alpha \rho\|_{L_x^2} \|\partial_t u_0\|_{H_x^2} \|\partial_x^\alpha u\|_{L_x^2} + C\varepsilon \sum_{1 \leq |\tilde{\alpha}| \leq |\alpha|-1} \|\partial_x^{\tilde{\alpha}} \rho\|_{L_x^4} \|\partial_t \partial_x^{\alpha-\tilde{\alpha}} u_0\|_{L_x^4} \|\partial_x^\alpha u_0\|_{L_x^2} \\ &\lesssim \mathcal{E}^{\frac{1}{2}}(t) \mathcal{D}(t). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we deduce that

$$|B_{16}| \lesssim \mathcal{E}^{\frac{1}{2}}(t) \mathcal{D}(t). \quad (3.30)$$

Putting all the estimates (3.22), (3.27), (3.28), (3.29) and (3.30) into (3.20), the proof can be finally completed by summing up for all $0 \leq |\alpha| \leq 4$. \square

To estimate $\partial_t u$, we give the following lemma about $\mathcal{E}_{mi,K,2}(t)$ and $\mathcal{D}_{mi,K,2}(t)$ defined in (3.7) and (3.8).

Lemma 3.3. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1 and (3.11), then, for any $0 \leq t \leq T$,*

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{E}_{mi,K,2}(t) + C_2 \mathcal{D}_{mi,K,2}(t) \leq \tilde{C}_2 \left(\mathcal{D}_{mi,K,1}(t) + \mathcal{D}_{mi,K,3}(t) + \mathcal{E}^{\frac{1}{2}}(t) \mathcal{D}(t) \right), \quad (3.31)$$

where the constants $\tilde{C}_2, C_2 > 0$ are independent of $\varepsilon, \delta, \delta_1$ and T .

Proof. By applying ∂_x^α to both-hand-sides of the remainder system (3.2)₂ with $0 \leq |\alpha| \leq 4$, multiplying it by $\partial_t \partial_x^\alpha u$ and integrating over \mathbb{R}^3 , we have, for $|\beta| = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left[\mu \|\partial_x^{\alpha+\beta} u\|_{L_x^2}^2 + (\mu + \lambda) \|\partial_x^\alpha \operatorname{div}_x u\|_{L_x^2}^2 \right] + \|\sqrt{1+h_0 + \varepsilon \rho} \partial_t \partial_x^\alpha u\|_{L_x^2}^2 \\ &= \underbrace{-\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \langle \partial_x^\alpha (b - \varepsilon u), \partial_t \partial_x^\alpha u \rangle_x}_{B_{21}} - \underbrace{\sum_{1 \leq |\tilde{\alpha}| \leq |\alpha|} C_{\alpha, \tilde{\alpha}} \langle (\partial_x^{\tilde{\alpha}} h + \varepsilon \partial_x^{\tilde{\alpha}} \rho) \partial_t \partial_x^{\alpha - \tilde{\alpha}} u, \partial_t \partial_x^\alpha u \rangle_x}_{B_{22}} \\ & \quad - \underbrace{\langle \partial_x^\alpha [(1 + h_0 + \varepsilon \rho)(u \cdot \nabla_x u_0 + u_0 \cdot \nabla_x u)], \partial_t \partial_x^\alpha u \rangle_x}_{B_{23}} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \langle \partial_x^\alpha R_2, \partial_t \partial_x^\alpha u \rangle_x}_{B_{24}} + \underbrace{\langle \partial_x^\alpha R_3, \partial_t \partial_x^\alpha u \rangle_x}_{B_{25}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.32)$$

For B_{21} , we have

$$|B_{21}| \leq \frac{1}{4^3} \|\partial_t \partial_x^\alpha u\|_{L_x^2}^2 + \frac{C}{\varepsilon^2} \|\partial_x^\alpha (b - \varepsilon u)\|_{L_x^2}^2 \leq \frac{1}{4^3} \|\partial_t \partial_x^\alpha u\|_{L_x^2}^2 + C \mathcal{D}_{mi,K,1}(t), \quad (3.33)$$

where $\mathcal{D}_{mi,K,1}(t)$ is defined in (3.7).

For B_{22} ,

$$\begin{aligned} |B_{22}| &\lesssim \sum_{1 \leq |\tilde{\alpha}| \leq |\alpha|} \left(\|\partial_x^{\tilde{\alpha}} h_0\|_{L_x^4} + \varepsilon \|\partial_x^{\tilde{\alpha}} \rho\|_{L_x^4} \right) \|\partial_t \partial_x^{\alpha - \tilde{\alpha}} u\|_{L_x^4} \|\partial_t \partial_x^\alpha u\|_{L_x^2} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{1 \leq |\tilde{\alpha}| \leq |\alpha|} \left(\|\partial_x^{\tilde{\alpha}} h_0\|_{H_x^1} + \varepsilon \|\partial_x^{\tilde{\alpha}} \rho\|_{H_x^1} \right) \|\partial_t \partial_x^{\alpha - \tilde{\alpha}} u\|_{L_x^4} \|\partial_t \partial_x^\alpha u\|_{L_x^2} \\ &\lesssim \mathcal{E}^{\frac{1}{2}}(t) \mathcal{D}(t), \end{aligned} \quad (3.34)$$

where the Hölder inequality and Sobolev embedding are used.

We obtain the estimate for B_{23} and B_{25} by using the similar argument as for B_{22} ,

$$|B_{23}| + |B_{25}| \lesssim \mathcal{E}^{\frac{1}{2}}(t) \mathcal{D}(t) \quad (3.35)$$

Recalling the definition of R_2 in (3.3), and applying (A.2) and Lemma 3.1, we can estimate B_{24} , for $|\beta| = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} |B_{24}| &= -\frac{A}{\varepsilon} \langle \partial_x^{\alpha+\beta} [(1 + h_0 + \varepsilon \rho)^\gamma] - \partial_x^{\alpha+\beta} [(1 + h)^\gamma], \partial_t \partial_x^\alpha u \rangle_x \\ &= -\frac{A}{\varepsilon} \langle \partial_x^{\alpha+1} [(1 + h_0 + \varepsilon \rho)^\gamma] - \partial_x^{\alpha+1} [(1 + h_0)^\gamma] - \gamma \varepsilon (1 + h_0 + \varepsilon \rho)^{\gamma-1} \partial_x^{\alpha+\beta} \rho, \partial_t \partial_x^\alpha u \rangle_x \\ & \quad - A \gamma \langle [(1 + h_0 + \varepsilon \rho)^{\gamma-1} - (1 + h_0)^{\gamma-1}] \partial_x^{\alpha+\beta} \rho, \partial_t \partial_x^\alpha u \rangle_x \\ & \quad - A \gamma \langle [(1 + h_0)^{\gamma-1} - 1] \partial_x^{\alpha+\beta} \rho, \partial_t \partial_x^\alpha u \rangle_x - A \gamma \langle \partial_x^{\alpha+\beta} \rho, \partial_t \partial_x^\alpha u \rangle_x \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4^3} \|\partial_t \partial_x^\alpha u\|_{L_x^2}^2 + C \|\partial_x^{\alpha+\beta} \rho\|_{L_x^2}^2 + C \mathcal{E}^{\frac{1}{2}}(t) \mathcal{D}(t) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4^3} \|\partial_t \partial_x^\alpha u\|_{L_x^2}^2 + C (\mathcal{D}_{mi,K,3}(t) + \mathcal{E}^{\frac{1}{2}}(t) \mathcal{D}(t)), \end{aligned} \quad (3.36)$$

where $\mathcal{D}_{mi,K,3}(t)$ is defined in (3.7).

Combining with (3.32), (3.33), (3.34), (3.35), and (3.36) as well as considering (A.1), we have, for $0 \leq |\alpha| \leq 4$ and $|\beta| = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} [\mu \|\partial_x^{\alpha+\beta} u\|_{L_x^2}^2 + (\mu + \lambda) \|\partial_x^\alpha \operatorname{div}_x u\|_{L_x^2}^2] + \frac{1}{4} \|\partial_t \partial_x^\alpha u\|_{L_x^2}^2 \\ \lesssim \left(\mathcal{D}_{mi,K,1}(t) + \mathcal{D}_{mi,K,3}(t) + \mathcal{E}^{\frac{1}{2}}(t) \mathcal{D}(t) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.37)$$

Summing up the above inequality with $0 \leq |\alpha| \leq 4$, the proof can be completed. \square

To close the energy estimate of the remainder system (3.2)-(3.4), we also need to study the dissipation of ρ , i.e., the estimate of $\mathcal{E}_{mi,K,3}(t)$ and $\mathcal{D}_{mi,K,3}(t)$.

Lemma 3.4. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1 and (3.11), then, for any $0 \leq t \leq T$,*

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{E}_{mi,K,3}(t) + C_3 \mathcal{D}_{mi,K,3}(t) \leq \tilde{C}_3 \left(\mathcal{D}_{mi,K,1}(t) + \mathcal{E}^{\frac{1}{2}}(t) \mathcal{D}(t) \right), \quad (3.38)$$

where the constants $\tilde{C}_3, C_3 > 0$ are independent of $\varepsilon, \delta, \delta_1$ and T .

Proof. We start with the following calculation: for $1 \leq |\alpha| \leq 4$ and $|\beta| = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \int \partial_x^\alpha \rho \cdot \left[\frac{\partial_x^\alpha \rho}{2} + \frac{(1 + h_0 + \varepsilon \rho)^2}{2\mu + \lambda} \partial_x^{\alpha-\beta} u \right] dx \\ &= \underbrace{\langle \partial_x^\alpha \rho, \partial_t \partial_x^\alpha \rho \rangle_x}_{B_{31}} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2\mu + \lambda} \langle (1 + h_0 + \varepsilon \rho)^2 \partial_t \partial_x^\alpha \rho, \partial_x^{\alpha-\beta} u \rangle_x}_{B_{32}} \\ & \quad + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2\mu + \lambda} \langle (1 + h_0 + \varepsilon \rho)^2 \partial_x^\alpha \rho, \partial_t \partial_x^{\alpha-\beta} u \rangle_x}_{B_{33}} + \underbrace{\frac{2}{2\mu + \lambda} \langle (1 + h_0 + \varepsilon \rho) (\partial_t h_0 + \varepsilon \partial_t \rho) \partial_x^\alpha \rho, \partial_x^{\alpha-\beta} u \rangle_x}_{B_{34}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.39)$$

For B_{31} , by substituting (3.2)₃ and considering (3.11), we have, for $|\beta| = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} B_{31} &= - \langle \partial_x^\alpha \rho, \partial_x^\alpha \operatorname{div}_x [(1 + h_0 + \varepsilon \rho) u] \rangle_x - \langle \partial_x^\alpha \rho, \partial_x^\alpha \operatorname{div}_x (\rho u_0) \rangle_x \\ &= - \langle \partial_x^\alpha \rho, \partial_x^\alpha \operatorname{div}_x u (1 + h_0 + \varepsilon \rho) \rangle_x - \langle \partial_x^\alpha \rho, (\partial_x^\alpha h_0 + \varepsilon \partial_x^\alpha \rho) \operatorname{div}_x u \rangle_x + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \langle \operatorname{div}_x u, (\partial_x^\alpha \rho)^2 \rangle_x \\ & \quad - \langle \partial_x^\alpha \rho, \partial_x^\alpha u (\partial_x h_0 + \varepsilon \partial_x \rho) \rangle_x - \langle \partial_x^\alpha \rho u, \partial_x^{\alpha+\beta} h_0 \rangle_x - C_{\alpha,1} \langle \partial_x^\alpha \rho \partial_x u, \partial_x^\alpha h_0 + \varepsilon \partial_x^\alpha \rho \rangle_x \\ & \quad - C_{\alpha,1} \langle \partial_x^\alpha \rho, \partial_x^{\alpha-\beta} \operatorname{div}_x u \cdot (\partial_x h_0 + \varepsilon \partial_x \rho) \rangle_x \\ & \quad - \sum_{1 \leq |\tilde{\alpha}| \leq |\alpha| - 2} C_{\alpha, \tilde{\alpha}} \langle \partial_x^\alpha \rho, \partial_x^{\tilde{\alpha}} \operatorname{div}_x u (\partial_x^{\alpha-\tilde{\alpha}} h_0 + \varepsilon \partial_x^{\alpha-\tilde{\alpha}} \rho) \rangle_x \\ & \quad - \sum_{1 \leq |\tilde{\alpha}| \leq |\alpha| - 1} C_{\alpha, \tilde{\alpha}} \langle \partial_x^\alpha \rho, \partial_x^{\tilde{\alpha}} u (\partial_x^{\alpha+\beta-\tilde{\alpha}} h_0 + \varepsilon \partial_x^{\alpha+\beta-\tilde{\alpha}} \rho) \rangle_x \\ &\leq - \langle \partial_x^\alpha \rho, \partial_x^\alpha \operatorname{div}_x u (1 + h_0 + \varepsilon \rho) \rangle_x + C \mathcal{E}^{\frac{1}{2}}(t) \mathcal{D}(t). \end{aligned} \quad (3.40)$$

Similar to the estimate of B_{31} , for B_{34} , we have

$$B_{34} = - \langle \operatorname{div}_x [(1 + h_0 + \varepsilon \rho) u] \partial_x^\alpha \rho, \partial_x^{\alpha-1} u \rangle_x - \langle \operatorname{div}_x (\rho u_0) \partial_x^\alpha \rho, \partial_x^{\alpha-1} u \rangle_x \lesssim \mathcal{E}^{\frac{1}{2}}(t) \mathcal{D}(t). \quad (3.41)$$

For B_{32} ,

$$B_{32} = \underbrace{\frac{1}{2\mu + \lambda} \langle \partial_x^\alpha [u(1 + h_0 + \varepsilon\rho)], \partial_x^\alpha u(1 + h_0 + \varepsilon\rho)^2 \rangle_x}_{B_{321}} + \underbrace{\frac{2}{2\mu + \lambda} \langle \partial_x^\alpha [u(1 + h_0 + \varepsilon\rho)], \partial_x^{\alpha-\beta} u(\partial_x h_0 + \varepsilon\partial_x \rho)(1 + h_0 + \varepsilon\rho) \rangle_x}_{B_{322}},$$

with $|\beta| = 1$.

Furthermore, B_{321} is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} B_{321} &\lesssim \|\partial_x u\|_{H_x^{|\alpha|-1}}^2 + C\|u\|_{H_x^2} \|\partial_x^\alpha h_0 + \varepsilon\partial_x^\alpha \rho\|_{L_x^2} \|\partial_x^\alpha \rho\|_{L_x^2} \\ &\quad + \sum_{1 \leq |\tilde{\alpha}| \leq |\alpha|-1} \|\partial_x^{\tilde{\alpha}} u\|_{H_x^1} \|\partial_x^{\alpha-\tilde{\alpha}} h_0 + \varepsilon\partial_x^{\alpha-\tilde{\alpha}} \rho\|_{H_x^1} \|\partial_x^\alpha \rho\|_{L_x^2} \\ &\lesssim \mathcal{D}_{mi,K,1}(t) + \mathcal{E}^{\frac{1}{2}}(t)\mathcal{D}(t), \end{aligned}$$

and for B_{322} , by considering (A.1), we have, for $|\beta| = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} B_{322} &= \frac{2}{2\mu + \lambda} \langle \partial_x^\alpha [u(1 + h_0 + \varepsilon\rho)], \partial_x^{\alpha-\beta} u(\partial_x h_0 + \varepsilon\partial_x \rho)(1 + h_0 + \varepsilon\rho) \rangle_x \\ &\lesssim \|\partial_x^\alpha [u(1 + h_0 + \varepsilon\rho)]\|_{L_x^2} \|\partial_x^{\alpha-\beta} u(\partial_x h_0 + \varepsilon\partial_x \rho)\|_{L_x^2} \\ &\lesssim [\|\partial_x^\alpha u\|_{L_x^2} + \|u\|_{L_x^\infty} \|\partial_x^\alpha (1 + h_0 + \varepsilon\rho)\|_{L_x^2}] \|\partial_x^{\alpha-\beta} u\|_{L_x^4} \|\partial_x h_0 + \varepsilon\partial_x \rho\|_{L_x^4} \\ &\quad + \sum_{1 \leq |\tilde{\alpha}| \leq |\alpha|-1} \|\partial_x^{\tilde{\alpha}} u\|_{L_x^4} \|\partial_x^{\alpha-\tilde{\alpha}} (1 + h_0 + \varepsilon\rho)\|_{L_x^4} \|\partial_x^{\alpha-\beta} u\|_{L_x^4} \|\partial_x h_0 + \varepsilon\partial_x \rho\|_{L_x^4} \\ &\lesssim \mathcal{E}^{\frac{1}{2}}(t)\mathcal{D}(t). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$B_{32} \lesssim \mathcal{D}_{mi,K,1}(t) + \mathcal{E}^{\frac{1}{2}}(t)\mathcal{D}(t). \quad (3.42)$$

Recalling the remainder system (3.2)₂, B_{33} can be divided into five parts as follow: for $|\beta| = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} B_{33} &= \underbrace{-\frac{1}{2\mu + \lambda} \langle \partial_x^\alpha \rho, \partial_x^{\alpha-\beta} (u_0 \cdot \nabla_x u + u \cdot \nabla_x u_0)(1 + h_0 + \varepsilon\rho)^2 \rangle_x}_{B_{331}} \\ &\quad - \underbrace{\frac{1}{2\mu + \lambda} \langle \partial_x^\alpha \rho, \partial_x^{\alpha-\beta} \left(\frac{1}{1 + h_0 + \varepsilon\rho} Lu \right) (1 + h_0 + \varepsilon\rho)^2 \rangle_x}_{B_{332}} \\ &\quad + \underbrace{\frac{1}{(2\mu + \lambda)\varepsilon} \langle \partial_x^\alpha \rho, \partial_x^{\alpha-\beta} \left[\frac{1}{1 + h_0 + \varepsilon\rho} (b - \varepsilon u) \right] (1 + h_0 + \varepsilon\rho)^2 \rangle_x}_{B_{333}} \\ &\quad + \underbrace{\frac{1}{(2\mu + \lambda)\varepsilon} \langle \partial_x^\alpha \rho, \partial_x^{\alpha-\beta} \left(\frac{1}{1 + h_0 + \varepsilon\rho} R_2 \right) (1 + h_0 + \varepsilon\rho)^2 \rangle_x}_{B_{334}} \\ &\quad + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2\mu + \lambda} \langle \partial_x^\alpha \rho, \partial_x^{\alpha-\beta} \left(\frac{1}{1 + h_0 + \varepsilon\rho} R_3 \right) (1 + h_0 + \varepsilon\rho)^2 \rangle_x}_{B_{335}}. \end{aligned}$$

For B_{331} , we have

$$\begin{aligned} B_{331} &\lesssim \|\partial_x^\alpha \rho\|_{L_x^2} \|\partial_x^{\alpha-\beta} (u_0 \cdot \nabla_x u + u \cdot \nabla_x u_0)\|_{L_x^2} \\ &\lesssim [\|(u_0, u)\|_{H_x^\alpha} + \|(u_0, u)\|_{H_x^2}] \|\partial_x^\alpha \rho\|_{L_x^2} \left[\|(\nabla_x u_0, \nabla_x u)\|_{H_x^{|\alpha|-1}} + \|(\nabla_x u_0, \nabla_x u)\|_{H_x^2} \right] \\ &\lesssim \mathcal{E}^{\frac{1}{2}}(t) \mathcal{D}(t), \end{aligned}$$

with $|\beta| = 1$.

By considering the Lemma 3.1, we find, for B_{332} ,

$$\begin{aligned} B_{332} &\leq C \sum_{1 \leq |\tilde{\alpha}| \leq |\alpha|-2} \|\partial_x^\alpha \rho\|_{L_x^2} \|B^{\tilde{\alpha}}\|_{L_x^4} \|\partial_x^{\alpha-\beta-\tilde{\alpha}} Lu\|_{L_x^4} - \frac{1}{2\mu + \lambda} \langle \partial_x^\alpha \rho, L(\partial_x^{\alpha-\beta} u)(1 + h_0 + \varepsilon \rho) \rangle_x \\ &\leq C \|\partial_x^\alpha \rho\|_{L_x^2} (\|\partial_x h_0\|_{H_x^{\alpha-2}} + \varepsilon \|\partial_x \rho\|_{H_x^{|\alpha|-2}}) \|\partial_x u\|_{H_x^{|\alpha|-1}} + \langle \partial_x^\alpha \rho, \partial_x^\alpha \operatorname{div}_x u(1 + h_0 + \varepsilon \rho) \rangle_x \\ &\quad + \frac{\mu}{2\mu + \lambda} \langle \partial_x^{\alpha-\beta} \rho, (\partial_x^\alpha \operatorname{div}_x u - \Delta_x \partial_x^{\alpha-\beta} u_0)(\partial_x h_0 + \varepsilon \partial_x \rho) \rangle_x \\ &\leq C \mathcal{E}^{\frac{1}{2}}(t) \mathcal{D}(t) + \langle \partial_x^\alpha \rho, \partial_x^\alpha \operatorname{div}_x u(1 + h_0 + \varepsilon \rho) \rangle_x, \end{aligned}$$

with $|\beta| = 1$.

By recalling the definition of R_2 in (3.3) and considering (A.1), the dissipation of $\partial_x^\alpha \rho$ can be manifested in B_{335} , i.e., there exists a constant $C_* > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} B_{335} &= -\frac{A}{(2\mu + \lambda)\varepsilon} \langle \partial_x^\alpha \rho, \left\{ \partial_x^\alpha [(1 + h_0 + \varepsilon \rho)^\gamma] - \partial_x^\alpha [(1 + h_0)^\gamma] \right\} (1 + h_0 + \varepsilon \rho) \rangle_x \\ &\quad - \frac{A}{(2\mu + \lambda)\varepsilon} \sum_{1 \leq |\tilde{\alpha}| \leq |\alpha|-1} \langle \partial_x^\alpha \rho, \partial_x^{\tilde{\alpha}} \left(\frac{1}{1 + h_0 + \varepsilon \rho} \right) \left\{ \partial_x^{\alpha-\tilde{\alpha}} [(1 + h_0 + \varepsilon \rho)^\gamma] \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \partial_x^{\alpha-\tilde{\alpha}} [(1 + h_0)^\gamma] \right\} (1 + h_0 + \varepsilon \rho)^2 \rangle_x \\ &\leq -2C_* \|\partial_x^\alpha \rho\|_{L_x^2}^2 - \underbrace{\frac{A}{(2\mu + \lambda)\varepsilon} \langle (1 + h_0 + \varepsilon \rho) \partial_x^\alpha \rho, B_\gamma^\alpha \rangle_x}_{B_{3351}} \\ &\quad - \underbrace{\frac{A}{(2\mu + \lambda)\varepsilon} \sum_{1 \leq |\tilde{\alpha}| \leq |\alpha|-1} C_{\alpha, \tilde{\alpha}} \langle (1 + h_0 + \varepsilon \rho)^2 \partial_x^\alpha \rho, B^{\tilde{\alpha}} B_\gamma^{\alpha-\tilde{\alpha}} \rangle_x}_{B_{3352}} \\ &\quad - \underbrace{\frac{A\gamma}{2\mu + \lambda} \sum_{1 \leq |\tilde{\alpha}| \leq |\alpha|-1} C_{\alpha, \tilde{\alpha}} \langle (1 + h_0 + \varepsilon \rho)^{\gamma+1} \partial_x^\alpha \rho, B^{\tilde{\alpha}} \partial_x^{\alpha-\tilde{\alpha}} \rho \rangle_x}_{B_{3353}}, \end{aligned}$$

where B_γ^α is defined in (3.18).

In terms of estimates of $B_{3351} - B_{3353}$, we need to use inequalities (3.14) and (3.17) in Lemma 3.1,

$$\sum_{i=1}^3 |B_{335i}| \lesssim \mathcal{E}^{\frac{1}{2}}(t) \mathcal{D}(t),$$

where (A.2) is also utilized in the last inequality of estimate of B_{3352} and B_{3353} above. Hence, we find

$$B_{335} \leq -2C_* \|\partial_x^\alpha \rho\|_{L_x^2}^2 + C \mathcal{E}^{\frac{1}{2}}(t) \mathcal{D}(t).$$

Recalling the definition of R_3 in (3.3) and applying (3.14) in the Lemma 3.1, we obtain the following estimates of B_{333} and B_{334} : for $|\beta| = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
B_{333} &= \frac{1}{(2\mu + \lambda)\varepsilon} \langle \partial_x^\alpha \rho, \partial_x^{\alpha-\beta} \left[\frac{1}{1 + h_0 + \varepsilon\rho} (b - \varepsilon u) \right] (1 + h_0 + \varepsilon\rho)^2 \rangle_x \\
&\leq C_* \|\partial_x^\alpha \rho\|_{L_x^2}^2 + \frac{C}{\varepsilon^2} \|\partial_x^{\alpha-\beta} (b - \varepsilon u)\|_{L_x^2}^2 \\
&\quad + \frac{C}{\varepsilon} \sum_{1 \leq |\tilde{\alpha}| \leq |\alpha|} \|\partial_x^\alpha \rho\|_{L_x^2} \|B^{\tilde{\alpha}-\beta}\|_{L_x^4} \|\partial_x^{\alpha-\beta-\tilde{\alpha}} (b - \varepsilon u)\|_{L_x^4} \\
&\leq C_* \|\partial_x^\alpha \rho\|_{L_x^2}^2 + C \left(\mathcal{D}_{mi,K,1}(t) + \mathcal{E}^{\frac{1}{2}}(t) \mathcal{D}(t) \right), \\
B_{334} &= - \langle \partial_x^\alpha \rho, \partial_x^\alpha \left(\frac{R_3}{1 + h_0 + \varepsilon\rho} \right) \rangle_x \\
&\lesssim \|\partial_x^\alpha \rho\|_{L_x^2} \|B^\alpha\|_{L_x^2} \|R_3\|_{L_x^\infty} + \|\partial_x^\alpha \rho\|_{L_x^2} \|B^0\|_{L_x^\infty} \|\partial_x^\alpha R_3\|_{L_x^2} \\
&\quad + \sum_{1 \leq |\tilde{\alpha}| \leq |\alpha|} \|\partial_x^\alpha \rho\|_{L_x^2} \|B^{\tilde{\alpha}}\|_{L_x^4} \|\partial_x^{\alpha-\tilde{\alpha}} R_3\|_{L_x^4} \\
&\lesssim \mathcal{E}^{\frac{1}{2}}(t) \mathcal{D}(t),
\end{aligned}$$

where (A.2) is utilized in the last inequality.

Combining the estimates of $B_{331} - B_{335}$ above, we obtain

$$B_{33} \leq -C_* \|\partial_x^\alpha \rho\|_{L_x^2}^2 + \langle \partial_x^\alpha \rho, \partial_x^\alpha \operatorname{div}_x u (1 + h_0 + \varepsilon\rho) \rangle_x + C \left(\mathcal{D}_{mi,K,1}(t) + \mathcal{E}^{\frac{1}{2}}(t) \mathcal{D}(t) \right). \quad (3.43)$$

Then, by plugging the estimates (3.40), (3.41), (3.42), and (3.43) into (3.39), we obtain that, for $|\beta| = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\partial_x^\alpha \rho\|_{L_x^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2\mu + \lambda} \frac{d}{dt} \int \partial_x^\alpha \rho \cdot \partial_x^{\alpha-\beta} u (1 + h_0 + \varepsilon\rho)^2 dx + C_* \|\partial_x^\alpha \rho\|_{L_x^2}^2 \\
\lesssim \left(\mathcal{D}_{mi,K,1}(t) + \mathcal{E}^{\frac{1}{2}}(t) \mathcal{D}(t) \right). \quad (3.44)
\end{aligned}$$

The proof can be finally completed by summing up the inequality above with $1 \leq |\alpha| \leq 4$. \square

In the following lemma, we deduce *a priori* estimate of the mixed partial derivative of g , or more precisely, $(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})g$ (since $\|g\|_{H_{x,v}^4} \sim \|(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})g\|_{H_{x,v}^4} + \|g\|_{L_v^2 H_x^4}$, hence we only need to estimate $\|(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})g\|_{H_{x,v}^4}$). To achieve this, we first apply the projection operator $\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}$ to both-hand-sides of (3.2)₁ in the remainder system, which leads to

$$\partial_t (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})g + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})g = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})R_0 + (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})R_1, \quad (3.45)$$

where the fact $\mathcal{L}g = \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})g + \mathbf{P}_1g$ in (1.7) is used, and R_0, R_1 are defined in (3.3).

Lemma 3.5. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1 and (3.11), then, for any $0 \leq t \leq T$,*

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{E}_{mi,K,4}(t) + C_4 \mathcal{D}_{mi,K,4}(t) \leq \tilde{C}_4 \left(\mathcal{D}_{mi,K,1}(t) + \mathcal{D}_{mi,F}(t) + \mathcal{D}_{ma}(t) + \mathcal{E}^{\frac{1}{2}}(t) \mathcal{D}(t) \right), \quad (3.46)$$

where the constants $\tilde{C}_4, C_4 > 0$ are independent of $\varepsilon, \delta, \delta_1$ and T .

Proof. Applying $\partial_x^\alpha \partial_v^\beta$ with $1 \leq |\alpha| + |\beta| \leq 4$, $|\beta| \geq 1$ to (3.45), multiplying it by $\partial_x^\alpha \partial_v^\beta (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})g$, and integrating over $(x, v) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3$, we obtain, for $|\beta_1| = 1$ and $|\beta_2| = 2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_v^\beta (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})g\|_{L_{x,v}^2}^2 + \frac{C_0}{\varepsilon^2} \|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_v^\beta (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})g\|_\nu^2 \\
& \leq \underbrace{-\frac{C_{\beta,1}}{2\varepsilon^2} \langle v \partial_x^\alpha \partial_v^{\beta-\beta_1} (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})g, \partial_x^\alpha \partial_v^\beta (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})g \rangle_{x,v}}_{B_{41}} - \underbrace{\frac{C_{\beta,2}}{2\varepsilon^2} \langle \partial_x^\alpha \partial_v^{\beta-\beta_2} (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})g, \partial_x^\alpha \partial_v^\beta (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})g \rangle_{x,v}}_{B_{42}} \\
& \quad + \underbrace{\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \langle \partial_x^\alpha \partial_v^\beta (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})R_0, \partial_x^\alpha \partial_v^\beta (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})g \rangle_{x,v}}_{B_{43}} + \underbrace{\langle \partial_x^\alpha \partial_v^\beta (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})R_1, \partial_x^\alpha \partial_v^\beta (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})g \rangle_{x,v}}_{B_{44}},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.47}$$

where a direct calculation

$$\partial_x^\alpha \partial_v^\beta \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})g = \mathcal{L}[\partial_x^\alpha \partial_v^\beta (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})g] + \frac{C_{\beta,1}}{2} v \partial_x^\alpha \partial_v^{\beta-\beta_1} (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})g + \frac{C_{\beta,2}}{2} \partial_x^\alpha \partial_v^{\beta-\beta_2} (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})g,$$

and (1.10) are used.

For B_{41} and B_{42} ,

$$\begin{aligned}
|B_{41}| + |B_{42}| &= -\frac{C_{\beta,1}}{2\varepsilon^2} \langle v \partial_x^\alpha \partial_v^{\beta-\beta_1} (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})g, \partial_x^\alpha \partial_v^\beta (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})g \rangle_{x,v} \\
& \quad + \frac{C_{\beta,2}}{2\varepsilon^2} \langle \partial_x^\alpha \partial_v^{\beta-\beta_2} (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})g, \partial_x^\alpha \partial_v^\beta (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})g \rangle_{x,v} \\
& \leq \frac{c_0}{2^6 \varepsilon^2} \|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_v^\beta (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})g\|_\nu^2 + \frac{C}{\varepsilon^2} \|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_v^{\beta-\beta_1} (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})g\|_{L_{x,v}^2}^2,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.48}$$

where $|\beta_1| = 1$ and $|\beta_2| = 2$.

Recalling R_0 in (3.3) and $(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}_0)(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})g = (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})g$ in (1.9), B_{43} is divided into the following five parts:

$$\begin{aligned}
B_{43} &= \underbrace{-\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \langle \partial_x^\alpha \partial_v^\beta (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})(v \cdot \nabla_x g_1), \partial_x^\alpha \partial_v^\beta (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})g \rangle_{x,v}}_{B_{431}} - \underbrace{\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \langle \partial_x^\alpha \partial_v^\beta (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})(v \cdot \nabla_x g), \partial_x^\alpha \partial_v^\beta (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})g \rangle_{x,v}}_{B_{432}} \\
& \quad - \underbrace{\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \langle \partial_x^\alpha \partial_v^\beta (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}) \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{M}} \operatorname{div}_v (u_0 g_1 \sqrt{M}) \right], \partial_x^\alpha \partial_v^\beta (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})g \rangle_{x,v}}_{B_{433}} \\
& \quad - \underbrace{\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \langle \partial_x^\alpha \partial_v^\beta (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}) \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{M}} \operatorname{div}_v (u_0 g \sqrt{M}) \right], \partial_x^\alpha \partial_v^\beta (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})g \rangle_{x,v}}_{B_{434}} \\
& \quad + \underbrace{\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \langle \partial_x^\alpha \partial_v^\beta (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}) \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{M}} \operatorname{div}_v (u m_0 M) \right], \partial_x^\alpha \partial_v^\beta (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})g \rangle_{x,v}}_{B_{435}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.49}$$

For B_{431} , by plugging in the definition of g_1 ,

$$\begin{aligned}
|B_{431}| &\leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_v^\beta (v \cdot \nabla_x g_1)\|_{L_{x,v}^2} \|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_v^\beta (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})g\|_{L_{x,v}^2} \\
&\leq \frac{c_0}{2^6 \varepsilon^2} \|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_v^\beta (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})g\|_{L_{x,v}^2}^2 + C \left(\mathcal{D}_{ma}(t) + \mathcal{E}^{\frac{1}{2}}(t) \mathcal{D}(t) \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.50}$$

For B_{432} , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|B_{432}| &= \left| -\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \langle \partial_x^\alpha \partial_v^\beta (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})(v \cdot \nabla_x g), \partial_x^\alpha \partial_v^\beta (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})g \rangle_{x,v} \right| \\
&= \left| \underbrace{-\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \langle \partial_x^\alpha \partial_v^\beta [v \cdot \nabla_x (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})g], \partial_x^\alpha \partial_v^\beta (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})g \rangle_{x,v}}_{B_{4321}} - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \langle \partial_x^\alpha \partial_v^\beta [v \cdot \nabla_x \mathbf{P}g], \partial_x^\alpha \partial_v^\beta (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})g \rangle_{x,v} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \langle \partial_x^\alpha \partial_v^\beta \mathbf{P}(v \cdot \nabla_x g), \partial_x^\alpha \partial_v^\beta (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})g \rangle_{x,v} \right|, \\
&\quad \underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_{B_{4323}}
\end{aligned}$$

where B_{4321} and B_{4322} can be estimated as follows: for $|\beta_1| = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
|B_{4321}| &= \left| -\frac{C_{\beta,1}}{\varepsilon} \langle \partial_x^{\alpha+\beta_1} \partial_v^{\beta-\beta_1} (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})g, \partial_x^\alpha \partial_v^\beta (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})g \rangle_{x,v} \right| \\
&\leq \frac{c_0}{28\varepsilon^2} \|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_v^\beta (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})g\|_{L_{x,v}^2}^2 + C \|\partial_x^{\alpha+\beta_1} \partial_v^{\beta-\beta_1} (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})g\|_{L_{x,v}^2}^2, \\
|B_{4322}| &= \left| -\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \langle \partial_x^\alpha \partial_v^\beta [(v \cdot \nabla_x a + v \otimes v : \nabla_x b) \sqrt{M}], \partial_x^\alpha \partial_v^\beta (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})g \rangle_{x,v} \right| \\
&\leq \frac{c_0}{28\varepsilon^2} \|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_v^\beta (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})g\|_{L_{x,v}^2}^2 + C \|(\partial_x^{\alpha+\beta_1} a, \partial_x^{\beta+\beta_1} b)\|_{L_{x,v}^2}^2 \\
&\leq \frac{c_0}{28\varepsilon^2} \|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_v^\beta (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})g\|_{L_{x,v}^2}^2 + C \mathcal{D}_{mi,F}(t),
\end{aligned}$$

furthermore, noting that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{P}(v \cdot \nabla_x g) &= \mathbf{P}[v \cdot \nabla_x \mathbf{P}g] + \mathbf{P}[v \cdot \nabla_x (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})g] \\
&= (v \cdot \nabla_x a + \operatorname{div}_x b) \sqrt{M} + \mathbf{P}[v \cdot \nabla_x (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})g] \\
&= \left[v \cdot \nabla_x a + \operatorname{div}_x b + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v \cdot \nabla_x (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})g \sqrt{M} dv + v \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v \cdot \nabla_x (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})g v \sqrt{M} dv \right] \sqrt{M},
\end{aligned}$$

we find, for B_{4323} ,

$$\begin{aligned}
|B_{4323}| &= \left| \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \langle \partial_x^\alpha \partial_v^\beta \mathbf{P}v \cdot \partial_x g, \partial_x^\alpha \partial_v^\beta (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})g \rangle_{x,v} \right| \\
&\leq \frac{c_0}{28\varepsilon^2} \|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_v^\beta (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})g\|_{L_{x,v}^2}^2 + C \|(\partial_x^{\alpha+\beta_1} a, \partial_x^\alpha \operatorname{div}_x b)\|_{L_x^2}^2 + C \|\partial_x^{\alpha+\beta_1} (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})g\|_{L_{x,v}^2}^2 \\
&\leq \frac{c_0}{28\varepsilon^2} \|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_v^\beta (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})g\|_{L_{x,v}^2}^2 + C (\mathcal{D}_{ma,F}(t) + \mathcal{D}_{mi,K,1}(t)),
\end{aligned}$$

therefore, we obtain, for $|\beta_1| = 1$,

$$|B_{432}| \leq \frac{c_0}{26\varepsilon^2} \|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_v^\beta (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})g\|_{L_{x,v}^2}^2 + C \left[\|\partial_x^{\alpha+\beta_1} \partial_v^{\beta-\beta_1} (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})g\|_{L_{x,v}^2}^2 + \mathcal{D}_{ma,F}(t) + \mathcal{D}_{mi,K,1}(t) \right]. \quad (3.51)$$

By considering the fact that

$$\mathbf{P} \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{M}} \operatorname{div}_v (u_0 g_1 \sqrt{M}) \right] = 0, \quad \mathbf{P} \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{M}} \operatorname{div}_v (u_0 g \sqrt{M}) \right] = -v \cdot u_0 a \sqrt{M},$$

we can obtain the following estimates for B_{433} , B_{343} and B_{435} , respectively,

$$|B_{433}| + |B_{434}| \lesssim \mathcal{E}^{\frac{1}{2}}(t) \mathcal{D}_{ma}(t). \quad (3.52)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
|B_{435}| &= \left| -\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \langle \partial_x^\alpha \partial_v^\beta \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{M}} \operatorname{div}_v (um_0 M) \right], \partial_x^\alpha \partial_v^\beta (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}) g \rangle_{x,v} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \langle \partial_x^\alpha \partial_v^\beta (v \cdot um_0 \sqrt{M}), \partial_x^\alpha \partial_v^\beta (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}) g \rangle_{x,v} \right| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_v^\beta \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{M}} \operatorname{div}_v (um_0 M) \right]\|_{L_{x,v}^2} \|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_v^\beta (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}) g\|_{L_{x,v}^2} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_v^\beta (v \cdot um_0 \sqrt{M})\|_{L_{x,v}^2} \|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_v^\beta (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}) g\|_{L_{x,v}^2} \\
&\lesssim \mathcal{E}^{\frac{1}{2}}(t) \mathcal{D}_{ma}(t).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.53}$$

By substituting the estimates of B_{431} to B_{435} above into (3.49), we can obtain, for $|\beta_1| = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
|B_{43}| \leq C \left[\|\partial_x^{\alpha+\beta_1} \partial_v^{\beta-\beta_1} (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}) g\|_{L_{x,v}^2}^2 + \mathcal{D}_{ma,F}(t) + \mathcal{D}_{mi,K,1}(t) + \mathcal{E}^{\frac{1}{2}}(t) \mathcal{D}_{ma}(t) \right] \\
+ \frac{c_0}{2^5 \varepsilon^2} \|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_v^\beta (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}) g\|_{L_{x,v}^2}^2. \tag{3.54}
\end{aligned}$$

Next, recalling the definition of R_1 in (3.3), we can follow a similar estimate of B_{43} and obtain that, for B_{44} ,

$$|B_{44}| \leq \frac{c_0}{2^6 \varepsilon^2} \|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_v^\beta (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}) g\|_{L_{x,v}^2}^2 + C \left(\mathcal{D}_{ma,F}(t) + \mathcal{E}^{\frac{1}{2}}(t) \mathcal{D}_{ma}(t) \right). \tag{3.55}$$

Though note that the quantity $\frac{C}{\varepsilon^2} \|\nabla_x^\alpha \nabla_v^{\beta-1} (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}) g\|_{L_{x,v}^2}^2$ in (3.48) is still not under control, however, by observing that the order of v -derivatives in this quantity is $|\beta| - 1$, we can take summation over $|\beta|$ and substitute the estimates (3.48), (3.54), (3.55) into (3.47), we finally obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{E}_{mi,K,4}(t) + C_{1,4} \mathcal{D}_{mi,K,4}(t) \lesssim \mathcal{D}_{mi,K,1}(t) + \mathcal{D}_{mi,F}(t) + \mathcal{D}_{ma}(t) + \mathcal{E}^{\frac{1}{2}}(t) \mathcal{D}(t). \tag{3.56}$$

□

3.3.2. Estimates of fluid part of the remainder system. The goal of this subsection is to obtain the energy estimate of the fluid part of the remainder system (3.2). To achieve this, we propose the following hyperbolic-parabolic coupled system: for $1 \leq i, j \leq 3$,

$$\begin{cases} \varepsilon \partial_t a + \operatorname{div}_x b = 0, \\ \partial_t b_i + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \partial_{x_i} a + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} (b_i - \varepsilon u_i) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \sum_{j=1}^3 \Gamma_{ij} [\partial_{x_j} (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}) g] = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} R_{4i} + R_{5i}, \end{cases} \tag{3.57}$$

where $\Gamma_{ij}(g)$, R_{4i} , and R_{5i} are defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{4i} &= u_{0i} a + u_i m_0, \\
R_{5i} &= - [\partial_t (u_{0i} m_0) + u_i a + \partial_t u_{0i} + \partial_t \partial_{x_i} m_0], \\
\Gamma_{ij}(g) &= \int g (v_i v_j - 1) \sqrt{M} dv.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.58}$$

Note that, in contrast with the hyperbolic-parabolic coupled system in [9], there is no gradient term of b in the new system above, since $\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \|\nabla_x b\|_{H_x^3} \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \|\nabla_x b - \varepsilon \nabla_x u\|_{H_x^3} + \|\nabla_x u\|_{H_x^3}$, and the terms $\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \|\nabla_x b - \varepsilon \nabla_x u\|_{H_x^3}$, $\|\nabla_x u\|_{H_x^3}$ have been included in the dissipative parts shown in Lemma 3.2.

Now, we are in a position to present the following lemma about the energy estimate of the fluid part in the remainder system (3.2).

Lemma 3.6. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1 and (3.11), then, for any $0 \leq t \leq T$,*

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{E}_{mi,F}(t) + C_5 \mathcal{D}_{mi,F}(t) \leq \tilde{C}_5 \left(\mathcal{D}_{mi,K,1}(t) + \mathcal{D}_{ma}(t) + \mathcal{E}^{\frac{1}{2}}(t) \mathcal{D}(t) \right), \quad (3.59)$$

where the constants $\tilde{C}_5, C_5 > 0$ are independent of $\varepsilon, \delta, \delta_1$ and T .

Proof. By applying the derivative operator ∂_x^α with $0 \leq |\alpha| \leq 3$ to both-hand-sides of the hyperbolic-parabolic system (3.57)₂, then multiplying it by $\partial_x^\alpha \partial_{x_i} a$, and integrating over $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$, we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_x^{\alpha+\beta} a\|_{L_x^2}^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^3 \langle \partial_x^\alpha \partial_{x_i} a, \partial_x^\alpha \partial_{x_i} a \rangle_x \\ &= -\varepsilon \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_x^{\alpha+\beta} a \partial_x^\alpha b \, dx + \varepsilon \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^3 \langle \partial_x^\alpha \partial_{x_i} \partial_t a, \partial_x^\alpha b_i \rangle_x}_{B_{71}} \\ &\quad - \underbrace{\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \sum_{i=1}^3 \langle \partial_x^\alpha \partial_{x_i} a, \partial_x^\alpha (b_i - \varepsilon u_i) \rangle_x}_{B_{72}} - \underbrace{\sum_{i,j=1}^3 \langle \partial_x^\alpha \partial_{x_i} a, \partial_x^\alpha \partial_{x_i} (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}) g(v_i v_j - 1) \sqrt{M} \rangle_{x,v}}_{B_{73}} \\ &\quad + \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^3 \langle \partial_x^\alpha \partial_{x_i} a, \partial_x^\alpha R_{4i} \rangle_x}_{B_{74}} + \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^3 \langle \partial_x^\alpha \partial_{x_i} a, \partial_x^\alpha R_{5i} \rangle_x}_{B_{75}}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.60)$$

for $0 \leq |\alpha| \leq 3$ and $|\beta| = 1$.

By substituting $\partial_t a$ by (3.57)₁, we have, for B_{71} ,

$$|B_{71}| = \left| - \sum_{i=1}^3 \langle \partial_x^\alpha \partial_{x_i} \operatorname{div}_x b, \partial_x^\alpha b_i \rangle_x \right| = \|\partial_x^\alpha \operatorname{div}_x b\|_{L_x^2}^2, \quad (3.61)$$

and B_{72} , by using Young's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |B_{72}| &= \left| -\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \sum_{i=1}^3 \langle \partial_x^\alpha \partial_{x_i} a, \partial_x^\alpha (b_i - \varepsilon u_i) \rangle_x \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{16} \|\partial_x^{\alpha+\beta} a\|_{L_x^2}^2 + \frac{C}{\varepsilon^2} \|\partial_x^\alpha (b - \varepsilon u)\|_{L_x^2}^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{16} \|\partial_x^{\alpha+\beta} a\|_{L_x^2}^2 + C \mathcal{D}_{mi,K,1}(t), \end{aligned} \quad (3.62)$$

and, for B_{73} ,

$$\begin{aligned} |B_{73}| &\leq \frac{1}{16} \|\partial_x^{\alpha+\beta} a\|_{L_x^2}^2 + \frac{C}{\varepsilon^2} \|\partial_x^{\alpha+\beta} (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}) g\|_{L_{x,v}^2}^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{16} \|\partial_x^{\alpha+\beta} a\|_{L_x^2}^2 + C \mathcal{D}_{mi,K,1}(t), \end{aligned} \quad (3.63)$$

Furthermore, recalling the definition of R_{4i} and R_{5i} in (3.58) and noting (3.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |B_{74}| &= \sum_{i=1}^3 \langle \partial_x^\alpha \partial_{x_i} a, \partial_x^\alpha (u_{0i} a + u_i m_0) \rangle_x \\ &\lesssim \|\partial_x^{\alpha+\beta} a\|_{L_x^2} \|u_0\|_{H_x^{|\alpha|}} \|\nabla_x a\|_{H_x^{|\alpha|-1}} + C \|\partial_x^{\alpha+\beta} a\|_{L_x^2} \|m_0\|_{H_x^{|\alpha|}} \|\nabla_x u\|_{H_x^{|\alpha|-1}} \\ &\lesssim \mathcal{E}^{\frac{1}{2}}(t) \mathcal{D}(t), \end{aligned} \quad (3.64)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
|B_{75}| &= \left| - \sum_{i=1}^3 \langle \partial_x^\alpha \partial_{x_i} a, \partial_x^\alpha [\partial_t(u_{0i} m_0) + u_i a + \partial_t u_{0i} + \partial_t \partial_{x_i} m_0] \rangle_x \right| \\
&\lesssim \|\partial_x^{\alpha+\beta} a\|_{L_x^2} \left(\|\partial_t u_0\|_{H_x^{|\alpha|}} \|\nabla_x m_0\|_{H_x^{|\alpha|-1}} + \|\partial_t m_0\|_{H_x^{|\alpha|}} \|\partial_x u_0\|_{H_x^{|\alpha|-1}} \right) \\
&\quad + \|\partial_x^{\alpha+\beta} a\|_{L_x^2} \|\partial_t \partial_x^\alpha u_0\|_{L_x^2} \|\partial_t \partial_x^{\alpha+1} m_0\|_{L_x^2} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{16} \|\partial_x^{\alpha+\beta} a\|_{L_x^2}^2 + C(\|\partial_t \partial_x^\alpha u_0\|_{L_x^2}^2 + \|\partial_t \partial_x^{\alpha+\beta} m_0\|_{L_x^2}^2) + C\mathcal{E}^{\frac{1}{2}}(t)\mathcal{D}(t) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{16} \|\partial_x^{\alpha+\beta} a\|_{L_x^2}^2 + C \left(\mathcal{D}_{ma}(t) + \mathcal{E}^{\frac{1}{2}}(t)\mathcal{D}(t) \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.65}$$

Hence, by inserting the estimate of $B_{71} - B_{75}$ into (3.60), we find

$$\frac{7}{8} \|\partial_x^{\alpha+\beta} a\|_{L_x^2}^2 + \varepsilon \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_x^{\alpha+\beta} a \partial_x^\alpha b \, dx \lesssim \mathcal{D}_{ma}(t) + \mathcal{D}_{mi,K,1}(t) + \mathcal{E}^{\frac{1}{2}}(t)\mathcal{D}(t). \tag{3.66}$$

The proof can be finally completed by summing up with $|\alpha| = 0, 1, 2, 3$ in (3.66). \square

3.4. Proof of total energy estimate. In this subsection, we present the detailed proof of the total energy estimate, i.e., Proposition 3.2, by collecting the previous energy estimate Lemmas 3.2, 3.3, 3.4, 3.5 and 3.6 altogether.

Noting the definitions of $\mathcal{E}_{mi,F}(t)$, $\mathcal{E}_{mi,K,3}(t)$ and $\mathcal{E}_{mi,K,1}(t)$ in (3.7), we can find that there exists a constant $\tilde{C}_6 > 0$ such that

$$|\mathcal{E}_{m,F}(t) + \mathcal{E}_{mi,K,3}(t)| \leq \tilde{C}_6 \mathcal{E}_{mi,K,1}(t). \tag{3.67}$$

Next, we prove the total energy estimate (3.12) by the following four steps:

Step 1: To eliminate $\mathcal{D}_{mi,K,3}(t)$ in (3.31) of the Lemma 3.3, we choose a constant $\tilde{\lambda}_1 > 0$ large enough such that

$$\frac{\tilde{C}_3}{2} \tilde{\lambda}_1 \geq \tilde{C}_2, \tag{3.68}$$

where \tilde{C}_3 is the constant in Lemma 3.4.

By taking (3.31) + $\tilde{\lambda}_1 \times$ (3.38) + (3.59), we find that there exists constants $C_7, \tilde{C}_7 > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\tilde{\lambda}_1 \mathcal{E}_{mi,K,2}(t) + \mathcal{E}_{mi,K,3}(t) + \mathcal{E}_{mi,F}(t) \right) + C_7 \left(\sum_{i=2}^3 \mathcal{D}_{mi,K,i}(t) + \mathcal{D}_{mi,F}(t) \right) \\
\leq \tilde{C}_7 \left(\mathcal{D}_{mi,K,1}(t) + \mathcal{D}_{ma}(t) + \mathcal{E}^{\frac{1}{2}}(t)\mathcal{D}(t) \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.69}$$

Step 2: To eliminate $\mathcal{D}_{mi,K,1}(t)$ in inequality (3.69), we choose a constant $\tilde{\lambda}_2 > 0$ large enough such that

$$\frac{C_1 \tilde{\lambda}_2}{2} \geq \left(\tilde{C}_7 + \tilde{\lambda}_1 \right) \left(\tilde{C}_6 + 1 \right), \tag{3.70}$$

where $C_1, \tilde{C}_7, \tilde{C}_6$ are constants in Lemma 3.2, (3.69), and (3.67) respectively.

Then, by applying $\tilde{\lambda}_2 \times$ (3.19) + (3.69), there exist $C_8, \tilde{C}_8 > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\tilde{\lambda}_2 \mathcal{E}_{mi,K,1}(t) + \tilde{\lambda}_1 \mathcal{E}_{mi,K,2}(t) + \mathcal{E}_{mi,K,3}(t) + \mathcal{E}_{mi,F}(t) \right) \\
+ C_8 \left(\sum_{i=1}^3 \mathcal{D}_{mi,K,i}(t) + \mathcal{D}_{mi,F}(t) \right) \leq \tilde{C}_8 \left(\mathcal{D}_{ma}(t) + \mathcal{E}^{\frac{1}{2}}(t)\mathcal{D}(t) \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.71}$$

Step 3: To eliminate $\mathcal{D}_{ma}(t)$ in inequality (3.71), we choose a constant $\tilde{\lambda}_3 > 0$ large enough such that

$$\frac{C_0}{2} \tilde{\lambda}_3 \geq \tilde{C}_8, \quad (3.72)$$

where C_0 is the constant in Proposition 1.1.

Then, by using (3.71) + $\tilde{\lambda}_3 \times (1.12)$, it turns out that one can find $C_9, \tilde{C}_9 > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\tilde{\lambda}_2 \mathcal{E}_{mi,K,1}(t) + \tilde{\lambda}_1 \mathcal{E}_{mi,K,2}(t) + \mathcal{E}_{mi,K,3}(t) + \mathcal{E}_{mi,F}(t) + \tilde{\lambda}_3 \mathcal{E}_{ma}(t)) \\ + C_9 \left(\sum_{i=1}^3 \mathcal{D}_{mi,K,i}(t) + \mathcal{D}_{mi,F}(t) + \mathcal{D}_{ma}(t) \right) \leq \tilde{C}_9 \mathcal{E}^{\frac{1}{2}}(t) \mathcal{D}(t). \end{aligned} \quad (3.73)$$

Step 4: To eliminate $\mathcal{D}_{mi,K,1}(t), \mathcal{D}_{mi,F}(t), \mathcal{D}_{ma}(t)$ in inequality (3.46) of Lemma 3.5, we choose a constant $\tilde{\lambda}_4 > 0$ large enough such that

$$\frac{C_8 \tilde{\lambda}_4}{2} \geq \tilde{C}_4, \quad (3.74)$$

where \tilde{C}_4 is the constant in Lemma 3.5.

Therefore, by applying $\tilde{\lambda}_4 \times (3.73) + (3.46)$, we can show that there exist $C_{10}, \tilde{C}_{10} > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\tilde{\lambda}_4 \tilde{\lambda}_2 \mathcal{E}_{mi,K,1}(t) + \tilde{\lambda}_4 \tilde{\lambda}_1 \mathcal{E}_{mi,K,2}(t) + \tilde{\lambda}_4 \mathcal{E}_{mi,K,3}(t) + \mathcal{E}_{mi,K,4}(t) + \tilde{\lambda}_4 \mathcal{E}_{mi,F}(t) + \tilde{\lambda}_4 \tilde{\lambda}_3 \mathcal{E}_{ma}(t) \right) \\ + C_{10} \left(\sum_{i=1}^4 \mathcal{D}_{mi,K,i}(t) + \mathcal{D}_{mi,F}(t) + \mathcal{D}_{ma}(t) \right) \leq \tilde{C}_{10} \mathcal{E}^{\frac{1}{2}}(t) \mathcal{D}(t). \end{aligned} \quad (3.75)$$

Thus, by defining

$$\mathcal{E}(t) := \tilde{\lambda}_4 \tilde{\lambda}_2 \mathcal{E}_{mi,K,1}(t) + \tilde{\lambda}_4 \tilde{\lambda}_1 \mathcal{E}_{mi,K,2}(t) + \tilde{\lambda}_4 \mathcal{E}_{mi,K,3}(t) + \mathcal{E}_{mi,K,4}(t) + \tilde{\lambda}_4 \mathcal{E}_{mi,F}(t) + \tilde{\lambda}_4 \tilde{\lambda}_3 \mathcal{E}_{ma}(t),$$

$$\mathcal{D}(t) := \sum_{i=1}^4 \mathcal{D}_{mi,K,i}(t) + \mathcal{D}_{mi,F}(t) + \mathcal{D}_{ma}(t),$$

and re-naming $\lambda_i, i = 1, \dots, 6$ as follows:

$$\lambda_1 := \tilde{\lambda}_4 \tilde{\lambda}_2, \quad \lambda_2 := \tilde{\lambda}_4 \tilde{\lambda}_1, \quad \lambda_3 := \tilde{\lambda}_4, \quad \lambda_4 := 1, \quad \lambda_5 := \tilde{\lambda}_4, \quad \lambda_6 := \tilde{\lambda}_4 \tilde{\lambda}_3, \quad (3.76)$$

we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d\mathcal{E}(t)}{dt} + C_{10} \mathcal{D}(t) \leq \tilde{C}_{10} \mathcal{E}^{\frac{1}{2}}(t) \mathcal{D}(t). \quad (3.77)$$

Thus, the total energy estimate (3.12) can be finally obtained by integration in time as well as considering the Proposition 1.1, (3.10) and (3.11).

4. PROOF OF MAIN RESULTS

4.1. Proof of Theorem 1.1. In this subsection, we complete the proof of Theorem 1.1 by extending the local well-posedness to global-in-time.

Let T_ε^* denote the maximal existence time for the solution to the Cauchy problem (3.2) for any given $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$ with ε_0 given in Proposition 3.1 with M_0 replaced by δ_1 . Proposition 3.1 implies that $T_\varepsilon^* > 0$. If $T_\varepsilon^* = \infty$ for any given ε , the global existence of the solutions is obtained. For otherwise, i.e., $T_\varepsilon^* \in (0, \infty)$ for some $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$, we will prove that it leads to a contradiction by continuity argument.

In fact, using the initial assumption $\mathbb{E}(0) \leq \delta$ in Theorem 1.1 and the continuity of $\mathbb{E}(t)$ in $(0, T_\varepsilon^*)$, then there exists a $\bar{T}_\varepsilon^* \in (0, T_\varepsilon^*)$ such that

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq \bar{T}_\varepsilon^*} (\|g\|_{H_{x,v}^4}^2 + \|(u, \rho)\|_{H_x^4}^2) \leq \delta_1, \quad (4.1)$$

where $\delta_1 > \max\{\delta, 2C^*\delta\}$ for some constants δ, C^* given in (1.18) and Corollary 3.1.

Then using Corollary 3.1, we have

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq \bar{T}_\varepsilon^*} \mathbb{E}(t) = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq \bar{T}_\varepsilon^*} (\|g\|_{H_{x,v}^4}^2 + \|(u, \rho)\|_{H_x^4}^2 + \|m_0\|_{H_x^6}^2 + \|(u_0, h_0)\|_{H_x^5}^2) \leq C^* \delta < \frac{1}{2} \delta_1. \quad (4.2)$$

By using the standard continuity argument, (4.1) and (4.2) yield that

$$\|g(t)\|_{H_{x,v}^4}^2 + \|(u, \rho)(t)\|_{H_x^4}^2 \leq \delta_1, \quad (4.3)$$

for all $t \in [0, T_\varepsilon^*]$, provided that $\mathbb{E}(0) \leq \delta$ where $\max\{\delta, 2C^* \delta\} < \delta_1$.

Choosing $T_0^* = T_\varepsilon^* - \frac{T_\varepsilon(\delta_1)}{2}$ as initial time where $T_\varepsilon(\delta_1)$ is determined by Proposition 3.1 and (4.3), we obtain from Proposition 3.1 that the solution can be extended to $[0, T_\varepsilon^* + \frac{T_\varepsilon(\delta_1)}{2})$ for any given $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0 := \varepsilon_0(\delta_1)$, which is a contradiction with the definition of T_ε^* . Thus $T_\varepsilon^* = \infty$. The proof of the global existence of the solution is complete. Since the proof of the uniqueness is standard, we omit the detail for brevity.

4.2. Proof of Corollary 1.1. In this subsection, we present the proof of Corollary 1.1 by using the Sobolev embedding theorems.

By recalling the expansion form of f^ε

$$f^\varepsilon = (1 + m_0)M + \varepsilon[(v \cdot u_0)(1 + m_0) - v \cdot \nabla_x m_0]M + \varepsilon g \sqrt{M},$$

and applying the Sobolev embedding $H^2 \hookrightarrow L^\infty$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |f^\varepsilon - (1 + m_0)M| &\leq \sup_{t \geq 0} \|f^\varepsilon - (1 + m_0)M\|_{L_x^\infty L_v^\infty} \\ &\lesssim \sup_{t \geq 0} \|f^\varepsilon - (1 + m_0)M\|_{H_x^2 H_v^2} \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon \sup_{t \geq 0} \|[v \cdot u_0](1 + m_0) - v \cdot \nabla_x m_0\|_{H_x^2 H_v^2} \|M\|_{H_x^2 H_v^2} + \varepsilon \sup_{t \geq 0} \|g \sqrt{M}\|_{H_x^2 H_v^2} \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon \sup_{t \geq 0} \|[v \cdot u_0](1 + m_0) - v \cdot \nabla_x m_0\|_{H_x^2 H_v^2} \|M\|_{H_x^2 H_v^2} + \varepsilon \sup_{t \geq 0} \|g \sqrt{M}\|_{H_x^2 L_v^2} \\ &\quad + \varepsilon \sup_{t \geq 0} \|\nabla_v [(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})g \sqrt{M}]\|_{H_x^2 H_v^1} + \varepsilon \sup_{t \geq 0} \|\nabla_v (\mathbf{P}g \sqrt{M})\|_{H_x^2 H_v^1} \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon \sup_{t \geq 0} \left[\|u_0\|_{H_x^2} (1 + \|m_0\|_{H_x^2}) + \|\nabla_x m_0\|_{H_x^2} + \|g\|_{H_x^2 L_v^2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \|\nabla_v (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})g\|_{H_x^2 H_v^1} + \|(a, b)\|_{H_x^2} \right] \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon, \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

where the decomposition $g = (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})g + \mathbf{P}g = (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})g + (a + v \cdot b)\sqrt{M}$ is utilized. Similarly, considering the expansion form $u^\varepsilon = u_0 + \varepsilon u$ and $\rho^\varepsilon = (1 + h_0) + \varepsilon \rho$, we also obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |u^\varepsilon - u_0| &\leq \varepsilon \sup_{t \geq 0} \|u\|_{L_x^\infty} \lesssim \varepsilon \sup_{t \geq 0} \|u\|_{H_x^2} \lesssim \varepsilon, \\ |\rho^\varepsilon - (1 + h_0)| &\leq \varepsilon \sup_{t \geq 0} \|\rho\|_{L_x^\infty} \lesssim \varepsilon \sup_{t \geq 0} \|\rho\|_{H_x^2} \lesssim \varepsilon, \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

where the uniform boundedness of all quantities, i.e., (g, u) , (m_0, u_0, h_0) , (a, b) , is guaranteed by the global-in-time energy estimate (1.19).

APPENDIX A. PROOF OF LEMMA 3.1

In the appendix, we present the complete proof of the important Lemma 3.1 in dealing with the pressure term.

Proof. By (1.13), and Sobolev embedding relation, we can find that

$$\frac{1}{2} \leq \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|1 + h_0\|_{L_x^\infty} \leq \frac{3}{2}.$$

Also, noticing the $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$ and (3.11), then we can obtain

$$\frac{1}{4} \leq \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|1 + h_0 + \varepsilon \rho\|_{L_x^\infty} \leq 2. \quad (\text{A.1})$$

By using (3.11) and $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$, we have

$$\varepsilon (\|\rho\|_{L_x^\infty} + \|\nabla_x \rho\|_{H_x^1} + \|\nabla_x \rho\|_{H_x^2}) \leq \frac{3}{8}. \quad (\text{A.2})$$

We only prove the inequality (3.14) in the case of $1 \leq |\alpha| \leq 4$ and $p = 2$.

For the sake of convenience, we denote ∇_x^k as any x -partial derivative ∂_x^α with the multi-index $|\alpha| = k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Applying (3.11), (A.1) and (A.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla_x \left(\frac{1}{1 + h_0 + \varepsilon \rho} \right)\|_{L_x^2} &\lesssim \|\nabla_x h_0\|_{L_x^2} + \varepsilon \|\nabla_x \rho\|_{L_x^2}, \\ \|\nabla_x^2 \left(\frac{1}{1 + h_0 + \varepsilon \rho} \right)\|_{L_x^2} &\lesssim (\|\nabla_x^2 h_0\|_{L_x^2} + \varepsilon \|\nabla_x^2 \rho\|_{L_x^2}) + (\|\nabla_x h_0\|_{L_x^4} + \varepsilon \|\nabla_x \rho\|_{L_x^4})^2 \\ &\lesssim \sum_{i=1}^2 (\|\nabla_x h_0\|_{H_x^i}^i + \varepsilon^i \|\nabla_x \rho\|_{H_x^i}^i) \\ &\lesssim \|\nabla_x h_0\|_{H_x^1} + \varepsilon \|\nabla_x \rho\|_{H_x^1}, \\ \|\nabla_x^3 \left(\frac{1}{1 + h + \varepsilon \rho} \right)\|_{L_x^2} &\lesssim (\|\nabla_x^3 h_0\|_{L_x^2} + \varepsilon \|\nabla_x^3 \rho\|_{L_x^2}) + (\|\nabla_x^2 h_0\|_{L_x^4} + \varepsilon \|\nabla_x^2 \rho\|_{L_x^4}) (\|\nabla_x h_0\|_{L_x^4} + \varepsilon \|\nabla_x \rho\|_{L_x^4}) \\ &\quad + (\|\nabla_x h_0\|_{L_x^6} + \varepsilon \|\nabla_x \rho\|_{L_x^6})^3 \\ &\lesssim \sum_{i=1}^3 (\|\nabla_x h_0\|_{H_x^i}^i + \varepsilon^i \|\nabla_x \rho\|_{H_x^i}^i) \\ &\lesssim \|\nabla_x h_0\|_{H_x^2} + \varepsilon \|\nabla_x \rho\|_{H_x^2}, \\ \|\nabla_x^4 \left(\frac{1}{1 + h + \varepsilon \rho} \right)\|_{L_x^2} &\lesssim (\|\nabla_x^4 h_0\|_{L_x^2} + \varepsilon \|\nabla_x^4 \rho\|_{L_x^2}) + (\|\nabla_x^3 h_0\|_{L_x^4} + \varepsilon \|\nabla_x^3 \rho\|_{L_x^4}) (\|\nabla_x h_0\|_{L_x^4} + \varepsilon \|\nabla_x \rho\|_{L_x^4}) \\ &\quad + (\|\nabla_x^2 h_0\|_{L_x^4} + \varepsilon \|\nabla_x^2 \rho\|_{L_x^4})^2 + (\|\nabla_x h_0\|_{L_x^\infty} + \varepsilon \|\nabla_x \rho\|_{L_x^\infty}) (\|\nabla_x h_0\|_{L_x^6} + \varepsilon \|\nabla_x \rho\|_{L_x^6})^3 \\ &\lesssim \sum_{i=1}^4 (\|\nabla_x h_0\|_{H_x^i}^i + \varepsilon^i \|\nabla_x \rho\|_{H_x^i}^i) \\ &\lesssim \|\nabla_x h_0\|_{H_x^3} + \varepsilon \|\nabla_x \rho\|_{H_x^3}, \end{aligned}$$

For any $\tilde{\gamma} \in \mathbb{R}$, we first claim that

$$|(1 + h_0)^{\tilde{\gamma}} - 1| \lesssim |h_0|, \quad (\text{A.3})$$

for B_γ^0 ,

$$|B_\gamma^0| = |(1 + h_0 + \varepsilon \rho)^{\tilde{\gamma}} - (1 + h_0)^{\tilde{\gamma}} - \tilde{\gamma} \varepsilon (1 + h_0 + \varepsilon \rho)^{\tilde{\gamma}-1} \rho| \lesssim \varepsilon^2 |\rho^2|, \quad (\text{A.4})$$

and

$$|(1 + h_0 + \varepsilon \rho)^{\tilde{\gamma}} - (1 + h_0)^{\tilde{\gamma}}| \lesssim \varepsilon |\rho|. \quad (\text{A.5})$$

We prove the claims above by the Taylor expansion.

If $\tilde{\gamma} = 0$ or $\tilde{\gamma} = 1$, (A.3) and (A.4) are correct.

If $\tilde{\gamma} \neq 0$ and $\tilde{\gamma} \neq 1$. To prove (A.3), we denote $\psi_1(s) = (1 + sh_0)^{\tilde{\gamma}} - 1$ with $0 \leq s \leq 1$ and then

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_1'(s) &= \tilde{\gamma}(1 + sh_0)^{\tilde{\gamma}-1}h_0, \\ \psi_1''(s) &= \tilde{\gamma}(\tilde{\gamma} - 1)(1 + sh_0)^{\tilde{\gamma}-2}h_0^2.\end{aligned}$$

By furthering using the Taylor expansion, we find that there exists a constant $\xi_1 \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}|(1 + h_0)^{\tilde{\gamma}} - 1| &= |\psi_1(1) - \psi_1(0)| = |\psi_1'(0) + \frac{1}{2}\psi_1''(\xi_1)| \\ &\lesssim |h_0| + |h_0|^2 \\ &\lesssim \left(|h_0| + \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|h_0\|_{L_x^\infty} |h_0| \right) \lesssim |h_0|.\end{aligned}$$

To prove the inequality (A.4), we denote $\psi_2(s) = (1 + h_0 + s\varepsilon\rho)^{\tilde{\gamma}}$ with $0 \leq s \leq 1$ and then

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_2'(s) &= \tilde{\gamma}(1 + h_0 + s\varepsilon\rho)^{\tilde{\gamma}-1}\varepsilon\rho, \\ \psi_2''(s) &= \tilde{\gamma}(\tilde{\gamma} - 1)(1 + h_0 + s\varepsilon\rho)^{\tilde{\gamma}-2}\varepsilon^2\rho^2.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, still using the Taylor expansion, there exists a constant $\xi_2, \xi_3 \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}|B_{\tilde{\gamma}}^0| &= |(1 + h_0 + \varepsilon\rho)^{\tilde{\gamma}} - (1 + h_0)^{\tilde{\gamma}} - \tilde{\gamma}\varepsilon(1 + h_0 + \varepsilon\rho)^{\tilde{\gamma}-1}\rho| \\ &= |\psi_2(1) - \psi_2(0) - \psi_2'(1)| \\ &= |(\psi_2'(0) - \psi_2'(1)) + \frac{1}{2}\psi_2''(\xi_2)| \\ &= |\psi_2''(\xi_3) + \frac{1}{2}\psi_2''(\xi_2)| \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon^2|(1 + h_0 + \xi_2\varepsilon\rho)^{\tilde{\gamma}-2} + (1 + h_0 + \xi_3\varepsilon\rho)^{\tilde{\gamma}-2}|\rho^2| \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon^2|\rho^2|,\end{aligned}$$

where (3.11) is used.

Observing the proof of the claim (A.4) and using (A.2), it is easy to obtain (A.5).

By applying the estimate (A.1) and (A.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|(1 + h_0)^{\gamma-1} - 1\|_{L_x^\infty} &\lesssim \|h_0\|_{L_x^\infty} \lesssim \|h_0\|_{H_x^2}, \\ \|(1 + h_0)^{\gamma-1} - 1\|_{H_x^1} &\leq \|(1 + h_0)^{\gamma-1} - 1\|_{L_x^2} + \|\nabla_x[(1 + h_0)^{\gamma-1} - 1]\|_{L_x^2} \\ &\lesssim \|h_0\|_{L_x^2} + \|\nabla_x h_0\|_{L_x^2} \\ &\lesssim \|h_0\|_{H_x^1}.\end{aligned}$$

By (A.1), (A.5) and (3.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\|(1 + h_0 + \varepsilon\rho)^{\gamma-1} - (1 + h_0)^{\gamma-1}\|_{L_x^\infty} \lesssim \varepsilon\|\rho\|_{L_x^\infty} \lesssim \varepsilon\|\rho\|_{H_x^2}, \\ &\|(1 + h_0 + \varepsilon\rho)^{\gamma-1} - (1 + h_0)^{\gamma-1}\|_{H_x^1} \\ &\leq \|(1 + h_0 + \varepsilon\rho)^{\gamma-1} - (1 + h_0)^{\gamma-1}\|_{L_x^2} + \|\nabla_x[(1 + h_0 + \varepsilon\rho)^{\gamma-1} - (1 + h_0)^{\gamma-1}]\|_{L_x^2} \\ &\lesssim [\varepsilon\|\rho\|_{L_x^2} + \|(1 + h_0 + \varepsilon\rho)^{\gamma-2} - (1 + h_0)^{\gamma-2}\|_{L_x^2}\|\nabla_x h_0\|_{L_x^\infty} + \varepsilon\|\nabla_x \rho\|_{L_x^2}] \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon\|\rho\|_{H_x^1}.\end{aligned}$$

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned}
B_\gamma^1 &= \gamma B_{\gamma-1}^0 \nabla_x h_0 + P_{2,11} \varepsilon \rho \nabla_x h_0, \\
B_\gamma^2 &= \gamma B_{\gamma-1}^0 \nabla_x^2 h_0 + \gamma(\gamma-1) B_{\gamma-2}^0 (\nabla_x h_0)^2 + [P_{2,21} \nabla_x^2 h_0 \rho + P_{2,22} (\nabla_x h_0)^2 \rho + P_{2,23} \nabla_x h_0 \nabla_x \rho \\
&\quad + P_{2,24} (\nabla_x \rho)^2] \varepsilon, \\
B_\gamma^3 &= \gamma B_{\gamma-1}^0 \nabla_x^3 h_0 + \gamma(\gamma-1) B_{\gamma-2}^0 \nabla_x^2 h_0 \nabla_x h_0 + \gamma(\gamma-1)(\gamma-2) B_{\gamma-3}^0 (\nabla_x h_0)^3 \\
&\quad + [P_{2,31} \nabla_x^3 h_0 \rho + P_{2,32} \nabla_x^2 h_0 \nabla_x h_0 \rho + P_{2,33} (\nabla_x h_0)^3 \rho + P_{2,34} \nabla_x^2 h_0 \nabla_x \rho + P_{2,35} \nabla_x h_0 \nabla_x^2 \rho \\
&\quad + P_{2,36} \nabla_x h_0 (\nabla_x \rho)^2 \varepsilon + P_{2,37} (\nabla_x \rho)^3 \varepsilon^2] \varepsilon, \\
B_\gamma^4 &= \gamma B_{\gamma-1}^0 \nabla_x^4 h_0 + \gamma(\gamma-1) B_{\gamma-2}^0 \nabla_x^3 h_0 \nabla_x h_0 + \gamma(\gamma-1)(\gamma-2) B_{\gamma-3}^0 (\nabla_x^2 h_0)^2 \\
&\quad + \gamma(\gamma-1)(\gamma-2)(\gamma-3) B_{\gamma-4}^0 (\nabla_x h_0)^4 + [P_{2,41} \nabla_x^4 h_0 \rho + P_{2,42} \nabla_x^3 h_0 \nabla_x h_0 \rho + P_{2,43} (\nabla_x^2 h_0)^2 \rho \\
&\quad + P_{2,44} (\nabla_x h_0)^4 \rho + P_{2,45} \nabla_x^3 h_0 \nabla_x \rho + P_{2,46} \nabla_x^2 h_0 \nabla_x^2 \rho + P_{2,47} \nabla_x h_0 \nabla_x^3 \rho + P_{2,48} \nabla_x^2 h_0 (\nabla_x \rho)^2 \varepsilon \\
&\quad + \varepsilon P_{2,49} (\nabla_x h_0)^2 (\nabla_x \rho)^2 + \varepsilon P_{2,50} \nabla_x h_0 \nabla_x^2 \rho \nabla_x \rho + \varepsilon^2 P_{2,51} \nabla_x h_0 (\nabla_x \rho)^3 + \varepsilon^3 P_{2,52} (\nabla_x \rho)^4] \varepsilon,
\end{aligned} \tag{A.6}$$

where $P_{2,ij}$ are polynomials of $1 + h_0 + \varepsilon \rho$, i.e., $P_{2,ij} = P_{2,ij}(1 + h_0 + \varepsilon \rho)$ for any i, j and B_γ^α is defined in (3.18).

For B_γ^0 , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|B_\gamma^0\|_{L_x^2} &\lesssim \varepsilon^2 \|\rho^2\|_{L_x^2} \lesssim \varepsilon^2 \|\rho\|_{L_x^3} \|\rho\|_{L_x^6} \lesssim \varepsilon \|\rho\|_{H_x^1} \|\nabla_x \rho\|_{L_x^2}, \\
\|B_\gamma^0\|_{L_x^3} &\lesssim \varepsilon^2 \|\rho\|_{L_x^6}^2 \lesssim \varepsilon \|\rho\|_{H_x^1} \|\nabla_x \rho\|_{L_x^2},
\end{aligned} \tag{A.7}$$

where (A.4) is used.

Therefore, by using the estimates in (A.4) and (A.7), for B_γ^1 ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|B_\gamma^1\|_{L_x^2} &\lesssim \|B_{\gamma-1}^0\|_{L_x^\infty} \|\nabla_x h_0\|_{L_x^2} + \varepsilon \|\rho\|_{L_x^\infty} \|\nabla_x h_0\|_{L_x^2} \\
&\lesssim \varepsilon \|\rho\|_{H_x^2} \|\nabla_x h_0\|_{L_x^2}, \\
\|B_\gamma^1\|_{L_x^3} &\lesssim \|B_{\gamma-1}^0\|_{L_x^\infty} \|\nabla_x h_0\|_{L_x^3} + \varepsilon \|\rho\|_{L_x^\infty} \|\nabla_x h_0\|_{L_x^3} \\
&\lesssim \varepsilon \|\rho\|_{H_x^2} \|\nabla_x h_0\|_{H_x^1},
\end{aligned}$$

for B_γ^2 ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|B_\gamma^2\|_{L_x^2} &\lesssim \|B_{\gamma-1}^0\|_{L_x^\infty} \|\nabla_x^2 h_0\|_{L_x^2} + \|B_{\gamma-2}^0\|_{L_x^\infty} \|\nabla_x h_0\|_{L_x^4}^2 + \varepsilon \|\rho\|_{L_x^\infty} \|\nabla_x^2 h_0\|_{L_x^2} + \varepsilon \|\rho\|_{L_x^6} \|\nabla_x h_0\|_{L_x^6}^2 \\
&\quad + \varepsilon \|\rho\|_{L_x^4} \|\nabla_x h_0\|_{L_x^4} + \varepsilon^2 \|\nabla_x \rho\|_{L_x^4} \|\nabla_x \rho\|_{L_x^4} \\
&\quad + \varepsilon \|\nabla_x \rho\|_{H_x^1} \|\nabla_x h_0\|_{H_x^1} + \varepsilon^2 \|\nabla_x \rho\|_{H_x^1} \|\nabla_x \rho\|_{H_x^1} \\
&\lesssim \varepsilon \|\rho\|_{H_x^2} \|(\nabla_x h_0, \nabla_x \rho)\|_{H_x^1},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\|B_\gamma^2\|_{L_x^3} &\lesssim \|B_{\gamma-1}^0\|_{L_x^\infty} \|\nabla_x^2 h_0\|_{L_x^3} + \|B_{\gamma-2}^0\|_{L_x^\infty} \|\nabla_x h_0\|_{L_x^6}^2 + \varepsilon \|\rho\|_{L_x^\infty} \|\nabla_x^2 h_0\|_{L_x^3} + \varepsilon \|\rho\|_{L_x^\infty} \|\nabla_x h_0\|_{L_x^6}^2 \\
&\quad + \varepsilon \|\rho\|_{L_x^6} \|\nabla_x h_0\|_{L_x^6} + \varepsilon^2 \|\nabla_x \rho\|_{L_x^6} \|\nabla_x \rho\|_{L_x^6} \\
&\lesssim \varepsilon \|\rho\|_{H_x^2} \|(\nabla_x h_0, \nabla_x \rho)\|_{H_x^2},
\end{aligned}$$

for B_γ^3 ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|B_\gamma^3\|_{L_x^2} &\lesssim \|B_{\gamma-1}^0\|_{L_x^\infty} \|\nabla_x^3 h_0\|_{L_x^2} + \|B_{\gamma-2}^0\|_{L_x^\infty} \|\nabla_x^2 h_0\|_{L_x^4} \|\nabla_x h_0\|_{L_x^4} + \|B_{\gamma-3}^0\|_{L_x^\infty} \|\nabla_x h_0\|_{L_x^6}^3 \\
&\quad + \varepsilon \|\rho\|_{L_x^\infty} \|\nabla_x^3 h_0\|_{L_x^2} + \varepsilon \|\rho\|_{L_x^6} \|\nabla_x^2 h_0\|_{L_x^6} \|\nabla_x h_0\|_{L_x^6} + \varepsilon \|\rho\|_{L_x^\infty} \|\nabla_x h_0\|_{L_x^6}^3 + \varepsilon \|\nabla_x \rho\|_{L_x^4} \|\nabla_x^2 h_0\|_{L_x^4} \\
&\quad + \varepsilon \|\nabla_x^2 \rho\|_{L_x^4} \|\nabla_x \rho\|_{L_x^4} + \varepsilon^2 \|\nabla_x \rho\|_{L_x^6}^2 \|\nabla_x h_0\|_{L_x^6} + \varepsilon^3 \|\nabla_x \rho\|_{L_x^6}^3 \\
&\lesssim \varepsilon \|\rho\|_{H_x^2} \|(\nabla_x h_0, \nabla_x \rho)\|_{H_x^2}, \\
\|B_\gamma^3\|_{L_x^3} &\lesssim \|B_{\gamma-1}^0\|_{L_x^\infty} \|\nabla_x^3 h_0\|_{L_x^3} + \|B_{\gamma-2}^0\|_{L_x^\infty} \|\nabla_x^2 h_0\|_{L_x^6} \|\nabla_x h_0\|_{L_x^6} + \|B_{\gamma-3}^0\|_{L_x^\infty} \|\nabla_x h_0\|_{L_x^\infty} \|\nabla_x h_0\|_{L_x^6}^2 \\
&\quad + \varepsilon \|\rho\|_{L_x^\infty} \|\nabla_x^3 h_0\|_{L_x^3} + \varepsilon \|\rho\|_{L_x^\infty} \|\nabla_x^2 h_0\|_{L_x^6} \|\nabla_x h_0\|_{L_x^6} + \varepsilon \|\rho\|_{L_x^\infty} \|\nabla_x h_0\|_{L_x^\infty} \|\nabla_x h_0\|_{L_x^6}^2 \\
&\quad + \varepsilon \|\nabla_x \rho\|_{L_x^6} \|\nabla_x^2 h_0\|_{L_x^6} + \varepsilon \|\nabla_x^2 \rho\|_{L_x^6} \|\nabla_x \rho\|_{L_x^6} + \varepsilon^2 \|\nabla_x \rho\|_{L_x^\infty} \|\nabla_x \rho\|_{L_x^6} \|\nabla_x h_0\|_{L_x^6} \\
&\quad + \varepsilon^3 \|\nabla_x \rho\|_{L_x^\infty} \|\nabla_x \rho\|_{L_x^6}^2 \\
&\lesssim \varepsilon \|\rho\|_{H_x^3} \|(\nabla_x h_0, \nabla_x \rho)\|_{H_x^3},
\end{aligned}$$

and for the last term B_γ^4 ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|B_\gamma^4\|_{L_x^2} &\lesssim \|B_{\gamma-1}^0\|_{L_x^\infty} \|\nabla_x^4 h_0\|_{L_x^2} + \|B_{\gamma-2}^0\|_{L_x^\infty} \|\nabla_x^3 h_0\|_{L_x^4} \|\nabla_x h_0\|_{L_x^4} + \|B_{\gamma-3}^0\|_{L_x^\infty} \|\nabla_x^2 h_0\|_{L_x^4}^2 \\
&\quad + \|B_{\gamma-4}^0\|_{L_x^\infty} \|\nabla_x h_0\|_{L_x^\infty} \|\nabla_x h_0\|_{L_x^6}^3 + \varepsilon \|\rho\|_{L_x^\infty} \|\nabla_x^4 h_0\|_{L_x^2} + \varepsilon \|\rho\|_{L_x^6} \|\nabla_x^3 h_0\|_{L_x^6} \|\nabla_x h_0\|_{L_x^6} \\
&\quad + \varepsilon \|\rho\|_{L_x^6} \|\nabla_x^2 h_0\|_{L_x^6}^2 + \varepsilon \|\rho\|_{L_x^\infty} \|\nabla_x h_0\|_{L_x^\infty} \|\nabla_x h_0\|_{L_x^6}^3 + \varepsilon \|\nabla_x \rho\|_{L_x^\infty} \|\nabla_x^3 \rho\|_{L_x^2} + \varepsilon \|\nabla_x^2 \rho\|_{L_x^4} \|\nabla_x^2 h_0\|_{L_x^4} \\
&\quad + \varepsilon \|\nabla_x^3 \rho\|_{L_x^2} \|\nabla_x h_0\|_{L_x^\infty} + \varepsilon^2 \|\nabla_x \rho\|_{L_x^6}^2 \|\nabla_x^2 h_0\|_{L_x^6} + \varepsilon^2 \|\nabla_x^2 \rho\|_{L_x^6} \|\nabla_x h_0\|_{L_x^6} \\
&\quad + \varepsilon^2 \|\nabla_x \rho\|_{L_x^6} \|\nabla_x^2 \rho\|_{L_x^6} \|\nabla_x h_0\|_{L_x^6} + \varepsilon^3 \|\nabla_x h_0\|_{L_x^\infty} \|\nabla_x \rho\|_{L_x^6}^3 + \varepsilon^4 \|\nabla_x \rho\|_{L_x^\infty} \|\nabla_x \rho\|_{L_x^6}^3 \\
&\lesssim \varepsilon \|\rho\|_{H_x^3} \|(\nabla_x h_0, \nabla_x \rho)\|_{H_x^3},
\end{aligned}$$

where (A.2) and (3.11) are utilized. □

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

H. Wen was partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China #12471209 and by Guangzhou Basic and Applied Research Projects SL2024A04J01206.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Data sharing not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analysed during the current study.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. BALLEW, *Mathematical topics in fluid-particle interaction*, ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 2014. Thesis (Ph.D.)—University of Maryland, College Park.
- [2] ———, *Asymptotic analysis for a homogeneous bubbling regime Vlasov-Fokker-Planck/Navier-Stokes system*, Z. Angew. Math. Phys., 71 (2020), pp. Paper No. 131, 22.
- [3] C. BARANGER, L. BOUDIN, P.-E. JABIN, AND S. MANCINI, *A modeling of biospray for the upper airways*, in CEMRACS 2004—mathematics and applications to biology and medicine, vol. 14 of ESAIM Proc., EDP Sci., Les Ulis, 2005, pp. 41–47.
- [4] S. BERRES, R. BÜRGER, K. H. KARLSEN, AND E. M. TORY, *Strongly degenerate parabolic-hyperbolic systems modeling polydisperse sedimentation with compression*, SIAM J. Appl. Math., 64 (2003), pp. 41–80.
- [5] G. BOLLIAT, C. M. DAFERMOS, P. D. LAX, AND T. P. LIU, *Recent mathematical methods in nonlinear wave propagation*, vol. 1640 of Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin; Centro Internazionale Matematico Estivo (C.I.M.E.), Florence, 1996. Lectures given at the 1st C.I.M.E. Session held in Montecatini Terme, May 23–31, 1994, Fondazione CIME/CIME Foundation Subseries.
- [6] D. BRESCH, P. B. MUCHA, AND E. ZATORSKA, *Finite-energy solutions for compressible two-fluid Stokes system* Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 232 (2019), no. 2, 987–1029.

- [7] R. CAFLISCH AND G. C. PAPANICOLAOU, *Dynamic theory of suspensions with Brownian effects*, SIAM J. Appl. Math., 43 (1983), pp. 885–906.
- [8] J. A. CARRILLO, Y.-P. CHOI, AND T. K. KARPER, *On the analysis of a coupled kinetic-fluid model with local alignment forces*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré C Anal. Non Linéaire, 33 (2016), pp. 273–307.
- [9] J. A. CARRILLO, R. DUAN, AND A. MOUSSA, *Global classical solutions close to equilibrium to the Vlasov-Fokker-Planck-Euler system*, Kinet. Relat. Models, 4 (2011), pp. 227–258.
- [10] J. A. CARRILLO AND T. GOUDON, *Stability and asymptotic analysis of a fluid-particle interaction model*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, 31 (2006), pp. 1349–1379.
- [11] J. A. CARRILLO, T. K. KARPER, AND K. TRIVISA, *On the dynamics of a fluid-particle interaction model: the bubbling regime*, Nonlinear Anal., 74 (2011), pp. 2778–2801.
- [12] Y.-P. CHOI AND J. JUNG, *Asymptotic analysis for Vlasov-Fokker-Planck/compressible Navier-Stokes equations with a density-dependent viscosity*, in Hyperbolic problems: theory, numerics, applications, vol. 10 of AIMS Ser. Appl. Math., Am. Inst. Math. Sci. (AIMS), Springfield, MO, [2020] ©2020, pp. 145–163.
- [13] S. DING, B. HUANG, AND Q. LI, *Global existence and decay estimates for the classical solutions to a compressible fluid-particle interaction model*, Acta Math. Sci. Ser. B (Engl. Ed.), 39 (2019), pp. 1525–1537.
- [14] S. DING, B. HUANG, AND H. WEN, *Global well-posedness of classical solutions to a fluid-particle interaction model in \mathbb{R}^3* , J. Differential Equations, 263 (2017), pp. 8666–8717.
- [15] R. DUAN, M. FORNASIER, AND G. TOSCANI, *A kinetic flocking model with diffusion*, Comm. Math. Phys., 300 (2010), pp. 95–145.
- [16] R. DUAN AND S. LIU, *Cauchy problem on the Vlasov-Fokker-Planck equation coupled with the compressible Euler equations through the friction force*, Kinet. Relat. Models, 6 (2013), pp. 687–700.
- [17] R. DUAN, S. LIU AND T. YANG, *The Boltzmann equation for plane Couette flow*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS), 27 (2025), pp. 1107–1183.
- [18] S. EVJE, AND K.H. KARLSEN, *Global existence of weak solutions for a viscous two-phase model*, J. Differential Equations 245 (2008), no. 9, pp.2660–2703.
- [19] S. EVJE, H. WEN, AND C. ZHU, *On global solutions to the viscous liquid-gas model with unconstrained transition to single-phase flow*, Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci. 27 (2017), no. 2, pp.323–346.
- [20] D. FANG, R. ZI, AND T. ZHANG, *Global classical large solutions to a 1D fluid-particle interaction model: the bubbling regime*, J. Math. Phys., 53 (2012), pp. 033706, 21.
- [21] Z. FANG, K. QI, AND H. WEN, *The small deborah number limit for the fluid-particle flows: incompressible case*, Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci., 34 (2024), pp. 2265–2304.
- [22] T. GOUDON, P.-E. JABIN, AND A. VASSEUR, *Hydrodynamic limit for the Vlasov-Navier-Stokes equations. I. Light particles regime*, Indiana Univ. Math. J., 53 (2004), pp. 1495–1515.
- [23] ———, *Hydrodynamic limit for the Vlasov-Navier-Stokes equations. II. Fine particles regime*, Indiana Univ. Math. J., 53 (2004), pp. 1517–1536.
- [24] B. HUANG, S. DING, AND H. WEN, *Local classical solutions of compressible Navier-Stokes-Smoluchowski equations with vacuum*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. S, 9 (2016), pp. 1717–1752.
- [25] N. JIANG, L. XIONG, AND T.-F. ZHANG, *Hydrodynamic limits of the kinetic self-organized models*, SIAM J. Math. Anal., 48 (2016), pp. 3383–3411.
- [26] M. KALOUSEK, S. MITRA, S. NECASOVA, *The existence of a weak solution for a compressible multicomponent fluid structure interaction problem*, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9), 184 (2024), pp. 118–189.
- [27] R. R. HUILGOL, *On the concept of the Deborah number*, Trans. Soc. Rheol., 19 (1975), pp. 297–306.
- [28] F. LI, Y. MU, AND D. WANG, *Strong solutions to the compressible Navier-Stokes-Vlasov-Fokker-Planck equations: global existence near the equilibrium and large time behavior*, SIAM J. Math. Anal., 49 (2017), pp. 984–1026.
- [29] H.-L. LI, S. LIU, AND T. YANG, *The Navier-Stokes-Vlasov-Fokker-Planck system in bounded domains*, J. Stat. Phys., 186 (2022), pp. Paper No. 42, 32.
- [30] P.-L. LIONS, *Mathematical topics in fluid mechanics. Vol. 2*, vol. 10 of Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and its Applications, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1998. Compressible models, Oxford Science Publications.
- [31] A. MATSUMURA AND T. NISHIDA, *Initial-boundary value problems for the equations of motion of compressible viscous and heat-conductive fluids*, Comm. Math. Phys., 89 (1983), pp. 445–464.
- [32] A. MELLET AND A. F. VASSEUR, *Global weak solutions for a Vlasov-Fokker-Planck/Navier-Stokes system of equations*, Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci., 17 (2007), pp. 1039–1063.
- [33] ———, *Asymptotic analysis for a Vlasov-Fokker-Planck/compressible Navier-Stokes system of equations*, Comm. Math. Phys., 281 (2008), pp. 573–596.
- [34] Y. MU AND D. WANG, *Global well-posedness and optimal large-time behavior of strong solutions to the non-isentropic particle-fluid flows*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations, 59 (2020), pp. Paper No. 110, 42.
- [35] A. NOVOTNY, AND M. POKORNY, *Weak solutions for some compressible multicomponent fluid models*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 235 (2020), no. 1, pp.355–403.
- [36] Y. SONE, *Molecular gas dynamics*, Modeling and Simulation in Science, Engineering and Technology, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2007. Theory, techniques, and applications.

- [37] A. VASSEUR, H. WEN, AND C. YU, *Global weak solution to the viscous two-fluid model with finite energy*, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9), 125 (2019), pp. 247–282.
- [38] H. WEN, *On global solutions to a viscous compressible two-fluid model with unconstrained transition to single-phase flow in three dimensions*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations, 60 (2021), pp. 247–282.
- [39] D. WANG AND C. YU, *Global weak solution to the inhomogeneous Navier-Stokes-Vlasov equations*, J. Differential Equations, 259 (2015), pp. 3976–4008.
- [40] F. A. WILLIAMS, *Combustion Theory*, The Benjamin/Cummings Publishing Company, 2 ed., 1985.
- [41] C. YU, *Global weak solutions to the incompressible Navier-Stokes-Vlasov equations*, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9), 100 (2013), pp. 275–293.

(Zhendong Fang)

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATION SCIENCE, GUANGZHOU UNIVERSITY, GUANGZHOU 510006, P. R. CHINA
Email address: zdfang@gzhu.edu.cn

(Kunlun Qi)

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTATIONAL MATHEMATICS, SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING AND DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,
MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY, EAST LANSING, MI 48824, USA
Email address: qikunlun@msu.edu; kunlunqi.math@gmail.com

(Huanyao Wen)

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, SOUTH CHINA UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, GUANGZHOU, 510641, P. R. CHINA
Email address: mahywen@scut.edu.cn