

# The doubling property of the elliptic measure, for elliptic operators with drifts satisfying an average diverging condition.

Aritro Pathak

June 26, 2026

## Abstract

We show doubling of the elliptic measure corresponding to the operator with an elliptic principal term and a drift that diverges, on average on Whitney cubes, like the inverse distance to the boundary, with a small constant. Essentially a small Carleson constant assumption on the drift, this generalizes earlier results with the hypothesis of pointwise smallness of such a drift. This relates to recent perturbative results of rough Dirichlet solvability in domains with drifts or potentials that satisfy a Carleson measure condition, which have also been considered earlier by Hofmann-Lewis and Kenig-Pipher. While we work in 1-sided chord arc domains, these results are new even for the half-space. In the process, we also prove Hardy inequalities in such domains with Alhfors-David regular boundary, using a stopping time argument.

## 1 Introduction.

Consider a 1-sided chord arc domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  with  $n \geq 3$ . Consider the linear operator

$$Lu = -\nabla \cdot (\mathcal{A}\nabla u) + \mathcal{B} \cdot \nabla u, \quad (1)$$

We assume the drift term is small in an averaged sense over each Whitney region, which is to say that there exists a  $\beta$  small enough so that for each Whitney cube  $U_Q$  in the Whitney cube decomposition of  $\Omega$  we have,

$$(1) : \int_{U_Q} |\mathcal{B}|^2 \delta(X) dV \leq \beta^2 \iota(Q)^{n-1}, \quad (2)$$

Here  $|\mathcal{B}|$  denotes the magnitude of the drift term. In this paper, this is a generalization of the case considered in Chapter I of [HL01] where we had the pointwise smallness estimate of the form

$$|\mathcal{B}(x)| \leq \frac{\beta}{\delta(x)}, \quad (3)$$

for some small enough  $\beta$ .

Here we also require

$$(2) : \left( \sum_{i=0}^n \delta(X) |\mathcal{B}_i(X)| + \sum_{i,j=1}^n |\mathcal{A}_{ij}(X)| \right) < M < \infty, \quad \sum_{i,j=1}^n \mathcal{A}_{ij}(X) \xi_i \xi_j \geq \lambda |\xi|^2. \quad (4)$$

This enables the use of the Harnack inequality in our arguments, and also is important in establishing the Bourgain type estimate for the elliptic measure for this operator.

Also note that the adjoint equation takes the form:

$$L^*u = -\nabla \cdot (\mathcal{A}^* \nabla(u) + \mathcal{B}u), \quad (5)$$

We note that solutions for the adjoint equation in general are not a-priori known to exist with the above hypotheses, whereas the Lax-Milgram lemma can be used in a routine way to show existence of solutions for  $Lu = f$  for locally integrable  $f$ , once one shows coercivity of the corresponding bilinear form with arguments analogous to those used in proving Theorem 11.

In this manuscript, we assume that solutions to the adjoint equation exist, and then we would immediately get that  $\mathcal{G}(x, y) = \mathcal{G}_T(y, x)$  where  $\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}_T$  are respectively the Green's functions corresponding to the operators  $L, L^*$ . In particular, the adjoint equation is used to get the pointwise lower bounds on the Green function.

It will be enough to consider in addition to the hypotheses (1), (2) above, the pointwise condition that,

$$(3) : -\frac{M}{\delta(x)^2} \leq (\nabla \cdot \mathcal{B}) \leq 0 \quad (6)$$

for all positive functions  $v \in C_0^1(\Omega)$  that are compactly supported in the domain  $\Omega$ .

Note that in particular, the drifts that have zero divergence, trivially satisfy the above condition.

We outline the existence of solutions for the operators  $L, L^*$  after the end of the main Theorem 3, and the main point is to show coercivity of the corresponding bilinear form and then employ the Lax-Milgram lemma which is Theorem 5.8 of [GT77]. We will note that the a-priori existence of solutions for the operator  $L$  is given by arguments in the Theorem 3 itself. More precisely, we will use the equation Eq. (103) and then resort to the use of the Theorem 5.8 of [GT77]. For the case of the adjoint operator  $L^*$ , we have an extra term of the form  $-\int (\nabla \cdot \mathcal{B})u^2$  in the corresponding bilinear form. The condition Eq. (6) is only necessary for the existence of the solutions for the adjoint equation.

**Theorem 1.** *Consider the operators of Eq. (1) as well as Eq. (5). Under the hypothesis of Eqs. (2) and (4), there exists a weak solution  $u \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$  that satisfies  $Lu = g + \partial_i f_i$ . Further, under the hypothesis of Eqs. (2), (4) and (6) (3), we also have the existence of  $v \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$  that solves  $L^*v = g + \partial_i f_i$ . Here,  $g, f_i \in L^2(\Omega)$ .*

Once existence of solutions are shown above, one also gets the existence of the Green's function and the adjoint Green function. For the construction of the Green's function, one is referred to [Mour23].

Note again, that we prove the pointwise lower estimates for the Green function assuming the solutions to the adjoint operator  $L^*$  exist. We then prove the pointwise upper estimates for the Green function assuming the existence of solutions for the operator  $L$ . We outline the argument for Theorem 1 at the end of the manuscript, in particular borrowing from the proof of Theorem 3.

One motivation for this work comes from perturbative results such as [HP24] that establishes  $L^p$  Dirichlet solvability for the operator  $L$  when the drift satisfies a smallness assumption in a Carleson measure sense as in Eq. (8), when we know that the  $L^p$  Dirichlet problem for the operator

$$L_0 u = -\nabla \cdot (\mathcal{A} \nabla u) \quad (7)$$

is solvable. In turn, such a question with the drifts is motivated by the question of the rough Dirichlet solvability for the elliptic operator in non-divergence form, since the non-divergence form operator can be written as a divergence form expression along with a drift.

This means that for every  $Q \in \mathbb{D}(\partial\Omega)$ , we have,

$$\sup_{x \in \partial\Omega, 0 < r < r_0} \frac{1}{\sigma(\Delta(x, r))} \int_{\Omega \cap B(x, r)} \sup_{B(t, \delta(t)/2)} (|\mathcal{B}|^2(y) \delta(y)) dt < \infty, \quad (8)$$

and further we also have the pointwise bound of the form  $|\mathcal{B}(x)| \leq M/\delta(x)$  coming from Eq. (4). The Carleson measure condition of Eq. (8) implies with a standard pigeonholing argument that, for a given small  $\epsilon > 0$ , for all but a finite number of Whitney cubes contained in  $B(x, r)$ ,

$$\int_{U_Q} \sup_{B(t, \delta(t)/2)} (|\mathcal{B}|^2(y) \delta(y)) dt < \epsilon l(Q)^{n-1}. \quad (9)$$

Further, the supremum condition in the integrand forces a pointwise smallness estimate in each Whitney cube of this type. Such a condition on the drift has been considered first in [KP01]. There, the authors also proved a result for Dirichlet solvability for operators with only the principal elliptic term, where the elliptic matrices satisfied the 'DKP' type condition:

$$\sup_{x \in \partial\Omega, 0 < r < r_0} \frac{1}{\sigma(\Delta(x, r))} \int_{\Omega \cap B(x, r)} \sup_{B(t, \delta(t)/2)} (|\nabla \mathcal{A}|^2(y) \delta(y)) dt < \infty, \quad (10)$$

In other results, one establishes pointwise estimates on the Green function, found most recently in [HP24] where one has the pointwise smallness condition of Eq. (3) on the drift. Further, the so called 'Bourgain' property', for the operator with the Eq. (3) assumption, is also found in Chapter 1 of [HL01].

These two ingredients combine to establish the doubling of the elliptic measure, by an argument found in [Ai06], as mentioned in Theorem 3 of this paper. We note that these two ingredients separately are of independent interest. For example, in [HL18], the Bourgain property for the elliptic measure corresponding to the elliptic operator without any lower order terms, is essentially used to prove the fact that an a-priori assumption of BMO-solvability implies  $L^p$  Dirichlet solvability. In a separate manuscript, we are studying the operator Eq. (1) with the conditions of Eqs. (4) and (8), with the hypothesis of BMO-solvability in the half space. The pointwise estimates on the Green function with lower order terms is itself of interest in other areas of partial differential equations [Mour23, Sak21, KS19]. Although the lower pointwise estimates are proved by adopting the techniques of [GW82], the upper pointwise estimates require more work, and crucially needs a Calderon Zygmund type decomposition of the Whitney cubes. The Bourgain property in this instance also needs a new argument, in controlling an upper bound on terms of the form  $\int |\mathcal{B}|^2 u^2 \psi^2 dV$  for local solutions to  $Lu = 0$  and  $\psi$  some radially symmetric test function centered on the boundary. In presence of pointwise estimates of Eq. (3), as in [HL01], this is somewhat routine. However, with the hypothesis of only Eq. (2) on the drift, the arguments become more delicate, in the general setting of the 1-sided chord arc domains.

In [HMT17], it is pointed out that Dirichlet solvability holds by weakening the hypothesis of Eq. (10) in (ii) below, along with the local Lipschitz condition on  $\mathcal{A}$  as well as a pointwise estimate on the gradient of  $|\nabla \mathcal{A}|$ :

$$(i) \mathcal{A} \text{ in } \text{Lip}_{loc}(\Omega), \quad |\nabla \mathcal{A}(x)| \leq \frac{M}{\delta(x)} \quad (11)$$

$$(ii) \sup_{x \in \partial\Omega, 0 < r < r_0} \frac{1}{\sigma(\Delta(x, r))} \int_{\Omega \cap B(x, r)} (|\nabla \mathcal{A}|^2(y) \delta(y)) dt < \infty, \quad (12)$$

Thus it is natural to ask whether the results of [HP24] can be proved for more general operators with a Carleson measure condition on the drift, where the supremum condition within the integrand is removed,

$$\sup_{x \in \partial\Omega, 0 < r < r_0} \frac{1}{\sigma(\Delta(x, r))} \int_{\Omega \cap B(x, r)} (|\mathcal{B}|^2(y) \delta(y)) dt < \infty. \quad (13)$$

This means that for Whitney cubes that typically satisfy the smallness assumption, instead of Eq. (9) and thus the pointwise smallness estimate, we only have the average smallness assumption of Eq. (2) considered in this paper, along with Eq. (4). Because of the Carleson measure condition, by an elementary counting argument, depending on the Carleson measure constant and  $\beta$ , one sees that all but a finite number of Whitney cubes contained in any  $\Omega \cap B(x, r)$  have to satisfy the average smallness condition of Eq. (4).

This paper establishes doubling of the elliptic measure for this more general situation of drifts that have a smallness condition Eq. (2) on average on every Whitney cube in a chord arc domain, instead of having a pointwise smallness assumption, along with a background pointwise bound with the large constant as in Eq. (4). This result is new even for the half space.

We also note that the Carleson measure involves a square of the drift term. If one worked with a Carleson measure condition of the form

$$\sup_{x \in \partial\Omega, 0 < r < r_0} \frac{1}{\sigma(\Delta(x, r))} \int_{\Omega \cap B(x, r)} |\mathcal{B}|(y) dt < \infty, \quad (14)$$

that has a linear drift term in the integrand, the point-wise estimate on the drift in Eq. (4) along with Eq. (14) immediately gives the Eq. (13) condition.

In the theory of linear elliptic operators with lower order terms, one typically deals with some integrability assumption in some  $L^p$  or weak  $L^p$  space on the drift term, in order to establish the pointwise estimates on the Green function. This has been considered in recent work [KS19, Sak21, Mour23]. When we assume Eq. (3), even with a small constant, in general bounded domains, the drift is not integrable. Our situation is much more general in that we assume only an average smallness condition in every Whitney domain.

This work can be seen as the first step in extending the work of [HP24], but where the supremum condition is removed from the integrand in the hypothesis of Eq. (8). In [HP24] we need to use the doubling property in sawtooth domains where we have the pointwise estimate Eq. (3) on the drift.

Even so, there are other adaptations to be made to the methods of [HP24] for establishing Dirichlet solvability with this more general Carleson measure condition on the drift. This will be studied in future work.

We remark that, in establishing existence almost everywhere with respect to the elliptic measure, of finite non-tangential limits for solutions to the divergence form elliptic operators, doubling of the elliptic measure is crucial. See the early papers of [HW68, HW70, CFMS81]. We remark that ours is a small constant assumption on the drift; for a survey of recent results on the solvability of Dirichlet, Neumann and Regularity problems with Carleson measure assumption on lower order terms in elliptic operators, one is referred to [DP24].

We note that our result is proved in the general setting of 1-sided Chord arc domains. Below, we borrow the terminology from other recent works involving the elliptic measure and chord-arc domains<sup>(1)</sup> [HMMTZ21].

We prove a local Hardy inequality in Theorem 4 in Section 3, and state a global version in Theorem 5. This global version is well known from earlier results going back at least to [Lew88]. In [HP24] we prove the local version of Theorem 4 as a consequence of this global version. However, a direct proof of this local (and thus also the global) Hardy inequality using a stopping time argument here is interesting in it's own right, and to the best knowledge of the author hasn't directly appeared in the literature, except a version of this stopping time argument in [KLT11] for a pointwise Hardy inequality.<sup>(2)</sup>

**Definition 1.** Corkscrew domain: [see Definition 2.3 of [HMMTZ21]] An open set  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  satisfies the interior corkscrew condition if for some uniform constant  $c$  with  $0 < c < 1$ , and for every surface ball  $\Delta := \Delta(x, r)$  with  $x \in \partial\Omega$  and  $0 < r < \text{diam}\partial\Omega$ , there is a ball  $B(A(q, r), cr) \subset \Omega \cap B(x, r)$ . The point  $A(q, r) \subset \Omega$  is called an interior corkscrew point relative to  $\Delta$ .

**Definition 2.** Harnack Chains: An open connected set  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is said to satisfy the Harnack Chain condition with constants  $M, C_1 > 1$  if for every pair of points  $x, y \in \Omega$ , there is a chain of balls  $B_1, B_2, \dots, B_k \subset \Omega$  with  $k \leq M(2 + \log_2^+ \Pi)$  that connects  $x$  to  $y$ , where

$$\Pi := \frac{|x - y|}{\min(\delta(x), \delta(y))}. \quad (15)$$

We have  $x \in B_1, y \in B_k, B_j \cap B_{j+1} \neq \emptyset$  for every  $j \in \{1, \dots, k-1\}$  and for every  $1 \leq j \leq k$ , we have,

$$C_1^{-1} \text{diam}(B_j) \leq \text{dist}(B_j, \partial\Omega) \leq C_1 \text{diam}(B_j). \quad (16)$$

<sup>(1)</sup>In other terminology, these are also called 1-sided non tangentially accessible domains.

<sup>(2)</sup>The author is grateful to Juha Lehrbach for the references.

**Definition 3.** Ahlfors-David regular(ADR) boundary: We say that a set  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is Ahlfors-David regular with constant  $C_{AR} > 1$  if for any  $q \in E$ , and any  $0 < r \leq \text{diam}(E)$ , we have,

$$C_{AR}^{-1} r^{n-1} \leq \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(B(q, r) \cap E) \leq C_{AR} r^{n-1}. \quad (17)$$

For such a situation, we have the following well known dyadic decomposition of the boundary.

**Definition 4.** 1-sided Chord arc domain: A domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  that satisfies the Corkscrew condition and the Harnack Chain condition, along with an Ahlfors-David boundary, is called a 1-sided Chord arc domains.

**Lemma 2.** (*Existence and properties of the “dyadic grid”*) [DS1, DS2], [Ch]. Suppose that  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is closed  $n - 1$ -dimensional ADR set. Then there exist constants  $a_0 > 0$ ,  $\gamma > 0$  and  $C_* < \infty$ , depending only on dimension and the ADR constant, such that for each  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , there is a collection of Borel sets (“cubes”)

$$\mathbb{D}_k := \{Q_j^k \subset E : j \in \mathfrak{J}_k\},$$

where  $\mathfrak{J}_k$  denotes some (possibly finite) index set depending on  $k$ , satisfying

- (i)  $E = \cup_j Q_j^k$  for each  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .
- (ii) If  $m \geq k$  then either  $Q_i^m \subset Q_j^k$  or  $Q_i^m \cap Q_j^k = \emptyset$ .
- (iii) For each  $(j, k)$  and each  $m < k$ , there is a unique  $i$  such that  $Q_j^k \subset Q_i^m$ .
- (iv)  $\text{diam}(Q_j^k) \leq C_* 2^{-k}$ .
- (v) Each  $Q_j^k$  contains some “surface ball”  $\Delta(x_j^k, a_0 2^{-k}) := B(x_j^k, a_0 2^{-k}) \cap E$ .
- (vi)  $H^{n-1}(\{x \in Q_j^k : \text{dist}(x, E \setminus Q_j^k) \leq \varrho 2^{-k}\}) \leq C_* \varrho^\gamma H^{n-1}(Q_j^k)$ , for all  $k, j$  and for all  $\varrho \in (0, a_0)$ .

Recall the Whitney cube decomposition  $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{W}(\Omega)$ . See, for example, Chapter 6 of [St70] (the reader is referred to Section 6 of [HMMTZ21] or Section 3 of [HM14], for example, for the notation and a more detailed exposition of the following standard constructions).

Here we briefly introduce the main objects.

For each cube  $Q \in \mathbb{D}(\partial\Omega)$ , we have the subfamily  $\mathcal{W}_Q \subset \mathcal{W}$ , and we define

$$U_Q := \bigcup_{I \in \mathcal{W}_Q} I^*. \quad (18)$$

Here,  $I^*$  is a fattening of the Whitney cube  $I$ , and we also write  $X(I)$  for the center of each cube  $I$ .

These satisfy the following properties: there exists a point  $X_Q \in U_Q$ , and there are uniform constants  $k^*, K_0$  so that,

$$\begin{aligned} k(Q) - k^* &\leq k_I \leq k(Q) + k^*, \quad \forall I \in \mathcal{W}_Q^*, \\ X(I) &\rightarrow_{U_Q} X_Q \quad \forall I \in \mathcal{W}_Q^*, \\ \text{dist}(I, Q) &\leq K_0 2^{-k(Q)} \quad \forall I \in \mathcal{W}_Q^*. \end{aligned}$$

Here,  $X(I) \rightarrow_{U_Q} X_Q$  means that the interior of  $U_Q$  contains all the balls in a Harnack chain in  $\Omega$  connecting  $X(I)$  and  $X_Q$ , and for any point inside any of these balls in the Harnack chain, we have  $\text{dist}(Z, \partial\Omega) \approx \text{dist}(Z, \Omega \setminus U_Q)$  with control of the constants uniform on the choice of  $U_Q$ .

For any given  $Q \in \mathbb{D}(\partial\Omega)$ , the Carleson box relative to  $Q$  is defined by,

$$T_Q := \text{int} \left( \bigcup_{Q' \in \mathbb{D}_Q} U_{Q'} \right). \quad (19)$$

Here,  $\mathbb{D}_Q$  is the subset of the dyadic grid, restricted to  $Q$ .

## 1.1 Main result.

The main result of the paper is the doubling of the elliptic measure corresponding to the operator in Eq. (1).

**Theorem 3.** *For the operator Eq. (1) with the corresponding elliptic measure  $\omega$  and Green's function  $\mathcal{G}(\cdot, z)$  with pole at  $z$ ; for any  $x \in \partial\Omega, r \leq \text{diam } \partial\Omega$ , with  $A(x, r)$  a corkscrew point relative to the surface ball  $\Delta(x, r) := B(x, r) \cap \partial\Omega$ , then for any  $y \in \Omega \setminus B(x, 2r)$ , we have*

$$c^{-1}r^{n-2}\mathcal{G}(y, A(x, r)) \leq \omega(y, \Delta(x, r)) \leq \omega(y, \Delta(x, 2r)) \leq c^2r^{n-2}\mathcal{G}(y, A(x, r)). \quad (20)$$

The Boundary Hölder regularity and subsequently the Bourgain property is stated and proved in Section 4, and the pointwise upper and lower estimates on the Green function are proved in Section 5.

## 2 Notation.

We use the symbol  $\sum_{i, Q_i \subset Q}$  to mean a sum over dyadic sub-cubes contained in the cube  $Q$ . The symbol  $\sum_{i, Q_i \supset Q}$  is used to mean a sum over the dyadic sub-cubes that contain  $Q$ . We use the notation  $|\cdot|$  for the volume of a certain set. We also use  $\delta(x) := \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega)$  to denote the distance to the boundary of a point  $x \in \Omega$  to the boundary  $\partial\Omega$ .

## 3 Local boundary Hardy inequality.

**Theorem 4.** *Suppose  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  a not necessarily bounded open set, and  $Q_0 \in \mathbb{D}(\partial\Omega)$  and  $u \in W^{1,2}(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega})$ , and  $u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$ . Suppose that the boundary  $\partial\Omega$  is  $(n-1)$ -dimensional Ahlfors David regular (or simply ADR). In that case, for any  $Q_0 \subset \mathbb{D}_k$ , there exists a  $\bar{Q}_0 \subset \mathbb{D}_{l(k)}$ , with  $l(k) \geq k$ ,  $Q_0 \subset \bar{Q}_0$ , and where  $\frac{l(k)}{k} = O(1)$  with the implied constant independent of the cube  $Q_0$ .*

$$\int_{T_{Q_0}} \left( \frac{u(x)}{\delta(x)} \right)^2 dx \lesssim \left( \int_{T_{\bar{Q}_0}} |\nabla u|^2 dx \right).$$

*Proof of Theorem 4.* The proof follows by the use of the Whitney decomposition of  $\Omega$ , the Poincare inequality, along with a dyadic version of Hardy's inequality along with the continuity of  $u$  at the boundary.

We note that  $u = 0$  on the boundary. In this case, for any point  $x \in \Omega$ , we consider a Harnack path that connects  $x$  to  $\hat{x}$  which is a point nearest to  $x$  on the boundary with  $|x - \hat{x}| = \delta(x)$ . We enumerate the fattened Whitney cubes.

Up to an implied constant, it will be enough to prove that,

$$\int_{T_{Q_0}} \left( \frac{u(x)}{\delta(x)} \right)^2 dV(x) \lesssim \sum_{\substack{U_Q^* \\ Q \in \mathbb{D}(Q_0)}} \int_{U_Q^*} \left( \frac{u(x)}{\delta(x)} \right)^2 dV(x). \quad (21)$$

We write,

$$(u_Q) := \frac{1}{|U_Q|} \int_{U_Q} u(x) dV(x), \quad (u_Q^*) := \frac{1}{|U_Q^*|} \int_{U_Q^*} u(x) dV(x). \quad (22)$$

We write,

$$\sum_{U_Q} \int_{U_Q} \left( \frac{u(x)}{\delta(x)} \right)^2 dV = \sum_{U_Q} \int_{U_Q} \left( \frac{u(x) - (u_Q) + (u_Q)}{\delta(x)} \right)^2 dV(x) \quad (23)$$

We have,

$$\int_{U_Q} \left( \frac{u(x) - (u_Q) + (u_Q)}{\delta(x)} \right)^2 dV \lesssim 2 \int_{U_Q} \left( \frac{(u(x) - (u_Q))^2}{\delta(x)^2} + \frac{(u_Q)^2}{\delta(x)^2} \right) dV(x). \quad (24)$$

For the first term on the right, one can use Poincare inequality over the fattened Whitney cube  $U_Q$ , to get,

$$\lesssim 2 \int_{U_Q} \left( |\nabla u(x)|^2 + \frac{(u_Q)^2}{\delta(x)^2} \right) dV(x). \quad (25)$$

Next, we note that if  $Q_1$  is any dyadic child of  $Q$ , then

$$|(u_Q) - (u_{Q_1})| \leq |(u_Q) - (u_Q^*)| + |(u_Q^*) - (u_{Q_1})| \quad (26)$$

Here we have the fattened Whitney cubes  $U_Q^*$  which contain both of the cubes  $U_Q, U_{Q_1}$ . We see by using a crude bound, then Poincare inequality over the region  $U_Q^*$ , and finally Hölder inequality and another crude bound, that,

$$\begin{aligned} (|(u_Q) - (u_Q^*)|)^2 &\leq \left( \frac{1}{|U_Q|} \int_{U_Q^*} |u - (u_Q^*)| dV \right)^2 \lesssim \left( \frac{l(Q)}{|U_Q^*|} \int_{U_Q^*} |\nabla u(x)| dV(x) \right)^2 \\ &\lesssim \frac{l(Q)^2}{|U_Q|} \int_{U_Q^*} |\nabla u(x)|^2 dV(x). \end{aligned}$$

By an identical argument one also gets with a slightly different implied constant, that,

$$|(u_Q^* - u_{Q_1})|^2 \lesssim \frac{l(Q_1)^2}{|U_Q|} \int_{U_Q^*} |\nabla u(x)|^2 dV(x).$$

Adding up the two contributions from the above, to get,

$$|(u_Q) - (u_{Q_1})| \lesssim \frac{l(Q_0)^2}{|U_Q|} \int_{U_Q^*} |\nabla u(x)|^2 dV(x).$$

This same holds for any pair of cubes  $Q_i, Q_{i+1}$  with  $Q_{i+1} \subset Q_i$ , being a dyadic child of  $Q_i$ , and we get, with the implied constant independent of  $i$ , that,

$$|(u_{Q_i}) - (u_{Q_{i+1}})| \lesssim \frac{l(Q_i)^2}{|U_{Q_i}|} \int_{U_{Q_i}^*} |\nabla u(x)|^2 dV(x). \quad (27)$$

When we sum all the contributions over all the Whitney cubes in the first term on the right in Eq. (25), we get up to an implied constant factor,  $\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(x)|^2 dV(x)$ .

We deal with the second term on the right of Eq. (25). Take an average over the cube  $Q$ ,

$$2 \int_Q \left( \int_{U_Q^*} \frac{(u_Q)^2}{\delta(x)^2} dV(x) \right) d\sigma(y) \approx 2 \frac{1}{\sigma(Q)} \int_Q \left( \frac{(u_Q)^2}{l(Q)^2} |U_Q| \right) d\sigma(y) \quad (28)$$

Note that for any  $Q' \subset Q$  with both  $Q', Q \in \mathbb{D}(Q_0)$ , we have

$$l(Q')\sigma(Q') \approx |U_{Q'}| \approx |U_{Q'}^*|, \quad (29)$$

where the implied constants are uniform over the cubes in  $\mathbb{D}$ .

In this case, for any  $k \geq 1$ , and  $Q_k \subset Q$  a  $k$ -th generation subset of  $Q$  with  $Q', Q \in \mathbb{D}(Q_0)$ , there is a unique sequence of subset  $Q = Q_0 \supset Q_1 \supset Q_2 \cdots \supset Q_k$  where for each  $1 \leq j \leq k$ ,  $Q_j$  is a  $j$ 'th generation subset of  $Q$ .

Fix any  $\epsilon$ , which is to be chosen later. Decompose  $Q$  into a countable union of stopping time regimes,

$$Q = \bigcup_{l=1}^{\infty} Q_{n_l},$$

with  $Q_{n_l}$  the maximal stopping time cube with the property that  $(u_{Q_{n_l}}) \leq \epsilon$ .

Then, using Eq. (29), for a given such sequence, we consider the contribution to the right-hand side of Eq. (28), which given by,

$$\frac{1}{\sigma(Q)} \int_{Q_{n_l}} \left( \frac{(((u_{Q_0}) - (u_{Q_1})) + ((u_{Q_1}) - (u_{Q_2})) + \dots + ((u_{Q_{n_l-1}}) - (u_{Q_{n_l}})) + (u_{Q_{n_l}}))}{l(Q)^2} |U_Q| \right) d\sigma(y) \quad (30)$$

$$= \frac{\sigma(Q_{n_l})}{\sigma(Q)} |U_Q| \left( \frac{(((u_{Q_0}) - (u_{Q_1})) + ((u_{Q_1}) - (u_{Q_2})) + \dots + ((u_{Q_{n_l-1}}) - (u_{Q_{n_l}})) + (u_{Q_{n_l}}))}{l(Q)^2} \right). \quad (31)$$

Using Eq. (29), the above becomes,

$$\approx \frac{l(Q)}{l(Q_{n_l})} \frac{|U_{Q_{n_l}}|}{l(Q)^2} \left( \sum_{i=0}^{n_l-1} ((u_{Q_i}) - (u_{Q_{i+1}})) + (u_{Q_{n_l}}) \right)^2 \quad (32)$$

Using the expression Eq. (27) which holds for each of the cubes in this sequence, using the Hölder inequality, and writing  $l(Q)/l(Q_{n_l}) = (l(Q_i)/l(Q_{n_l}))(l(Q)/l(Q_i))$ , noting that for each Whitney region we have,  $|U_Q^*| = \kappa(l(Q))^n$  for any  $Q \in \mathbb{D}(Q_0)$ , the main term becomes

$$\lesssim \left( \sum_{i=0}^{n_l-1} \sqrt{\frac{l(Q_i)}{l(Q)}} \sqrt{\frac{|U_{Q_{n_l}}|}{|U_{Q_i}|}} \sqrt{\frac{l(Q_i)}{l(Q_{n_l})}} \left( \int_{U_{Q_i}^*} |\nabla u(x)|^2 dV(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^2 \quad (33)$$

$$\approx \left( \sum_{i=0}^{n_l-1} \sqrt{\frac{l(Q_i)}{l(Q)}} \left( \frac{l(Q_{n_l})}{l(Q_i)} \right)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \left( \int_{U_{Q_i}^*} |\nabla u(x)|^2 dV(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^2 \quad (34)$$

Note that for each  $i$ , the fattened Whitney regions  $U_{Q_i}$  and  $U_{Q_{i+1}}$  have bounded overlaps. In particular, this implies that the sum of the integrals of the square of the norm of the gradient over the regions  $U_{Q_i}$  is a constant multiple of the sum of the integrals of the square of the norm of the gradient over the regions  $U_{Q_i}$ , which is the integral over the entire domain. Now use Cauchy Schwarz inequality to upper bound the above by,

$$\lesssim \left( \sum_{i=0}^{n_l-1} \sqrt{\frac{l(Q_i)}{l(Q)}} \right) \left( \sum_{i=0}^{n_l-1} \sqrt{\frac{l(Q_i)}{l(Q)}} \left( \frac{l(Q_{n_l})}{l(Q_i)} \right)^{n-1} \left( \int_{U_{Q_i}^*} |\nabla u(x)|^2 dV(x) \right) \right) + \epsilon'_Q \quad (35)$$

$$= C \left( \sum_{i=0}^{n_l-1} \sqrt{\frac{l(Q_i)}{l(Q)}} \frac{\sigma(Q_{n_l})}{\sigma(Q_i)} \left( \int_{U_{Q_i}^*} |\nabla u(x)|^2 dV(x) \right) \right) + \epsilon'_Q \quad (36)$$

where  $\epsilon'_Q$  is a constant dependent on  $Q$ , which we determine below. We note that the first term on the left can be bounded by an infinite geometric progression since we have the inclusion of the dyadic cubes,  $Q \supset Q_0 \supset Q_1 \dots \supset Q_i \dots \supset Q_{n_l}$  for all  $i \leq n_l$ , and thus can be bounded by a constant  $K$  as written above.

We thus get the entire contribution to the right-hand side of Eq. (28), using the continuity of  $u$  and that it vanishes to 0 to the boundary, and truncating each chain at a suitable stage so that the average of  $u$  over the final cube of the chain is as small as chosen. In particular, for this fixed  $Q$ , the error  $\epsilon'_Q$  is bounded by

$$\epsilon'_Q \leq \frac{\sigma(Q_{n_l})}{l(Q)} (u_{Q_i})^2 = \frac{l(Q_{n_l})}{l(Q)} l(Q_{n_l})^{n-2} (u_{Q_{n_l}})^2. \quad (37)$$

Without loss of generality, we can extend the chains constructed so that the stopping time cubes  $Q_{n_l}$  are such that  $l(Q_{n_l}) \leq 1$ , and also obviously  $l(Q_{n_l}) \leq l(Q)$  by construction. Since  $n \geq 3$ , and we chose  $(u_{Q_{n_l}}) \leq \epsilon$ , we can bound the above term.

Thus for this fixed  $Q$ , along a fixed subsequence of decreasing cubes as chosen above, using the continuity of  $u$  at the boundary, we can terminate at some  $Q_l$  so that  $\frac{\sigma(Q_{n_l})}{l(Q)}(u_{Q_l})^2$  is arbitrarily small.

In this process restricted to the cube  $Q$ , the number of stopping time regimes are countable. Thus, choosing a countable sequence of  $(\epsilon')$  's in a geometric progression, and arguing as above, we only have to deal with a countable sequence of chains contained within each cube, to exhaust the integral over the entire cube  $Q$ , up to a total error  $\epsilon_Q$  which we control as above.

Repeat this process for each of the chains of cubes contained in  $Q$ , starting with the expression of Eq. (30) in each case.

Enumerate this countable set of chains of cubes contained in  $Q$ , which we get in this process, as  $\mathcal{C}(Q)_k|_{k=1}^\infty$ . For a given cube  $Q_i \subset Q$ , consider the subset of chains from the above in which  $Q_i$  appears, and call it  $\mathcal{C}(Q, Q_i)$ . Each chain in  $\mathcal{C}(Q, Q_i)$  terminates in some cube  $Q_l \subset Q_i$ . Term the length of any chain  $c \in \mathcal{C}(Q, Q_i)$  as  $l(c)$  and the maximal stopping time cube for the chain  $c$  as  $Q_c$ . Also note that the disjoint union of the maximal stopping time cubes of the chains  $\mathcal{C}(Q, Q_i)$  is the cube  $Q_i$ , which we use in Eq. (40).

Now consider the sum in Eq. (21), and repeat this above argument for each such Whitney cube  $U_Q^*$ . Rearranging the sum in the second step below, the total contribution can be bounded by,

$$K \sum_{Q \in \mathbb{D}(Q_0)} \left( \sum_{c \in \mathcal{C}(Q)} \sum_{i=0}^{l(c)-1} \sqrt{\frac{l(Q_i)}{l(Q)} \frac{\sigma(Q_c)}{\sigma(Q_i)}} \left( \int_{U_{Q_i}^*} |\nabla u(x)|^2 dV(x) \right) \right), \quad (38)$$

$$= K \sum_{Q \in \mathbb{D}(Q_0)} \left( \sum_{Q_i \subset Q} \sum_{c \in \mathcal{C}(Q, Q_i)} \sqrt{\frac{l(Q_i)}{l(Q)} \frac{\sigma(Q_c)}{\sigma(Q_i)}} \left( \int_{U_{Q_i}^*} |\nabla u(x)|^2 dV(x) \right) \right) \quad (39)$$

Here, the sum  $\sum_i^{l(c)-1}$  is over all the cubes  $Q_i \in \mathbb{D}(\partial\Omega)$  that constitute the chain  $c \in \mathcal{C}$ , with  $Q = Q_0 \supset Q_1 \supset \dots \supset Q_i \supset \dots \supset Q_l$ .

Interchange the two sums in the end, for fixed  $Q_i \subset Q$ , and sum over the countable stopping time cubes  $Q_c$  contained in  $Q_i$ , to get that,

$$\sum_{c \in \mathcal{C}(Q, Q_i)} \sqrt{\frac{l(Q_i)}{l(Q)} \frac{\sigma(Q_c)}{\sigma(Q_i)}} \left( \int_{U_{Q_i}^*} |\nabla u(x)|^2 dV(x) \right) = \sqrt{\frac{l(Q_i)}{l(Q)}} \left( \int_{U_{Q_i}^*} |\nabla u(x)|^2 dV(x) \right) \sum_{c \in \mathcal{C}(Q, Q_i)} \frac{\sigma(Q_c)}{\sigma(Q_i)} \quad (40)$$

$$= \sqrt{\frac{l(Q_i)}{l(Q)}} \left( \int_{U_{Q_i}^*} |\nabla u(x)|^2 dV(x) \right) \quad (41)$$

Returning now to the estimate from Eq. (38), and using Eq. (40), we have, with a rearrangement of the summation in the second step below,

$$K \sum_{Q \in \mathbb{D}(Q_0)} \sum_{i, Q_i \subset Q} \left( \sqrt{\frac{l(Q_i)}{l(Q)}} \left( \int_{U_{Q_i}^*} |\nabla u(x)|^2 dV(x) \right) \right) \quad (42)$$

$$= K \sum_{Q \in \mathbb{D}(Q_0)} \sum_{k, Q_k \supset Q} \left( \sqrt{\frac{l(Q)}{l(Q_k)}} \left( \int_{U_Q^*} |\nabla u(x)|^2 dV(x) \right) \right) \quad (43)$$

$$\leq K' \sum_{Q \in \mathbb{D}(Q_0)} \left( \int_{U_Q^*} |\nabla u(x)|^2 dV(x) \right) \leq K'' \int_{T_{Q_0}} |\nabla u|^2 dV(x). \quad (44)$$

where the sum over  $k$ ,

$$\sum_{k, Q_k \supset Q} \sqrt{\frac{l(Q)}{l(Q_k)}}$$

is bounded since for a fixed  $Q$  the sum runs over the chain of dyadic cubes that contain  $Q$ , and are contained in  $Q_0$ . Using the bounded overlap of the sets  $U_Q^*$  in the last step, we have the required upper bound in terms of  $\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dV(x)$ .

We can further bound the error contribution from each Whitney region  $U_Q$  by an arbitrarily small number  $\epsilon_Q$ . Then combining with the fact that  $\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(x)|^2 dV(x) < \infty$ , and a limiting argument, we complete the proof.  $\square$

We also state the 'global' version of the Hardy inequality that will be used later on in Section 4 as well as Section 5.

**Lemma 5.**  $u \in W^{1,2}(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega})$ , with  $u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$ , we have  $(\int_{\Omega} (\frac{u}{\delta})^2) \lesssim (\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2)$ .

The proof follows from Theorem 2 of [Lew88] with  $p = 2$ , by noting that co-dimension one ADR boundaries satisfy the uniform fatness condition of [Lew88]. It can also be proved effectively by the same argument as in the local version Theorem 4.

## 4 Boundary Hölder regularity and Bourgain property.

We first show boundary Hölder continuity holds with our singular drift term. This is an adaptation of the proof of Lemma 3.14 in Chapter I of [HL01] for chord-arc domains.

We have the average bounds from Eq. (2), for every Whitney region  $U_Q$ .

This also implies, up to a constant  $c_1$ , that,

$$\int_{U_Q} |\mathcal{B}|^2 dV \leq c_1 \beta^2 l(Q)^{n-2}, \quad (45)$$

The exact value of  $\beta$  is to be determined later in Step 1 as outlined below.

We get the following theorem,

**Theorem 6.** *Consider the surface ball  $\Delta(y, 2r)$  with  $y \in \partial\Omega$  and with  $r \leq \text{diam}(\Omega)$ . Consider the solution  $u$  to Eq. (1) which vanishes continuously on  $\partial\Omega \cap \Delta(y, 2r)$ , and suppose that the drift term satisfies the averaged smallness condition of Eq. (2) and the pointwise condition of Eq. (4). Then there exist constants  $c = c(\gamma_1, M, n)$  and  $\alpha = \alpha(\gamma_1, M, n)$ ,  $0 < \alpha < 1 \leq c < \infty$ , such that*

$$u(z) \leq c \left( \frac{|z-y|}{r} \right)^\alpha \max_{B(y, 2r) \cap \Omega} u, \quad (46)$$

whenever  $z \in \Omega \cap B(y, r)$ .

*Proof.* We initially follow the proof of Lemma 3.9 in Chapter I of [HL01]. Extend  $u$  continuously to 0 outside  $\Omega$ . Consider a radially symmetric test function  $\psi \geq 0$ ,  $\psi \in C_0^\infty(B(y, 2\kappa r))$  with  $\psi \equiv 1$  on  $B(y, \kappa r)$ .

Also, we will choose some constant  $c > 0$  with,

$$r \|\nabla \psi\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim c. \quad (47)$$

We use  $u\psi^2$  as a test function, to get,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\nabla u \psi^2) \cdot \mathcal{A} \nabla u + (\mathcal{B} \cdot \nabla u) u \psi^2 dV = 0 \quad (48)$$

From here we get,

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= c^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla u|^2 \psi^2 dV \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\mathcal{A} \nabla u \cdot \nabla u) \psi^2 dV \\ &\leq c \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u| |\nabla u| |\psi| |\nabla \psi| dV + c \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u| |\mathcal{B}| |\nabla u| \psi^2 \\ &:= I_2 + I_3 \end{aligned}$$

Using Cauchy's inequality with  $\epsilon$ 's, we get that,

$$I_2 \leq \frac{1}{2} I_1 + c \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla \psi|^2 u^2 dV. \quad (49)$$

To deal with  $I_3$ , we use the Cauchy inequality with  $\epsilon$ 's, noting that  $\psi \in C_0^\infty(B(y, 2\kappa r))$ , and Harnack's inequality in the Whitney cubes as above, to get, <sup>(3)</sup>

$$\int_{\Omega} u |\mathcal{B}| |\nabla u| \psi^2 dV \leq \epsilon \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 \psi^2 dV + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_{\Omega} |\mathcal{B}|^2 u^2 \psi^2 dV \quad (50)$$

$$= \epsilon \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 \psi^2 dV + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \sum_{Q \subset \mathbb{D}(\partial\Omega)} \int_{U_Q} |\mathcal{B}|^2 u^2 \psi^2 dV \quad (51)$$

Consider a uniform constant  $0 < \theta < 1$  to be fixed later. It is enough to split the set of Whitney cubes in the two following categories:

- Consider  $|\text{supp}(\psi) \cap U_Q| > \theta |U_Q|$ .

We can write the second term on the right as,

$$\int_{U_Q} |\mathcal{B}|^2 u^2 \psi^2 dV \leq \left( \sup_{\overline{U_Q}} u \right)^2 \left( \sup_{\overline{U_Q}} \psi \right)^2 \int_{U_Q} |\mathcal{B}|^2 dV. \quad (52)$$

We claim that there exist uniform constants  $\eta_1 \geq 1, 0 < \eta_2 < 1$  so that, for the Whitney cube  $U_Q$  we have on an ample subset  $U'_Q \subset (\text{supp}(\psi) \cap U_Q)$  with the property  $|U'_Q|/|\text{supp}(\psi) \cap U_Q| \geq \eta_2$  and thus  $|U'_Q|/|U_Q| \geq \eta_2 \theta$ , that  $(\sup_{\overline{U'_Q}} \psi)/(\inf_{\overline{U'_Q}} \psi) < \eta_1$ . <sup>(4)</sup>

This follows by considering that  $\psi \subset B(y, 2\kappa r)$  and is radially symmetric within this ball. For any Whitney ball  $U_Q$  as described above, consider the point  $y_q \in \overline{U_Q}$  with  $y_q \in \partial U_Q$ , which is closest to the point  $y$ , which is where  $(\sup \psi)$  is attained. Note that  $\|\nabla \psi\|_{L^\infty} \leq \frac{c}{r}$  and that  $\psi$  is

smooth, and consider the straight line joining  $y$  to  $y_q$ , and the intersection of this line with  $U_Q$ . It is then seen from the structure of  $\psi$  that one gets the uniform  $\eta_1$  and  $\eta_2$  as described above, with  $\sup \psi = \psi(y_q)$ . Fixing the implied constant dependent on  $\theta$ , if  $l(Q) \ll_\theta \psi(y_q)$ , the above

claim follows, given any value of  $\psi(y_q)$ , by looking at the intersection of the ball  $B(y, 2\kappa r)$  with  $U_Q$ . If  $l(Q) \approx_\theta \psi(y_q)$ , and in any case we have  $l(Q) \lesssim r$ , then noting that  $\|\nabla \psi\|_{L^\infty} \leq \frac{c}{r} \lesssim \frac{c}{l(Q)}$ , and thus that in this case on  $U_Q$ , we have  $\|\nabla \psi\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \frac{c}{\psi(y_q)}$ , we also get the result. In case we have  $l(Q) \gg_\theta \psi(y_q)$ , then we will be in the regime of the second case considered below.

Thus for the Whitney cubes that satisfy  $|\text{supp}(\psi) \cap U_Q| > \theta |U_Q|$ , we get from Eq. (52) that,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{U_Q} |\mathcal{B}|^2 u^2 \psi^2 dV &\leq \frac{(\sup_{\overline{U_Q}} u)^2 (\sup_{\overline{U_Q}} \psi)^2}{\theta} \int_{U_Q} |\mathcal{B}|^2 dV \leq \beta^2 \frac{l(Q)^n}{l(Q)^2} \frac{(\sup_{\overline{U_Q}} u)^2 (\sup_{\overline{U_Q}} \psi)^2}{\theta} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\eta_2 \theta} C^2 \eta_1^2 \beta^2 \int_{U'_Q} \frac{(u\psi)^2}{\delta(x)^2} dV \leq \frac{1}{\eta_2 \theta} C^2 \eta_1^2 \beta^2 \int_{U'_Q} \frac{(u\psi)^2}{\delta(x)^2} dV + \frac{1}{\eta_2 \theta} C^2 \eta_1^2 \beta^2 \int_{U_Q \setminus U'_Q} \frac{(u\psi)^2}{\delta(x)^2} dV \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\eta_2 \theta} C^2 \eta_1^2 \beta^2 \int_{U_Q} \frac{(u\psi)^2}{\delta(x)^2} dV \quad (53) \end{aligned}$$

<sup>(3)</sup> Alternately one can also use Hölder's inequality here.

<sup>(4)</sup> Note that the set  $(\text{supp}(\psi) \cap U_Q)$  is a connected set, the intersection of the ball centered at  $y$ , with the cube  $U_Q$  which has sides parallel to the axes.

where  $C$  is a constant due to the Harnack inequality.

- Consider  $|\text{supp}(\phi) \cap U_Q| \leq \theta |U_Q|$ . Consider any point  $x_Q \in \text{supp}(\phi) \cap U_Q$  and consider the straight line  $l_{x_Q, y}$  joining  $x_Q$  with  $y$ . Consider the point  $y' \in \partial\Omega$  with  $y' \in l_{x_Q, y}$  which is the point on  $l_{x_Q, y} \cap \partial\Omega$  at the minimum distance from  $x_Q$ .

Note that  $y'$  need not equal  $y$ . Then it is not hard to see that there exists a Whitney cube  $U_{Q_{ad}}$ , intersecting the line segment joining  $x_Q, y'$ , and not necessarily sharing a side with  $U_Q$  so that  $U_{Q_{ad}} \subset \text{supp}(\psi)$ , and  $\frac{\inf}{U_{Q_{ad}}}(\psi) > \frac{\sup}{U_Q}(\psi)$ . Moreover, we choose the Whitney cube  $U_{Q_{ad}}$  in this

manner to be so that  $U_{Q_{ad}} \subset \text{supp}(\psi)$ , and  $\frac{\inf}{U_{Q_{ad}}}(\psi) > \frac{\sup}{U_Q}(\psi)$ ,  $\text{dist}(U_Q, U_{Q_{ad}}) \approx \text{diam}(U_{Q_{ad}}) \approx \text{diam}(U_Q) \approx \text{dist}(U_Q, \partial\Omega) \approx \text{dist}(U_{Q_{ad}}, \partial\Omega)$ , with implied constants uniform over  $Q$ . Thus in particular the Whitney cube  $U_{Q_{ad}}$  belongs to the set of cubes of the first case above. In fact, for this cube, we have  $|\text{supp}(\psi) \cap U_{Q_{ad}}| = |U_{Q_{ad}}|$ . Further, on this line,  $\psi$  increases from  $\psi(x_Q)$  to 1 as one moves from  $x_Q$  to  $y$ .

From here, we get using the pointwise bound on the drift term, that,

$$\int_{U_Q} |\mathcal{B}|^2 u^2 \psi^2 dV = \int_{\text{supp}(\psi) \cap U_Q} |\mathcal{B}|^2 u^2 \psi^2 dV \leq M^2 \int_{\text{supp}(\psi) \cap U_Q} \frac{u^2 \psi^2}{\delta(x)^2} dV \leq CM^2 \int_{U'_{Q_{ad}}} \frac{u^2 \psi^2}{\delta(x)^2} dV \quad (54)$$

Here we have chosen a subset  $U'_{Q_{ad}} \subset U_{Q_{ad}}$ , with,  $|U'_{Q_{ad}}| \approx |\text{supp}(\psi) \cap U_Q|$ . Here we have used the Harnack inequality for  $u$  and incorporated a constant  $C$ . Finally, we get that,

$$\int_{U_Q} |\mathcal{B}|^2 u^2 \psi^2 dV \leq CM^2 \int_{U'_{Q_{ad}}} \frac{u^2 \psi^2}{\delta(x)^2} dV \leq C' M^2 \theta \int_{U_{Q_{ad}}} \frac{u^2 \psi^2}{\delta(x)^2} dV. \quad (55)$$

Thus, it is enough to consider below,

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \int_{U_Q} |\mathcal{B}|^2 u^2 \psi^2 dV + \int_{U_{Q_{ad}}} |\mathcal{B}|^2 u^2 \psi^2 dV \right) &\leq \left( \frac{1}{\eta_2 \theta} C^2 \eta_1^2 \beta^2 + C' M^2 \theta \right) \int_{U_{Q_{ad}}} \frac{u^2 \psi^2}{\delta(x)^2} dV \\ &\leq \left( \frac{1}{\eta_2 \theta} C^2 \eta_1^2 \beta^2 + C' M^2 \theta \right) \left( \int_{U_{Q_{ad}}} \frac{u^2 \psi^2}{\delta(x)^2} dV + \int_{U_Q} \frac{u^2 \psi^2}{\delta(x)^2} dV \right). \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

Here we have used the fact that  $U_{Q_{ad}}$  belong to the set of cubes of the first case above, for which the estimate of the first case holds.

Given any Whitney cube  $U_Q$  in the second category, we have a unique element  $U_{Q_{ad}}$  of the first category constructed above. From the construction above,  $U_{Q_{ad}}$  intersects the straight line  $l_{x_Q, y}$  and it's distance from  $U_Q$  is bounded with a uniform constant times the diameter of  $U_Q$ . Thus, there exists a uniform constant  $n_0$  so that any Whitney cube  $U_{Q_{ad}}$  of the first category can be used for at most  $n_0$  many Whitney cubes of the second category, in this construction.

Thus we have,

$$\sum_{Q \in \mathbb{D}(\partial\Omega)} \int_{U_Q} |\mathcal{B}|^2 u^2 \psi^2 dV \leq n_0 \left( \frac{1}{\eta_2 \theta} C^2 \eta_1^2 \beta^2 + C' M^2 \theta \right) \sum_{Q \in \mathbb{D}(\partial\Omega)} \int_{U_Q} \frac{u^2 \psi^2}{\delta(x)^2} dV. \quad (57)$$

Thus choosing  $\theta$  small enough and then  $\beta$  small enough, we get,

$$\sum_{Q \in \mathbb{D}(\partial\Omega)} \int_{U_Q} |\mathcal{B}|^2 u^2 \psi^2 dV \leq \sum_{Q \in \mathbb{D}(\partial\Omega)} \beta'^2 \int_{U_Q} \frac{u^2 \psi^2}{\delta(x)^2} dV = \beta'^2 \int_{\Omega} \frac{u^2 \psi^2}{\delta(x)^2} dV. \quad (58)$$

Here,  $\beta'$  can be a-priori chosen and thus  $\beta, \theta$  can be chosen dependent on  $\beta'$ .

Then using the global Hardy inequality, we get that,

$$\beta^{r^2} \int_{\Omega} \frac{u^2 \psi^2}{\delta(x)^2} dV \leq C_1 \beta^{r^2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla(u\psi)|^2 dV \leq C_2 \beta^{r^2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 \psi^2 dV + C_2 \beta^{r^2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \psi|^2 u^2 dV. \quad (59)$$

Combining with Eq. (49), hiding the appropriate term, we will get the left hand inequality of the following result, noting that  $\|\nabla \psi\| \lesssim \frac{1}{r}$ ,

$$\int_{T_{Q'}} |\nabla u|^2 dV \leq cr^{-2} \int_{T_Q} u^2 dV \leq c^2 \int_{T_{\bar{Q}}} |\nabla u|^2 dV. \quad (60)$$

Here,  $\bar{Q} \supset Q$  is the cube considered as in Theorem 4, so that when  $Q \in \mathbb{D}(k)$ , we have  $\bar{Q} \subset \mathbb{D}(l(k))$ , with  $l(k)/k = O(1)$  with the implied constant the same as in the statement of Theorem 4. since we have,

$$r^{-2} \int_{T_Q} u^2 dV \lesssim \int_{T_Q} \frac{u^2(x)}{\delta(x)^2} dV \lesssim \int_{T_{\bar{Q}}} |\nabla u|^2 dV. \quad (61)$$

Here the second inequality on the right follows by the use of Theorem 4.

Now consider the weak solution  $u_0$  so that to  $\nabla \cdot (\mathcal{A} \nabla u_0) = 0$ , as well as the boundary condition of  $u_0 = u$  on the boundary of the Carleson box, which we denote  $\partial T_Q$ . The boundary Hölder continuity is known for  $u_0$ , and we also have  $u = u_0$  on the boundary  $\partial T_Q$ .

In this case, we use  $w = u - u_0$  as a test function to get,

$$\int_{T_Q} (\nabla w) \cdot \mathcal{A} \nabla u_0 = 0 \quad (62)$$

$$\int_{T_Q} (\nabla w) \cdot \mathcal{A} \nabla u + \mathcal{B} \cdot (\nabla u) w = 0. \quad (63)$$

Subtracting the first equation from the second, and taking absolute values and then the ellipticity condition on  $\mathcal{A}$ , noting that  $w = u - u_0$ , we get that,

$$\int_{T_Q} |\nabla w|^2 dV \leq \int_{T_Q} |\mathcal{B}| |\nabla u| |w| dV. \quad (64)$$

One notes using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality twice on the right,

$$\int_{T_Q} |\nabla w|^2 dV \leq \int_{T_Q} |\mathcal{B}| |\nabla u| |w| dV = \sum_{Q' \in \mathbb{D}(Q)} \int_{U_{Q'}} |\mathcal{B}| |\nabla u| |w| dV \quad (65)$$

$$\leq \left( \sum_{Q' \in \mathbb{D}(Q)} (\sup_{U_{Q'}} w)^2 \int_{U_{Q'}} \mathcal{B}^2 dV \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{T_Q} |\nabla u|^2 dV \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (66)$$

Thus, using Harnack's inequality, we get up to an implied constant,

$$\int_{T_Q} |\nabla w|^2 dV \leq \beta K \left( \int_{T_Q} \left( \frac{w}{\delta(X)} \right)^2 dV \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{T_Q} |\nabla u|^2 dV \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \beta K \left( \int_{T_Q} \left( \frac{w}{\delta_{T_Q}(X)} \right)^2 dV \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{T_Q} |\nabla u|^2 dV \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (67)$$

Here,  $\delta_{T_Q}$  denotes the distance to the boundary of  $T_Q$ , and we obviously have using the global dyadic Hardy inequality for the function  $w$  in the domain  $T_Q$ , that,

$$\int_{T_Q} |\nabla w|^2 dV \leq \beta K \left( \int_{T_Q} |\nabla w|^2 dV \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{T_Q} |\nabla u|^2 dV \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (68)$$

Thus we get,

$$\int_{T_Q} |\nabla w|^2 dV \leq \beta^2 K' \left( \int_{T_Q} |\nabla u|^2 dV \right). \quad (69)$$

For any  $Q' \ni y$ , we put,

$$\Phi(f, Q) = \frac{1}{l(Q)^{n-2}} \int_{T_Q} |\nabla f|^2 dV. \quad (70)$$

For the solution  $u_0$ , we have the boundary Hölder regularity Eq. (46), which follows by the same argument as that in Lemma 4.1 of [JK82] under the assumption that  $\Omega$  is a chord-arc domain. Using this estimate, and both sides of the inequality of Eq. (60), we get for any  $Q_2 \subset Q_1 \in \mathbb{D}$ ,

$$\Phi(u_0, Q_2) \leq c \left( \frac{l(Q_2)}{l(Q_1)} \right)^{2\alpha} \Phi(u_0, \overline{Q_1}). \quad (71)$$

Here,  $\overline{Q_1} \supset Q_1$  is the cube considered as in Theorem 4, so that when  $Q_1 \in \mathbb{D}(k)$ , we have  $\overline{Q_1} \subset \mathbb{D}(l(k))$ , with  $l(k)/k = O(1)$  with the implied constant the same as in the statement of Theorem 4.

This follows by noting again  $u_0$  satisfies boundary Hölder regularity, Eq. (46) and so, for  $Q_2 \subset Q_1 \in \mathbb{D}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(u_0, Q_2) &\leq c \frac{1}{l(Q_2)^n} \int_{T_{Q_2}} u_0^2 dV \leq c' \left( \frac{l(Q_2)}{l(Q_1)} \right)^{2\alpha} (\max_{T_{Q_1}} u_0)^2 \\ &\leq c'' \left( \frac{l(Q_2)}{l(Q_1)} \right)^{2\alpha} (\max_{B(A(q,r), cr)} u_0)^2 \leq c''' \left( \frac{l(Q_2)}{l(Q_1)} \right)^{2\alpha} \frac{1}{l(Q_1)^n} \int_{T_{Q_1}} u_0^2 dV. \end{aligned} \quad (72)$$

The last inequality on the right again follows by noting that  $u_0$  satisfies Eq. (46) as well as the Boundary Harnack inequality<sup>(5)</sup>. Using this fact, and by changing the implied constant  $c''$  in the right-hand expression of Eq. (72), we will get that,

$$\int_{T_{Q_1}} u_0^2 dV \geq \int_{U_{Q_j}} u_0^2 dV \geq c''' (\max_{T_{Q_1}} u_0)^2 l(Q_j)^n \geq c'''' (\max_{T_{Q_1}} u_0)^2 l(Q_1)^n$$

Thus Eq. (71) follows from this above, and using the right-hand side of Eq. (61).

Now we a-priori modify the pair of cubes  $(Q_2, \overline{Q_1})$  with  $Q_2 \subset \overline{Q_1}$  to the pair  $(\tilde{Q}_2, \tilde{Q}_1)$  where,  $\tilde{Q}_2 \subset Q_2, \tilde{Q}_1 \subset Q_1$ , with  $\tilde{Q}_2 \subset Q_2 \subset \tilde{Q}_1 \subset Q_1$ , so that,  $\tilde{Q}_1 = Q_1$  where  $\tilde{Q}_1$  was defined in the context of Theorem 4.

$$\Phi(u, \tilde{Q}_2) \leq 4(\Phi(u_0, \tilde{Q}_2) + \Phi(w, \tilde{Q}_2)) \quad (73)$$

$$\leq c \left( \frac{l(Q_2)}{l(Q_1)} \right)^{2\alpha} \Phi(u_0, Q_1) + \left( \frac{l(Q_1)}{l(Q_2)} \right)^{n-2} \Phi(w, Q_1) \quad (74)$$

$$\leq c' \left[ \left( \frac{l(Q_2)}{l(Q_1)} \right)^{2\alpha} + \epsilon' \left( \frac{l(Q_1)}{l(Q_2)} \right)^{n-2} \right] \Phi(u, Q_1) \quad (75)$$

In the last step, we used the fact that  $u = u_0$  on  $\partial T_Q$ , Harnack and Moser's inequality, on the first term within the brackets, and Eq. (69). Set  $l(Q_2)/l(Q_1) = \theta$  small enough. Then, using the above inequality, we can choose  $\epsilon'$  small enough so that,

$$\Phi(u, Q_2) \leq \frac{1}{2} \Phi(u, Q_1). \quad (76)$$

With this fixed  $\theta$ , starting with any cube  $Q_1 \ni y$ , we can iterate this inequality for cubes of successively smaller radius contained in  $Q_1$ <sup>(6)</sup>, to get the Boundary Hölder continuity result, using the right-hand inequality of Eq. (60), Moser's inequality, a crude bound for the term  $\Phi(u, Q_2)$ , and finally using the left hand inequality of Eq. (60) for the term  $\Phi(u, Q_1)$ . □

<sup>(5)</sup>Note that the Boundary Harnack inequality follows as a consequence of the Harnack inequality in general, as well as the Boundary Hölder regularity for the solution  $u_0$ . See the proof of Lemma 4.4 of [JK82].

<sup>(6)</sup>and not necessarily containing  $y$ .

We note that the above theorem also works in the case of an elliptic function vanishing continuously on a surface ball, even though this has been written for the case of the Dirichlet Green function vanishing on the entire boundary.

Once the boundary Hölder continuity is established (locally) as above, we will get the Bourgain type estimate on the elliptic measure.

**Corollary 7** (Bourgain estimate). *Given any  $x \in \Omega$ , and  $\hat{x}$  a nearest point to  $x$  on the boundary, with  $|x - \hat{x}| = r$ , there exists a uniform constant  $c > 0$ , we have, with  $\Delta(x) := \Delta(\hat{x}, 10r)$ , that  $\omega^x(\Delta(x)) \geq c$ .*

*Proof.* The function  $u(x) := \omega^x(\Delta(x))$  is the unique elliptic continuation with boundary data  $f$  with  $f = 1$  on  $\Delta$  and  $f = 0$  elsewhere with the condition that  $u \rightarrow 0$  at  $\infty$ . Then consider the function,  $v = 1 - u$  which then vanishes continuously on the surface ball  $\Delta(x)$ , and for which the Boundary Hölder continuity holds for the function  $v$ . For points  $x \in B(y, \kappa r) \cap \Omega$  with  $\kappa < 1$  sufficiently small, the boundary Hölder continuity gives us that  $v(x) \leq C\kappa^\alpha \max_{T_Q} v$  with  $Q \in \mathbb{D}$  with  $l(Q) \approx r$ , with constants uniform in  $r, y$ . This gives us the Bourgain-type estimate for the function  $u(x) = 1 - v(x) \geq 1 - C\kappa^\alpha \max_{T_Q} v$ , where by the maximum principle we also have  $\max_{T_Q} v \leq 1$  and so we have a uniform lower bound on  $u(x)$  whenever  $x \in B(y, \kappa r) \cap \Omega$ . By choosing  $k$  appropriately, we get the statement of the result.  $\square$

We also now state the Boundary Harnack inequality, which in the setting of the 1-sided chord arc domain follows from the Boundary Holder inequality Theorem 6 as well as the Harnack inequality. See, for reference the proof of Lemma 4.4 of [JK82].<sup>(7)</sup>

**Theorem 8** (Boundary Harnack inequality). *There exists a uniform constant  $C > 0$  so that, for any  $q \in \partial\Omega$  and any  $0 < r < \text{diam}(\Omega)$ , if  $u \geq 0$  with  $Lu = 0$  in  $\Omega \cap B(q, 2r)$  and  $u$  vanishes continuously on  $\Delta(q, 2r)$ , then*

$$u(X) \leq Cu(A(q, r)), \quad \text{for any } X \in \Omega \cap B(q, r). \quad (77)$$

## 5 Green's function estimates

In this step we show that when we have the bound of Eq. (2)

$$\int_{U_Q} |\mathcal{B}|^2 dV \leq \beta^2 l(Q)^{n-2} \quad (78)$$

for every Whitney cube in our domain, with a small parameter  $\beta$  to be determined later, then we have pointwise upper and lower bounds on the Dirichlet Green's function.

We show that the Green function  $G(x, y)$  satisfies both the pointwise upper and lower bounds in the ball  $B(x, \delta(x)/2)$ . The pointwise lower bound on the Green function actually holds more generally with just the background assumption of the pointwise bound on the drift term,  $|\mathcal{B}| \leq M/\delta(x)$ .

The lower bound follows by an argument similar to that used in [GW82]. This argument appears almost identically in [Pat24], specifically for the case where we have the Laplacian as the principal term. For the sake of completeness, we include the proof here.

**Theorem 9.** *For the elliptic operator in Eq. (1) with the coefficients satisfying Eq. (4), we have the bound: for any  $z, y \in \Omega$  with  $|y - x| \leq \frac{1}{2}\delta(x)$  we have  $\mathcal{G}(x, y) > 0$ , and*

$$\mathcal{G}(y, x) \geq K(M, \lambda) \frac{1}{|x - y|^{n-2}}. \quad (79)$$

---

<sup>(7)</sup>Note that the Boundary Holder regularity in [JK82] is only proved in Lemma 4.1 for the case of non-tangentially accessible domains. The result holds for the solution  $u_0$  and thus for  $u$  as shown in this paper, in the more general 1-sided chord arc domains. The proof presented of Boundary Harnack inequality in [JK82] also works through exactly for the 1-sided chord arc domains.

*Proof of Theorem 9.* The proof essentially follows by extending the argument of the proof of Eq.(1.9) of [GW82]. Take  $r := |z - y|$ . Consider a smooth cut-off function  $\eta$  which is 1 on  $B_r(y) \setminus B_{r/2}(y)$  and zero outside  $B_{3r/2}(y) \setminus B_{r/4}(y)$ , and further  $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$  and  $|\nabla\eta| \leq \frac{K}{r}$ .

Henceforth, we use the Einstein summation convention, where the summation sign is implied.

Given the domain  $\Omega$ , for any admissible test function  $\phi$ , the Green function satisfies the following adjoint equation;

$$\int_{\Omega} \left( (\nabla\phi)_i \mathcal{A}_{ij}^T (\nabla\mathcal{G}(y, x))_j - \mathcal{G}(y, x) \mathcal{B} \cdot (\nabla\phi) \right) dx = \phi(y) \quad (80)$$

Here  $\mathcal{A}_{ij}^T$  denotes the transpose of the matrix  $\mathcal{A}_{ij}$ .

We consider the test function  $\phi(x) = G(y, x)\eta^2(x)$ , and first get using Eq. (4) twice,

$$\int_{B(0,1)} |\nabla\mathcal{G}(y, x)|^2 \eta^2 dx \leq \int_{B(0,1)} 2\eta\mathcal{G}(y, x) |\nabla\mathcal{G}(y, x)| |\nabla\eta| + \mathcal{G}(y, x) \eta^2 \mathcal{B} \cdot \nabla\mathcal{G}(y, x) + 2\mathcal{G}^2(y, x) \eta \mathcal{B} \cdot \nabla\eta dx. \quad (81)$$

Now by using the bound on the drift term  $\mathcal{B}$ , the Cauchy inequality with  $\epsilon$ 's, with small enough  $\epsilon$ , for the first two terms on the right,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B(0,1)} \mathcal{G}(y, x) \eta \nabla\mathcal{G}(y, x) \cdot \nabla\eta dx &\leq \epsilon \int_{B(0,1)} \eta^2 |\nabla\mathcal{G}(y, x)|^2 dx + \frac{K^2}{\epsilon r^2} \int_{r/4 \leq |x-y| \leq 3r/2} \mathcal{G}(y, x)^2 dx, \\ \int_{B(0,1)} \mathcal{G}(y, x) \eta^2 \nabla\mathcal{G}(y, x) \cdot \mathcal{B} dx &\leq \epsilon \int_{B(0,1)} \eta^2 |\nabla\mathcal{G}(y, x)|^2 dx + \frac{K}{\epsilon \delta(y)^2} \int_{r/4 \leq |x-y| < 3r/2} \mathcal{G}(y, x)^2 dx. \end{aligned} \quad (82)$$

Using the bounds on the cut-off function  $\eta$  introduced above, and hiding the term with the square of the gradient of  $\mathcal{G}(y, x)$ , we get,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{r/2 < |x-y| < r} |\nabla\mathcal{G}(y, x)|^2 dx &\leq \left( K_1 \frac{1}{r^2} \cdot \int_{r/4 < |x-y| < 3r/2} \mathcal{G}(y, x)^2 dx \right) + \left( K_2 \frac{1}{r\delta(y)} \cdot \int_{r/4 < |x-y| < 3r/2} \mathcal{G}(y, x)^2 dx \right) \\ &\quad + \left( K_3 \frac{1}{\delta(y)^2} \cdot \int_{r/4 < |x-y| < 3r/2} \mathcal{G}(y, x)^2 dx \right) \end{aligned} \quad (83)$$

Noting that  $r \leq \frac{1}{2}\delta(y)$ , we get

$$\int_{r/2 < |x-y| < r} |\nabla\mathcal{G}(y, x)|^2 dx \leq \tilde{K} \frac{1}{r^2} \cdot \left( \int_{r/4 < |x-y| < 3r/2} \mathcal{G}(y, x)^2 dx \right) \leq \tilde{K} r^{n-2} \left( \sup_{r/4 \leq |x-y| \leq 3r/2} \mathcal{G}(y, x)^2 \right). \quad (84)$$

Again as in [GW82], choose a similar cut-off function  $\phi$  that is 1 on  $B_{r/2}(y)$  and zero outside  $B_r(y)$ , and using it as the test function along with Eq. (4), we get,

$$\begin{aligned} 1 \leq \int_{r/2 \leq |x-y| \leq r} (M |\partial_i \mathcal{G}(y, x)| |\partial_i \phi| + \mathcal{G}(y, x) |\mathcal{B}_i| |\partial_i \phi|) dx &\leq M \frac{K}{r} \int_{r/2 \leq |x-y| \leq r} |\nabla\mathcal{G}(y, x)| dx \\ &\quad + \frac{M}{r\delta(y)} \int_{r/2 \leq |x-y| \leq r} \mathcal{G}(y, x) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (85)$$

Using the identity of Eq. (84), and Cauchy's inequality for the first term on the right, along with a trivial volume bound, and finally Harnack's inequality,

$$1 \leq K r^{n-2} \sup_{r/4 \leq |x-y| \leq 3r/2} \mathcal{G}(y, x) \leq K |z - y|^{n-2} \mathcal{G}(y, z). \quad (86)$$

□

Using Harnack inequality, and Theorem 9, we immediately get,

**Corollary 10.** *For the elliptic operator with the coefficients satisfying Eq. (4) in  $\Omega$ , we have the lower bound for the Green function for the operator in Eq. (1): for any  $z, y \in \Omega$  with  $|y - z| \leq \frac{1}{2}\delta(z) := \frac{1}{2}\text{dist}(z, \partial\Omega)$  we have*

$$\mathcal{G}(y, z) \geq c_0 \frac{1}{|z - y|^{n-2}}. \quad (87)$$

We now give an argument for the pointwise upper bounds in such domains bounded away from the boundary.

**Theorem 11.** *Consider the operator of Eq. (1) and the Dirichlet Green's function corresponding to this operator, where the drift satisfies the average smallness on Whitney cubes of Eq. (2), for some  $\beta$  small enough, along with the pointwise bound of Eq. (4). For any  $y, x \in \Omega$  with  $|y - x| \approx \frac{1}{2}\delta(x)$ , we have for some constant  $K'$  dependent on  $M, \lambda$  only,*

$$\mathcal{G}(y, x) \leq K'(M, \lambda) \frac{1}{|x - y|^{n-2}}. \quad (88)$$

*Proof.* Consider the operator  $L$  considered in Eq. (1), and the Dirichlet Green's function as the kernel of the operator  $L^{-1}$  that gives the solution  $u$  for the data  $f$  which is locally in  $L^2_{loc}$ , and so  $Lu = f$ .

Now consider the pole of the Green function  $x$ . Subsequently, we only consider any point on the boundary of the ball,  $y \in \partial B(x, \delta_\Omega(x)/2)$ . Thus we also have,  $|x - y| = \frac{1}{2}\delta(x) = c_1\delta(y)$ . Without loss of generality, it is also enough to consider the case where  $x = x_{Q_0}$  of the Whitney ball  $U_{Q_0}$ . (See ?? 1).

We find an absolute constant  $\kappa < 1$  so that there exist balls  $B_x, B_y$  each of radius  $\kappa|x - y|$  around the points  $x, y$  respectively, that are disjoint, i.e.,

$$B_x = \{z : |z - x| < \kappa|x - y|\}, \quad B_y = \{z : |z - y| < \kappa|x - y|\} \quad (89)$$

and so that we have the point-wise bounds,

$$|\mathcal{B}(x)| \leq \frac{\beta'}{\delta(x)}. \quad (90)$$

in an ample portion of  $B_x$  that is quantified below, with an altered  $\beta'$ , upon performing a Calderon-Zygmund decomposition (as outlined, for example, in Section 3.4 of Chapter 1 of [St70]).

Choose  $\theta \ll 1$ , an arbitrarily small positive number and some  $\theta_1 = K\theta$  with  $K \geq 1$  to be chosen later. We choose a  $\beta'$  dependent on  $\beta$ , with  $\beta'/\beta$  sufficiently large so that considering the Calderon-Zygmund decomposition of the function  $|\mathcal{B}|^2 1_{U_{Q_0}}$ , we find a 'bad set'  $\Omega_B \subset U_{Q_0}$  which is a union of 'bad' cubes with disjoint interiors,  $\Omega_B = \cup_k P_k$  so that,

$$|\Omega_B| = \sum_i |P_i| \leq \frac{C}{\left(\frac{\beta'}{l(Q_0)}\right)^2} \beta^2 l(Q_0)^{n-2} = C \left(\frac{\beta}{\beta'}\right)^2 (l(Q_0))^n = C' \left(\frac{\beta}{\beta'}\right)^2 |U_{Q_0}|, \quad (91)$$

Further, there exists a constant  $K'$ , so that for every 'bad cube'  $P_i$ ,

$$\int_{P_i} |\mathcal{B}|^2 dV \leq K' \left(\frac{\beta'}{l(Q_0)}\right)^2 |P_i| \quad (92)$$

The set  $\Omega_B$  is the union of cubes  $\Omega_B = \cup_{i=1}^n P_i$ . Now consider the set  $\Omega'_B := \cup_i 5P_i$ , where  $aP_i$  is the cube with side length  $a \cdot l(P_i)$  and with the same center.

Consider the function  $f$ :

$$f = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{on } B_x \setminus \Omega'_B, \\ 0 & \text{elsewhere,} \end{cases} \quad (93)$$

which is the indicator function of the set  $B_x \setminus \Omega'_B$ , and thus

$$\int_{B_x} f = c(1 - \theta'')|x - y|^n, \quad (94)$$

with  $\theta''$  is a slightly altered constant.

Note that, we have the point-wise estimate of Eq. (90) in  $(U_{Q_0} \setminus \Omega'_B) \subset (U_{Q_0} \setminus \Omega_B)$ . Also, we have  $f = 0$  in all the Whitney balls  $U_Q$  other than the unique Whitney ball  $U_{Q_0}$  so that  $B_x \subset U_{Q_0}$ .

We have the formula, using the Dirichlet Green's function,

$$u(y) = \int_{B_x} G(y, x)f(x)dx. \quad (95)$$

Using  $u$  itself as a test function with  $u = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$ , we get with a standard integral by parts, that,

$$\int_{\Omega} (\mathcal{A}^* \nabla u \cdot \nabla u - \mathcal{B}u \nabla u) = \int_{\Omega} f u = \int_{B_x} f u. \quad (96)$$

Using the ellipticity condition on the matrix of coefficients  $\mathcal{A}$ , we get

$$\left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 \right) \leq \left( \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{A}^* \nabla u \cdot \nabla u \right) \quad (97)$$

Here, we use the notation,

$$2_* = \frac{2n}{n+2}, 2^* = \frac{2n}{n-2}. \quad (98)$$

We use Eq. (96), Eq. (90), and Hölder's inequality . We combine this with the pointwise estimates within the balls  $B_x, B_y$ , using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality on the first term on the right, in the manner of Eq. (65), by first splitting up the first integral on the right of Eq. (96) in four separate parts, one over the union of the Whitney regions other than  $U_{Q_0}$  where by construction  $f = 0$ , one over  $U_{Q_0} \setminus B_x$  which is a region where again  $f = 0$ , one integral over  $B_x \setminus \Omega'_B$  where  $f \neq 0$  and finally one over  $\Omega'_B$  where again  $f = 0$  but where we have by construction the bad cubes for the Calderon-Zygmund decomposition:

$$\begin{aligned} |\int_{\Omega} \mathcal{B}u \nabla u| &= |\sum_{Q \neq Q_0} \int_{U_Q} \mathcal{B}u \nabla u + \int_{(U_{Q_0} \setminus B_x) \setminus \Omega_B} \mathcal{B}u \nabla u + \int_{(B_x \setminus \Omega_B)} \mathcal{B}u \nabla u| + \int_{\Omega_B} \mathcal{B}u \nabla u| \\ &\leq \sum_{Q \neq Q_0} \int_{U_Q} u |\mathcal{B}| |\nabla u| + \int_{(U_{Q_0} \setminus B_x) \setminus \Omega_B} \frac{\beta'}{\delta(x)} u |\nabla u| + \int_{(B_x \setminus \Omega_B)} \frac{\beta'}{\delta(x)} u |\nabla u| + \int_{\Omega_B} |\mathcal{B}| |u \nabla u| \end{aligned} \quad (99)$$

Note that, for the ball  $B_x$ , we would only be able to use Harnack's inequality with bounds depending on the  $\|f\|_q$  norm of  $f$  with some  $q > n/2$ , and we avoid the necessity to use the Harnack inequality such as in Eq. (65), by extracting an ample set  $B_x \setminus \Omega_B \supset B_x \setminus \Omega'_B$  where we actually have the point-wise bounds on the  $\mathcal{B}$  term.

The first term on the right of Eq. (99) is a sum over the Whitney regions that do not intersect  $B_x$ , and in each of these Whitney regions we have the estimate of the form Eq. (78) and thus an argument identical to Eq. (65) works here, with the modification that the constant for the Harnack inequality is worse for the Whitney cubes that are adjacent to  $U_{Q_0}$ . Here we note that  $\kappa$  can be a-priori chosen small enough so that the sphere  $S_x = \{z : |z - y| = \kappa|x - y|\}$ , is an ample distance away from the boundary of  $U_{Q_0}$ , so that one can use the Harnack inequality

This is because the term  $f = 0$  in  $U_{Q_0} \setminus B_x$ , but  $f \neq 0$  in  $B_x \setminus \Omega'_B$ . Thus, we do not have the solution  $Lu = 0$  in the fixed dilate  $\kappa U_{Q_1}$ . Thus we would employ Harnack's inequality in each of the smaller subsets  $V_j^1$ , where  $U_{Q_1} = \cup_{j=1}^N V_j^1$ , with a uniform constant  $\kappa'$  where  $(\text{diam}(V_j^1))/\text{diam}U_{Q_1} \geq \kappa'$ , leading to a worse Harnack constant but which is still uniform across the domain.

Thus from this first term on the right of Eq. (99), we get,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{Q \neq Q_0} \int_{U_Q} u |\mathcal{B}| |\nabla u| dV &\leq \left( \sum_{Q \neq Q_0} (\sup_{U_Q} u)^2 \int_{U_Q} \mathcal{B}^2 dV \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \sum_{Q \neq Q_0} \int_{U_Q} |\nabla u|^2 dV \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \beta' \left( \sum_{Q \neq Q_0} \int_{U_Q} \left( \frac{u}{\delta} \right)^2 dV \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \sum_{Q \neq Q_0} \int_{U_Q} |\nabla u|^2 dV \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \frac{\beta'}{2} \left( \sum_{Q \neq Q_0} \int_{U_Q} \left( \frac{u}{\delta} \right)^2 dV + \sum_{Q \neq Q_0} \int_{U_Q} |\nabla u|^2 dV \right) \quad (100) \end{aligned}$$

Note that the Harnack inequality is essential to get from the first factor of Eq. (100) in the second step, to the first factor in the third step above.

For the second and third terms on the right of Eq. (99) we use the point-wise estimate of Eq. (90), and Cauchy-Schwarz inequality to get,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{(U_{Q_0} \setminus B_x) \setminus \Omega_B} \frac{\beta'}{\delta(x)} u |\nabla u| + \int_{(B_x \setminus \Omega_B)} \frac{\beta'}{\delta(x)} u |\nabla u| &\leq \beta' \left( \int_{(U_{Q_0} \setminus B_x) \setminus \Omega_B} \left( \frac{u}{\delta} \right)^2 dV + \int_{(U_{Q_0} \setminus B_x) \setminus \Omega_B} |\nabla u|^2 dV \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_{B_x \setminus \Omega_B} \left( \frac{u}{\delta} \right)^2 dV + \int_{B_x \setminus \Omega_B} |\nabla u|^2 dV \right) \quad (101) \end{aligned}$$

Finally, for the last term on the right of Eq. (99), we get using Eq. (92), and an argument similar to Eq. (100), using Cauchy Schwarz inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega_B} |\mathcal{B}| u |\nabla u| dV &= \sum_i \int_{P_i} u |\mathcal{B}| |\nabla u| dV \leq \sum_i \left( \int_{P_i} u^2 |\mathcal{B}|^2 dV \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{P_i} |\nabla|^2 dV \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \left( \sum_i (\sup_{P_i} u)^2 \int_{P_i} |\mathcal{B}|^2 dV \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \sum_i \int_{P_i} |\nabla u|^2 dV \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \beta'' \left( \sum_i (\sup_{P_i} u)^2 \frac{|P_i|}{l(Q_0)^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \sum_i \int_{P_i} |\nabla u|^2 dV \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \frac{\beta''}{2} \left( \int_{P_i} \left( \frac{u}{\delta(x)} \right)^2 dV + \sum_i \int_{P_i} |\nabla u|^2 dV \right), \quad (102) \end{aligned}$$

and we have used that  $f = 0$  on  $\cup_i 5P_i$ <sup>(8)</sup>, and that we can use Harnack's inequality. Using Eqs. (100) to (102), we finally get, relabelling the small constant as  $\beta'$ , that,

$$\int_{\Omega} \mathcal{A}^* \nabla u \cdot \nabla u = \int_{\Omega} |\mathcal{B}| u \nabla u + \int_{\Omega} f u \leq \beta' \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dV + \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{u}{\delta} \right)^2 dV \right) + \|f\|_{B_{2,2^*}} \|u\|_{B_{2,2^*}}. \quad (103)$$

Here we use the notation,  $\|\phi\|_{B_{2,r}} := (\int_{B_2} \phi^r)^{1/r}$ .

We now use the global version of the Hardy inequality given in Theorem 5. When  $\beta'$  is small enough, we hide the first term in Eq. (103) and using Sobolev's inequality and Harnack inequality, we have,

$$\|u\|_{\Omega, 2^*}^2 = \left( \int_{\Omega} (u)^{2^*} \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*}} \lesssim \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 \right) \leq C \|f\|_{B_{x, 2^*}} \|u\|_{B_{x, 2^*}}. \quad (104)$$

This implies,

$$\|u\|_{B_{y, 2^*}} \leq \|u\|_{\Omega, 2^*} \leq \frac{\|u\|_{\Omega, 2^*}^2}{\|u\|_{B_{x, 2^*}}} \leq C \|f\|_{B_{x, 2^*}}. \quad (105)$$

<sup>(8)</sup>We note that the factor of 5 can be altered to any uniform  $\kappa > 1$  while worsening the Harnack constant.

This gives us,

$$\left( \int_{B_y} \left( \int_{B_x} G(y,x) f(x) dx \right)^{2^*} dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2^*}} \leq \left( \int_{B_x} f^{2^*} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2^*}} \quad (106)$$

From here, we get, with  $r = |x - y|$ ,

$$\inf_{x \in B_x, y \in B_y} G(y,x) r^n r^{\frac{n}{2^*}} \leq C r^{\frac{n}{2^*}}. \quad (107)$$

Recall that the radii of  $B_x, B_y$  are comparable to  $|x - y|$ , with uniform constants, and that  $f$  is the indicator of the ball  $B_x$ . Recall the formula Eq. (95), and using Harnack's inequality for the Green function  $G(x, y)$  in the ball  $B_y$  centered on  $y$ , we have from Eq. (105),

$$\inf_{y \in B_y, x \in B_x} G(x, y) \leq \frac{C}{|x - y|^{(n-2)}}. \quad (108)$$

The Harnack inequality now establishes the upper bound with a slightly different constant.  $\square$

**Remark 1.** Note that in general when  $x \neq x_Q$ , we would have to perform the Calderon Zygmund decomposition for a set of Whitney cubes adjacent to  $U_Q$ , and the balls  $B_x, B_y$  would belong to a union of these cubes and the argument would proceed similarly as the one presented with minor modifications.

**Remark 2.** We only considered  $y \in \Omega$  with  $|x - y| \approx \frac{1}{2} \delta(x)$  in Theorem 11. This is enough to employ the doubling argument of [Ai06] (See (3.3) of [Ai06]). If we have the Eq. (3) assumption, we can prove this result more generally for any  $y \in B(x, \delta(x)/2)$ , when we do not need a Calderon Zygmund decomposition as in the proof here. However, with the assumption of Eq. (2) in the hypothesis of Theorem 11, we do not prove this more general result for all  $y \in B(x, \delta(x)/2)$ . In particular, in this general case if we choose the balls  $B_x, B_y$  with radii proportional to  $|x - y|$  as  $|x - y| \rightarrow 0$ , then in general we can't have control on the lower bound in the integral in Eq. (94) upon doing the Calderon Zygmund decomposition, and then further in Eq. (106).

## 6 Doubling of the elliptic measure.

We have obtained two sided bounds on the Green function, from Theorems 10 and 11. We also have the Bourgain estimate in this case in Theorem 6. This immediately give us the following doubling for the elliptic measure.

*Proof of Theorem 3.* The argument follows immediately from the argument for the more general John domains, given in Lemma 3.5 and Lemma 3.6 of [Ai06].  $\square$

We note that an alternate argument for the argument of [Ai06] is also presented in [HP24].

## 7 Existence of solutions for the operators $L, L^*$

Here we outline the argument for the proof of Theorem 1. Note again that we have already assumed the existence of the Green's function for the adjoint operator, in order to prove the pointwise lower bounds on Green's function for  $L$ . We have also implicitly assumed the existence of the

The key ingredient of the argument is to show that Lemma 8.4 of [GT77] holds for the case of both the operators  $L, L^*$ . After that, the argument is the same as in Lemma 8.5 and subsequently using the Fredholm alternative.




Figure 1: The Whitney region  $U_{Q_0}$  is shown, along with the ball  $B_x$  with center  $x = x_{Q_0}$  which without loss of generality we have also taken to be the center of  $U_{Q_0}$ . The union of the dark regions is the set  $\Omega'_B = \cup_i 5P_i$ , and  $f = 1$  on the set  $B_x \setminus \Omega'_B$  and 0 elsewhere. We have the point-wise bound on the drift term, in  $U_{Q_0} \setminus \Omega_B$  with  $\Omega_B = \cup P_i$ . Here the  $P_i$  are the set of bad cubes contained in  $U_{Q_0}$ , obtained from the Calderon Zygmund decomposition for the function  $|\mathcal{B}|^2 1_{U_{Q_0}}$ .

*Proof of Theorem 1.* It will be enough to prove the coercivity of the corresponding bilinear form, and then employ Theorem 5.8 of [GT77]. We recall the bilinear forms  $\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}_T$  corresponding to  $L, L^*$  respectively, with  $u, v \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ .

$$\mathcal{L}(u, v) := \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{A}_{ij} \partial_i u \partial_j v + (\mathcal{B}_i \partial_i u) v \quad (109)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_T(u, v) := \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{A}_{ij} \partial_i u \partial_j v - (\mathcal{B}_i \partial_i u) v - (\nabla \cdot \mathcal{B}) uv. \quad (110)$$

First consider the bilinear form  $\mathcal{L}(u, u)$ . In this case, we consider the magnitude bound for the second term  $\int (\mathcal{B}_i \partial_i u) u$ , which we get from Eq. (103), and further upon using the global Hardy inequality.

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{B}_i (\partial_i u) u \right| \leq \int_{\Omega} |\mathcal{B}| |u| |\nabla u| \leq \beta' \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2. \quad (111)$$

Now if  $\beta'$  is small enough, and using the ellipticity of the matrix  $\mathcal{A}$  stemming from Eq. (4), we get for a  $\beta'$  sufficiently small depending on the ellipticity parameters, that the bilinear form is coercive. Boundedness of the bilinear form also follows by using the fact that  $u, v \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ , the upper bounds on the matrices  $\mathcal{A}$ , and Holder inequality and Hardy inequality in the last step,

$$|\mathcal{L}(u, v)| \leq \int_{\Omega} |\mathcal{A}_{ij} \partial_i u \partial_j v| + |(\mathcal{B}_i \partial_i u) v| \leq M \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + M \left( \int_{\Omega} \frac{|v|^2}{(\delta(x))^2} \right) \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 \right) \quad (112)$$

$$\leq M \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + M \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (113)$$

Now for the adjoint bilinear form, the coercivity follows by using the additional assumption of the right hand inequality of Eq. (6), and the boundedness follows by considering the additional assumption

of the left hand inequality of Eq. (6) and again using the global Hardy inequality below.

$$|\mathcal{L}_T(u, v)| \leq M \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + M \int_{\Omega} \frac{uv}{\delta(x)^2}. \quad (114)$$

Using the Holder inequality and the global Hardy inequality on the right hand term, we get the result.  $\square$

## 8 Acknowledgements.

The author is thankful to Stephen Montgomery-Smith, Steve Hofmann and Juha Lehrbach for extensive discussions and feedback.

**Data availability statement:** Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no new data was created or analyzed in this study.

**Conflict of interest:** The author certifies that he has no known affiliations or involvement in any organization or entity with any financial interest, or non-financial interest in the subject matter or materials discussed in this manuscript.

## References

- [Ai06] Aikawa, Hiroaki, and Kentaro Hirata. "Doubling conditions for harmonic measure in John domains." In *Annales de l'institut Fourier*, vol. 58, no. 2, pp. 429-445. (2008).
- [Ch] M. Christ, A  $T(b)$  theorem with remarks on analytic capacity and the Cauchy integral, *Colloq. Math.*, **LX/LXI** (1990), 601–628.
- [CFMS81] Caffarelli, Luis, Eugene Fabes, Stefano Mortola, and Sandro Salsa. "Boundary behavior of nonnegative solutions of elliptic operators in divergence form." *Indiana University Mathematics Journal* 30, no. 4 (1981): 621-640.
- [DP24] Dindoš, Martin, and Jill Pipher. "Boundary value problems for elliptic operators satisfying Carleson condition." *Vietnam Journal of Mathematics* 52, no. 3 (2024): 627-673.
- [DJK84] Dahlberg, Björn EJ, David S. Jerison, and Carlos E. Kenig. "Area integral estimates for elliptic differential operators with non-smooth coefficients." *Arkiv för Matematik* 22, no. 1-2 (1984): 97-108.
- [DS1] G. David and S. Semmes, Singular integrals and rectifiable sets in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ : Beyond Lipschitz graphs, *Asterisque* **193** (1991).
- [DS2] G. David and S. Semmes, *Analysis of and on Uniformly Rectifiable Sets*, Mathematical Monographs and Surveys **38**, AMS (1993).
- [GT77] Gilbarg, David, Neil S. Trudinger, David Gilbarg, and N. S. Trudinger. *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order*. Vol. 224, no. 2. Berlin: springer, 1977.
- [GW82] Grüter, M. and Widman, K.O., 1982. The Green function for uniformly elliptic equations. *Manuscripta mathematica*, 37(3), pp.303-342.
- [HF11] Han, Qing, and Fanghua Lin. *Elliptic partial differential equations*. Vol. 1. American Mathematical Soc., 2011.
- [HM12] Hofmann, Steve, and José María Martell. " $A_{\infty}$  estimates via extrapolation of Carleson measures and applications to divergence form elliptic operators." *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* 364, no. 1 (2012): 65-101.
- [HL01] Hofmann, Steve, and John L. Lewis. *The Dirichlet problem for parabolic operators with singular drift terms*. Vol. 719. American Mathematical Soc., 2001.
- [HL18] Hofmann, Steve, and Phi Le. "BMO solvability and absolute continuity of harmonic measure." *The Journal of Geometric Analysis* 28, no. 4 (2018): 3278-3299.
- [HP24] Hofmann, Steve . "The Dirichlet Problem for elliptic equations with singular drift terms" [arxiv.org/abs/2502.03665](https://arxiv.org/abs/2502.03665).

- [HM14] Hofmann, Steve, and José María Martell. "Uniform rectifiability and harmonic measure I: Uniform rectifiability implies Poisson kernels in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ." In *Annales scientifiques de l'École Normale Supérieure*, vol. 47, no. 3, pp. 577-654. 2014.
- [HM17] Hofmann, Steve., and J. M. Martell. "A sufficient geometric criterion for quantitative absolute continuity of harmonic measure." *preprint* (2017).
- [HMT17] Hofmann, Steve, José María Martell, and Tatiana Toro. " $A_\infty$  implies NTA for a class of variable coefficient elliptic operators." *Journal of Differential Equations* 263, no. 10 (2017): 6147-6188.
- [HMMTZ21] Hofmann, S., Martell, J.M., Mayboroda, S., Toro, T. and Zhao, Z., 2021. Uniform rectifiability and elliptic operators satisfying a Carleson measure condition. *Geometric and Functional Analysis*, 31(2), pp.325-401.
- [JK82] Jerison, David S., and Carlos E. Kenig. "Boundary behavior of harmonic functions in non-tangentially accessible domains." *Advances in Mathematics* 46, no. 1 (1982): 80-147.
- [HW68] Hunt, Richard A., and Richard L. Wheeden. "On the boundary values of harmonic functions." *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* 132, no. 2 (1968): 307-322.
- [HW70] Hunt, Richard A., and Richard L. Wheeden. "Positive harmonic functions on Lipschitz domains." *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* 147, no. 2 (1970): 507-527.
- [Ken94] Kenig, Carlos E. *Harmonic analysis techniques for second order elliptic boundary value problems*. Vol. 83. American Mathematical Soc., 1994.
- [KLT11] Korte, Riikka, Juha Lehrbäck, and Heli Tuominen. "The equivalence between pointwise Hardy inequalities and uniform fatness." *Mathematische Annalen* 351 (2011): 711-731.
- [KS19] Kim, Seick., and Sakellaris, Georgios. (2019). Green's function for second order elliptic equations with singular lower order coefficients. *Communications in Partial Differential Equations*, 44(3), 228-270.
- [KP01] Kenig, Carlos E., and Jill Pipher. "The Dirichlet problem for elliptic equations with drift terms." *Publicacions matemàtiques* (2001): 199-217.
- [Lew88] Lewis, John L. "Uniformly fat sets." *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* 308, no. 1 (1988): 177-196.
- [Mour23] Mouroglou, Mihalís. Regularity theory and Green's function for elliptic equations with lower order terms in unbounded domains. *Calc. Var.* **62**, 266 (2023).
- [Sak21] Sakellaris, Georgios. "On scale-invariant bounds for the Green's function for second-order elliptic equations with lower-order coefficients and applications." *Analysis & PDE* 14, no. 1 (2021): 251-299.
- [Pat24] Pathak, Aritro. "A counterexample for pointwise upper bounds on Green's function with a singular drift at boundary." arXiv:2405.13313 (2024).
- [St70] Stein, Elias M. *Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions*. Princeton university press, 1970.

Email: ap7mx@missouri.edu,